

23-5098

No. _____

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED

MAY 30 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

ARTHUR RAY DEERE SR. — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

JOE LIZARRAGA ET AL — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

ARTHUR RAY DEERE SR.

(Your Name)

3456 - CTC H404 D17

P.O. Box ~~3456~~ ~~YAGRETEL~~

(Address)

CORCORAN CALIFORNIA 93112-3456

(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

#1 DID THE DISTRICT COURT VIOLATE PETITIONERS DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION UNDER THE 14TH AMENDMENT BY REFUSING TO APPOINT COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER? AND DID THE SAME APPLY BY THE DISTRICT COURT REFUSE ANCILARY ASSISTANCE BY WAY OF ASKING FOR FUNDS TO HAVE WHITES LEFT OF SAMPLES ANALYZED AND WAS DENIED. ALSO PETITIONER REQUESTED THE COURT DISPATCH U.S. MARSHALS TO MULE CREEK TO TAKE PICTURES OF ASBESTOS AND TAKE SAMPLES OF THE DUMP SITE ON MULE CREEK BY GRANITE CONSTRUCTION FROM THE NEW PRISON ACROSS THE STREET FROM THE NEW PRISON IN MULE CREEK IN VIOLATION OF GRANITE CONSTRUCTION'S ASBESTOS MITIGATION DUST PLAN FILE WITH A MAJOR AIR QUALITY AGENCY IN SACKSON CO. THERE WERE NO SPRINKLERS OR TARPS COVERING THOSE PILES AT MULE CREEK JUST OUTSIDE THE DIRT PARKING LOT NEAR THE BACK GATE OF MULE CREEK. MAGISTRATE BARRES DENIED MY REQUEST AND NOTIFIED DEFENDANTS TO GIVE THEM TIME TO CLEAN IT UP!

#2 DOES THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ITSELF HAVE A CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO DEFEND ITSELF AGAINST WHAT IT KNOWS IS A CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY. DOES EMPLOYEES OF THE STATE HAVE THE RIGHT TO COMMIT SUCH CRIMES AND LET THE STATE WITH ALL ITS CONSIDERABLE RESOURCES DEFEND THEIR ACTIONS?

#3 DOES ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS THAT ARE KNOWN TO CAUSE DANGEROUS AND DEADLY DISEASES VIOLATE THE 8TH AMENDMENT

#4 IS A CONSTRUCTION COMPANY CONTRACTED BY THE STATE TO BUILD A CORRECTIONAL FACILITY ON TOP OF A DEADLY DEPOSIT OF A POISON OR OTHER KNOWN DANGEROUS COMPOUND LIKE ASBESTOS, VALLEY FEVER SPORES ASHME

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

Any LIABILITY For THEIR ACTIONS AND GREED?

LIST OF PARTIES

1. THEN ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CALIFORNIA KENNED D HARRIS
2. GOVERNOR GAVIN NEWSOME
3. UNKNOWN DIRECTOR OF CAL
4. GRANITE CONSTRUCTION
5. WARDEN JOE LIZPARGA

RELATED CASES

I DO NOT HAVE THE ABILITY TO RESEARCH CASES, BROWN V. PLATA WOULD
SEEM APPROPRIATE BUT I DON'T HAVE THE CORRECT CITATION. I SIMPLY USE
COMMON SENSE AND THE VERBATIM ~~INTERPRETATION~~ INTERPRETATION OF THE
CONSTITUTION. I HAD A 3.2 GRADE POINT AVERAGE AT LEE COLLEGE IN TEXAS
BUT WHEN IT COMES TO USING COMPUTERS I'M DUMB AS A SACK OF HAMMERS
USELESS AS AN DSDTRAY ON A MOTORCYCLE.

LIST OF PARTIES

- [] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- [X] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL KEMALA D HARRIS
GOVERNOR GABRIEL NEWSON
UNKNOWN DIRECTOR OF COL { DIRECTOR IN CHARGE LIKE BRAD
GRANITE CONSTRUCTION CO. { DIRECTOR COL PERSONS WHO TELL YOU
WARRIOR JOE LIZARRA { WHO THE DIRECTOR OR WARRIOR MISS
TIMES

RELATED CASES

DO NOT HAVE THE KNOWLEDGE ON THE DEFICIT TO
RESEARCH. I HAVE TO GO BY THE CONSTITUTION VERIFICATION
AND GOD GIVEN COMMON SENSE. I AM A 3-2 GRADE POINT
AVERAGE IN LAW COLLEGE, BUT WHEN IT COMES DOWN TO COMPUTERS
I AM DOWN AS A SACK OF HAMMERS JUST NEVER WOULD GET HOW
TO WORK COMPUTERS. I CAN OPERATE A LOT OF TV REMOTES
I ~~FIGURE~~ FIGURE THINGS OUT WITH A DICTIONARY AND READING
ON THE SUBJECT.

SEE PAGE TWO →

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	DONT KNOW HOW TO RESEARCH CASES	1
JURISDICTION	FEDERAL	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	6th, 7th, 8th Amendments	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA IS COMMITTING CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	THOUSANDS OF U.S. CITIZENS BEING EXPOSED TO ASBESTOS	
CONCLUSION	THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE SHOULD SERIOUSLY INVESTIGATE ASBESTOS AND OTHER SOIL WATER AND AIR QUALITY IN CALIFORNIA PRISONS AND CLOSE THOSE THAT DONT MEET EPA STANDARDS AND NOTIFY INMATES OF ANY CONTAMINATION THEY ARE EXPOSED TO IN CALIFORNIA PRISONS	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS

APPENDIX B 7TH CIRCUIT DECISION SUGGESTED 5-18-23

APPENDIX C D.C. ORDER

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F ~~RE: STATE OF CALIFORNIA~~

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

~~1021~~

NO ABILITY TO RESEARCH CASES

STATUTES AND RULES

8TH AMENDMENT US-CONSTITUTION
14TH AMEND
6TH AMEND

OTHER

COMMON SENSE AND THE CONSTITUTION

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was ~~12-20-23~~ 3-20-23.

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 3-20-23, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix B. ~~copy of the petition for rehearing will be provided~~

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was N/A.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix F ~~SEE~~. DO NOT HAVE COURT'S DECISION REQUESTED COPY OF COURT'S DECISION DATED 5-23 DISCUSSED IN APPENDIX F

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix N/A.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. A N/A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at ~~504 U.S. 111~~ _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**: N/A

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

☐ reported at ~~STATE COURT LACKED JURISDICTION ON CIVIL RIGHTS CASE~~ _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the N/A court appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

6TH AMENDMENT AND 14TH AMENDMENT - 8TH AMENDMENT
OTHER SECTIONS OF THE ¹⁹⁶⁴ CIVIL RIGHTS ACT I DON'T HAVE ACCESS
TO RESEARCH THE ENTIRE ACT.

SEE NEXT PAGE TWO OF TWO →

#1 6TH AMENDMENT, 14TH AMENDMENT, 8TH AMENDMENT

#2 VARIOUS PORTIONS OF THE 1964 CIVIL RIGHTS ACT (DO NOT HAVE ACCESS TO THE

1964 CIVIL RIGHTS ACT TO QUOTE SPECIFIC SECTIONS

#3 STANDARD MINIMUM RULES FOR THE TREATMENT OF PRISONERS (APPROVED: UNITED

NATIONS CONGRESS ON THE PREVENTION OF CRIME AND THE TREATMENT OF OFFENDERS, HELD

AT GENEVA IN 1955 AND APPROVED BY THE ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COUNCIL BY

RESOLUTIONS 663 C (XXIV) OF JULY 1957 AND 2076 (LXII) OF MAY 13 1977

SHORT VERSION IN EXHIBIT #8 NOTE ALL UNDERLINED PORTIONS THE STATE OF

CALIFORNIA IS IN VIOLATION OF THESE ISSUES.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

PETITIONER A HIGH RISK MEDICAL INMATE WAS TRANSFERRED OUT OF CIM 16

DAYS AFTER I FILED AN INMATE APPEAL ALLEGING ASBESTOS CONTAMINATION AT "C" FACILI-

TY, AT CHINO. I WAS TRANSFERRED WITHOUT ANY HEARING FROM CLASSIFICATION

SO AS TO NOT HAVE IT ON RECORD. I GOT TO MULE CREEK ON 9-23-14 GIVE OR TAKE

ONE DAY. BY 2015 I WAS HAVING BREATHING PROBLEMS AND AN X-RAY WAS ORDERED IN

2015 IT SHOWED SOME SPOTS ON MY LOWER LEFT LUNG THAT COULDN'T BE

PROPERLY READ SO A CT. SCAN WAS ORDERED AND IT SHOWED SEVERAL NODULARS

WERE SPREADING. C/O A. MAYBERRY TOLD ME AFTER I DISCUSSED THE CT. SCAN

ABOUT THERE BEING ASBESTOS MINES AROUND MULE CREEK IN THE PAST. SO I

ASKED HIM IF HE WOULD TESTIFY IN FEDERAL COURT. HE SAID HE WOULD SO I FILED

AN INMATE APPEAL TO EXHAUST MY ISSUE THEN IN 2012 I FILED IN THE NORTH-

ERN DISTRICT AND THEY TRANSFERRED IT TO THE EASTERN DISTRICT. BEFORE I

FILED THE 602 I WROTE SENATOR DIANE FEINSTEIN A LETTER WITH EXHIBITS

SOME 40 PAGES ALL TOTALLED. I REALLY WAS STUPID TO BELIEVE ENOUGH TO BELIEVE

1
2 A U.S. SENATOR REALLY CARED ABOUT US POOR FOLKS. WONT MAKE THAT MISTAKE
3
4 AGAIN.

5
6 ~~I~~ HIGH RISK IS MEDICAL HIGH RISK IVE HAD SEVERAL HEART ATTACKS ON 11-9-13

7
8 I HAD TO HAVE A STENT PLACED IN MY LAD AND AN ANGIOPLASTY ON MY UPPER

9
10 LATERAL. ON 6-27-17. I HAD TO HAVE A HEART BYPASS ON MY LAD WHERE THE

11
12 STENT WAS PLACED ON 11-9-13. MY HEALTH HAS DECLINED MORE SINCE THEN

13
14 NOW I HAVE INTESTINAL PROBLEMS, I FEEL ~~THE~~ ARE CAUSED BY THE WATER WELLS

15
16 DRILLED INTO THE ASBESTOS VEIN UNDER MULE CREEK AND THE NEW INFIL PRISON

17
18 AND ASBESTOS LOADED WATER IS BEING PUMPED RIGHT INTO THE CELLS AT BOTH PRISON

19
20 EXHIBIT #3 GRANT CONSTRUCTIONS ASBESTOS DUST MITIGATION PLAN FOR THE

21
22 NEW 96 ~~ACRE~~ ACRE INFIL PRISON ACROSS THE STREET FROM MULE CREEK STATE PRISON

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND ITS AGENTS COMMITTED BATTERY ON ME WITH A DEADLY WEAPON, TO WIT "ASBESTOS" ALSO COMMITTED THE SAME AGAINST THOUSANDS OF PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE CITIZENS OF THIS COUNTRY. THIS CONSTITUTES A CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA MUST PROTECT THE PEOPLE'S RIGHTS. NOT COMMIT CRIMES AGAINST THE PEOPLE THEN USE THEIR TAX DOLLARS TO FIGHT AND DEFEND ITS ACTIONS THAT ARE ILLEGAL. CALIFORNIA LIES IN ITS BRIEFS TO THE COURTS AND THEN TOLD THE COURT WE HAVE A SYSTEM TO ABATE AREAS INMATES CAN COME IN CONTACT WITH ASBESTOS. THE AREA WOULD BE CLEARED AND ABATED, INMATES WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO ENTER SUCH AN AREA. THIS WAS A CLEAR LIE AS I GAVE THE COURT AND THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAMPLES OF THE ASBESTOS I WAS BREATHING IN MY CELL THE STATE REFUSED TO ANALYZE (BECAUSE THEY KNEW IT WAS ASBESTOS) THE COURT ALONG POLITICAL LINES REFUSED ME FUNDS TO HAVE A NEUTRAL PARTY TEST THE SUBSTANCE. THIS IS WHERE THE 1983 ACTIONS ARE A RIGGED JOKE. WHOEVER WOULD AN INDIGENT INMATE GET THE MONEY TO HAVE ANYTHING TESTED. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL HAD ALL THE RESOURCES OF THE STATE AGAINST ONE INDIGENT INMATE. THE STATE HAD THE RESPONSIBILITY TO ANSWER FOR ITS MISTAKES, NOT USE TAX PAYER FUNDS TO COVER ITS CRIMES. I AM WILLING TO BET THE SEALED SAMPLES I SENT THE COURT AND THE ATTORNEY GENERAL HAVE ALL DISAPPEARED BY NOW, I SAVED TWO LITTLE PEZES IN CASE EITHER THE STATE OR THE COURT CAN FIND THEIR SAMPLES. THIS CASE WAS RULED ON ALONG POLITICAL LINES (PARTY LINES) HERE THE LOU MOUTH VICE PRESIDENT IN POLAND CALLING PUTIN A CRIMINAL WHO IS COMMITTING CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY YET HARRIS IS GUILTY OF OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND COVERING UP A CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY. IT IS TIME THIS COURT ESTABLISH CONSTITUTIONAL CONFINEMENT, AND SET SERIOUS GUIDELINES FOR ALL STATES FOLLOW. HITLER HIMSELF WOULD BE PROUD OF THE WAY THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY TREATS ITS CITIZENS. CALIFORNIA SIMPLY HAS A BUNCH OF CONCENTRATION CAMPS. THERE IS NO REDEEMING SOCIAL VALUE TO THIS TYPE OF CONFINEMENT THAT MAKES IT UNCONSTITUTIONAL. THE ONLY THING THAT PEOPLE DOES

PAGE TWO OF REASON FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

THE COURT IGNORED A PRO SE PETITIONERS DIRECT EVIDENCE THE ASBESTOS

SAMPLE OUT OF MY CELL I WAS IN CELL 123 IN 13 BUILDING ON "C" FACILITY

BUT THE STATE KNEW IT WAS ASBESTOS. THE STATE POSITION ON THE APPOINTMENT OF

COUNSEL WAS THAT THE CASE WAS SIMPLE AND DID NOT REQUIRE ASSISTANCE. YET IT

TOOK 5 ATTORNEYS FROM THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE TO FILE THEIR OPENING BRIEF

(SEE EX #1) PRIOR TO FILING MY 602 APPEAL I WROTE U.S. SENATOR DIANE FEINSTEIN

AND ASKED FOR HER HELP, SOME 40 PAGES AND I NEVER RECEIVED AN ANSWER (SEE

EXHIBIT # 2 - 2 PAGES) THIS DEMOCRATIC PARTY THAT HAS GRIPED CALIFORNIA AND

STEALING THE TAXPAYERS BLIND USING TAX DOLLARS TO COVER UP AND DEFEND

THEIR CRIMES. I BELIEVE GRANITE CONSTRUCTION IS OWNED BY A BUNCH OF

DEMOCRATIC POLITICIANS. PETITIONER SUBMITS EXHIBIT #3 GRANITE CONSTRUCTIONS

"ASBESTOS DUST MITIGATION PLAN"

IT IS CLEAR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA INTERPRETS THE CONSTITUTION ANY

WAY THAT SUITS ITS PURPOSES REASONING BEING WE ARE JUST FELONS WE ARE NOT

ENTITLED TO A SAFE AND HEALTHY ENVIRONMENT TO SERVE OUR TIME AND THAT

VIOLATES THE ANTIDISCRIMINATION CLAUSE OF THE 1964 CIVIL RIGHTS ACT. THE WAY

CALIFORNIA POLITICIANS GANG UP TO FIGHT CRIME IS A JOKE. THE PEOPLE OF

CALIFORNIA WOULD BE BETTER OFF GIVING INMATES A \$2000 PER MONTH PAYMENT

AND END PAROLE ALTOGETHER PAROLE HAS NO REDEEMING SOCIAL VALUE WHAT SO

EVER.

BACK TO EXHIBIT II 3 THERE WERE NEVER INSPECTIONS TO MAKE SURE IT WAS

FOLLOWED THE PART ABOUT COVERING THE EXPOSED ASBESTOS AREAS IS INSANE SIN-

CES, OF CLEAN TOPSOIL WITH THE GROUND ~~SPALL~~ SQUIREL INFESTATIONS THEY DIG

IT BACK UP AND SPREAD IT AND PUSH IT TO THE SURFACE AND FINE ASBESTOS DUST IS

ALL OVER THE YARDS, THEN THE CONSTANT WINDS PICK IT UP INTO THE AIR COOLERS

OUT OF THE BUILDINGS AND INTO THE CELLS AS MY SAMPLES FROM MY CELL VENT SHOWS

1
2 THE ONLY WAY THERE WILL BE FAIRNESS IN THE 1983 CIVIL ACTIONS IS IF THIS

3
4 COURT ORDERS THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT TO SET UP A SEPARATE FEDERAL

5
6 PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE JUST TO HANDLE INDIGENT CIVIL SUITS ATTORNEYS AND IF

7
8 THE STATE LOSE THEY HAVE TO PAY THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT FOR THE TIME AND COSTS

9
10 FOR EFFECTIVE COUNSEL. ITS MOTIVATION FOR THE STATES TO SETTLE ACTIONS LIKE

11
12 MINE RATHER THAN USE UP ALL THE TAX PAYER RESOURCES. NO ONE WANTS TO TACKLE

13
14 THIS CRIME BY THE STATE. EXHIBIT # 4 - 2 PAGES OF MY CELL DEPT AT MULE CREEK I

15
16 TRACED AND PHOTO COPIED THE ASBESTOS SAMPLE I ~~WAS~~ SENT TO THE PRISON LAW OFFICE

17
18 SINCE THEY WERE SUPPOSED TO BE REPRESENTING ALL CDC INMATES IN THE ALATAVS

19
20 BROWN CASE THIS COURT RULED ON I TRIED TO HAVE THE PRISON LAW OFFICE FILE A

21
22 SUIT SHOWING CDC NOT ONLY DENYING US INMATES PROPER HEALTH CARE BUT IN FACT

23
24 WAS CAUSING MOST OF THE ILLNESSES BY POOR ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS THAT

25
26 INMATES WERE BEING EXPOSED TO WAS THE FAULT OF THE STATE SO

27
28 BEGIN WITH.

CALIFORNIA DOES NOT HAVE A PRISON SYSTEM THEY HAVE A SYSTEM OF

CONCENTRATION CAMPS DEMOCRATIC POLITICIANS ARE GETTING RICH OWNING

SHARES OF COMPANIES THAT DO BUSINESS WITH CDC. CDC STAFF KNOW

ABOUT THE ASBESTOS AND NONE OF THEM WILL DRINK THE WATER IN ANY

STATE PRISONS HERE IN CALIFORNIA. ALSO THERE WAS SOME COLLUSION

BETWEEN U.S. MAGISTRATE DEBRA BARNES AND DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

MATTHEW ROSS WILSON AND WHEN I BROUGHT IT UP IN MY OPENING BRIEF

DEPUTY A.G. WILSON WAS RELIEVED, THAT WAS A TELL REFLEX. IF IT WAS

NOT SO WHY FRONT OFF AN INNOCENT DEPUTY IF THERE WAS NO CONFLICT?

SHE WAS EITHER RELATED TO WILSON OR A CLOSE FRIEND. BARNES DENIED

ALL MY MOTIONS FOR COUNSEL AND TO HAVE GRANITE CONSTRUCTION ADDED

AS A CO-DEFENDANT YET MAGISTRATE BARNES DENIED IT AND MY DUE PROCESS

TOOK AN OATH TO SHOW A CONSPIRACY TO DENY MY DUE PROCESS I SUBMIT

EXHIBIT #6 I WROTE CDC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL

1
2 IMPACT REPORT FOR MALE CREEK STATE PRISON, IRON WOOD STATE PRISON AND

3
4 CHOW CHILLA STATE PRISON TO SHOW CDC. INTENTIONALLY BUILT PRISONS IN

5
6 DANGEROUS ENVIRONMENT AREAS TO AVOID PUBLIC BUT CRIES OVER

7
8 BUILDING PRISONS IN SOME COMMUNITIES, THE STATE NEVER CONSIDERED

9
10 THE POSSIBLE HARM TO U.S. CITIZENS INCARCERATED IN THESE CONCENTRA-

11
12 TION, CAMPS. NOTICE EX #6 GIVES ME CLEAR BELIEF I WOULD GET THIS

13
14 INFORMATION ON 11-30-18. THEN THE LETTER IN EXHIBIT #7 SHOWS ALL

15
16 OF A SUDDEN I NEED TO FORWARD \$142.26 WITHIN 90 DAYS OR THE

17
18 REQUEST FOR RECORDS WILL BE CLOSED. AS CDC POLICY HAS BEEN IN THE

19
20 PAST FOR COPIES I SENT A SIGNED CDC 193 TRUST WITHDRAWAL FORM TO

21
22 MICHEL HAGAN. SOMEONE LET MISS HAGAN KNOW I DIDNT HAVE THE MONEY

23
24 TO PAY FOR IT AND I WAS SUEING THE STATE. I'M SURE SHE WAS SHOCKED.

25
26 THE AG'S OFFICE PROBABLY SENT WORD OUT TO STALL MR. DEERE, AND LET US KNOW

27
28 WHAT HE IS TRYING TO GET. THIS IS CLEARLY A STALL TACTIC WHEN I REQUESTED

1
2 ALL my MEDICAL RECORDS WHILE AT CIM THE TOTAL WAS ABOUT \$4000⁰⁰

3
4 AND ALL I DID WAS SIGN A CDC 193 TRUST ACCOUNT WITHDRAWAL FORM AND

5
6 IT WAS ACCEPTED. SO THERE WAS A CONSPIRACY TO STOP ME GETTING MY HANDS

7
8 ON THE MULE CREEK STATE PRISON INDIVIDUAL REPORT. WHAT THE

9
10 DEFENDANTS DID WAS SEND ME SOME KIND OF HEALTH INSPECTION SHOWING MOPS & BUCK-

11
12 ET, COUNTING CHEMICALS ECT. THAT WAS A CLEAR ATTEMPT TO STALL MY INVESTI-

13
14 GATION AGAIN. PLAYING GAMES I WAS LOOKING FOR FACTS OF A SERIOUS CRIME

15
16 NOT B.S. I DID NOT HAVE AN OFFICE WITH 50 OR MORE ATTORNEYS TO DO MY

17
18 LEG WORK FOR ME OR A HALF BILLION DOLLARS OR MORE OF COMPUTERS TO

19
20 DO MY THINKING FOR ME OR TWO SURGES IN MY POCKET TO TAKE UP MY SLACK.

21
22 ANOTHER FLY IN THE OINTMENT WAS WARDEN LIZARRAGA WAS ARRESTED AT

23
24 MULE CREEK. FOR WHAT I DONT KNOW EXACTLY BUT HEARD RUMORS. IN

25
26 ANY EVENT WARDEN LIZARRAGA WAS UNSERIMONEOUSLY FIRED BY CDC.

27
28 AT THAT POINT HE BECAME MY PRIMARY WITNESS AND I DONT THINK HE

1
2 WOULD LIE FOR CDC. THIS COULD HAVE BEEN ON THE RECORD IF I COULD

3
4 HAVE HAD HELP TO GET A DEPOSITION ON G/O A. MAYBERRY AND WARREN

5
6 LIZARRAGA BUT I WAS INDIGENT AND COULD NOT AFFORD IT THE STATE USED

7
8 MY POVERTY TO DEPRIVE ME OF DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION RIGHTS

9
10 THE STATE ABUSED THE LAW TO HIDE ITS CRIME. MR LIZARRAGA WAS NO

11
12 FRIEND OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. SO THEN ATTORNEY GENERAL KAMALA D

13
14 HAARIZ USED HER DEMOCRATIC CONNECTIONS TO GET THE SUMMARY

15
16 JUDGEMENT CLINCHED. HER POLITICAL CLOUT WITH JUDGE ENGLAND AND

17
18 ~~MR~~ MAGISTRATE BARBERS. THESE JUDGES THINK HELPING THE STATE IS THE

19
20 SAME AS HELPING THE PEOPLE AND THAT IS NOT SO THAT'S POLITICS. WHAT THE

21
22 STATE DID IS ILLEGAL AND INTENTIONAL AND THE JUDGES HAVE MADE CALIFORNIA

23
24 AND ITS AGENTS ABOVE THE LAW. HISTORY IS REPEATING ITSELF AGAIN. THIS

25
26 SERIOUS CONFLICT HAS BEEN SWEEPED UNDER THE RUG AND WASHED AWAY

27
28 WITHOUT ANY DISCUSSION OF THE FACTS AND THE STATES CASE IS BASED ON OLD

1
2 OBSOLETE COURT RULES AND PROCEDURE THAT SIMPLY ~~HELPS~~ HELPS THE
3
4 STATE AND NOT THE PEOPLES POSITION I SUBMIT TO THIS COURT THE STATE
5
6 EXISTS TO SERVE THE PEOPLE BUT THESE COURTS HAVE REVERSED THIS TO THE PEOPLE
7
8 SERVE THE STATE AND THE COURTS THAT ~~ARE~~ ARE SUPPOSED TO PROTECT US
9
10 HAS FAILED MISERABLY. IT IS TIME TO QUIT TRYING TO OVERTHINK THE
11
12 CONSTITUTION AND TAKE IT VERBATIM THE WAY ITS WRITTEN. AMERICA IS
13
14 COLLAPSING JUST LIKE THE ROMAN EMPIRE DID. FIRST MORAL DECLINE AS WE SEE
15
16 VIOLATIONS OF LEVITICUS 20:13 HAS LED THE WAY. THE FOUNDING FATHERS
17
18 DID NOT MEAN TO MAKE SEXUAL DEVIATES A CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT THEY
19
20 WOULD HAVE BEEN HUNG OR STONED IN 1776. THIS COURT SPIT IN THE EYES OF THE
21
22 MAJORITY VOTERS AGAINST THE PROP¹⁸ AGAINST SOME SEX MARRIAGES. I PRAY THIS
23
24 COURT DOES NOT LET THIS STATE GET AWAY WITH THIS CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY
25
26 IN THE SAME FASHION. PETITIONER PRAYS THIS COURT REVERSE THIS CASE ENTIRELY
27
28 ORDER THE US - JUSTICE DEPARTMENT INVESTIGATE COMPLETELY THE ASBESTOS

POISONING OF INMATES AT MULE CREEK STATE PRISON OVER THE LAST 30 YEARS

AS A CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY AND PUNISH THOSE RESPONSIBLE AND GRANT ME THE

ADJUDICATION WITH ALL SPEED SO I MAY RECOVER MY DAMAGES AND PUNITIVE DUE

ASKED FOR

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED 6-28-23

Ray D. Lee Jr. F-94040 6-28-23

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Ray Osawa Jr.

Date: 5-28-23