

No. 23-5252

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

APR 01 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
CERTIORARI

BRUCE LAMONT FULLER — PETITIONER
(Your Name)
" IN ADMIRALTY "

vs.

THE PEOPLE OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

BRUCE LAMONT FULLER
(Your Name)

3000 CECIL AVENUE
(Address)

Delano, California 93216
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

ORIGINAL

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. WHEN IS DUE PROCESS OVERLOOKED IN "FRANKLIN HEARING"?
2. WHY IS CONSTITUTIONAL MERIT NOT APPLICABLE, WHEN DUE PROCESS IS CLEAR?
3. HOW CAN THIS COURT ADMINISTER JUSTICE IN THE "INTEREST OF JUSTICE" WHEN THE LOWER "REFUSES TO FOLLOW THE RULES IT IS ENFORCING"?

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

- (People v. Franklin) Supra. 63 Cal. 4th. at p. 284
- (People v. Hordin) Supra. 84 Cal. App. 5th 273

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A-1 En Banc Denial 2-15-23

A-17 page review not Dated Original ??

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES
Due Process

OTHER (R. vera v. Inferior Court) (2016)
U.S. Dist. of Ca Lexis 185905
(Rivera v. Unknown) (2021)
U.S. App. Lexis 20746

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A-1 to the petition and is #S-278101

- ☒ reported at Supreme Court of California; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☒ reported at #EO 78952; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was Feb 15, 2023.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A-1.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

"The Fourteenth Amendment to the United State Constitution, and Article I § 7, subdivision (a) of the California Constitution both Prohibit the Denial of Equal Protection of the Laws."

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Meritorious Claims of error on appeal include those of sufficient substance to have a reasonable strong potential for obtaining or reversal or other relief or for making new Law.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

^{or} However, a law that interferes with a fundamental Constitutional Right or involves a suspect classification, is subject to scrutiny requiring a compelling state interest.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

X Bruce Lamm Fuller
Bruce Lamm Fuller

Date: 4-1-2023 A.D