

# United States Court of Appeals For the First Circuit

No. 22-1366

---

BETSY SACHS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

FINANCIAL INDUSTRY REGULATORY AUTHORITY,

Defendant - Appellee.

---

Before

Kayatta, Thompson and Gelpí,  
Circuit Judges.

---

## JUDGMENT

Entered: October 6, 2022

Pro se plaintiff-appellant Betsy Sachs appeals from the sua sponte dismissal of her civil action at the screening stage, 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e). Following a careful review of the limited arguments presented on appeal and relevant portions of the record, we affirm, substantially for the reasons set out by the district court. See Bradshaw v. Corr. Med. Servs., Inc., 6 F. App'x 45, 46 (1st Cir. 2001) (unpublished per curiam) (reviewing screening dismissals for failure to state a claim de novo). We add that, with her opening brief, Sachs has not sufficiently developed any claims of error focused on the district court's reasoning for dismissal, see United States v. Zannino, 895 F.2d 1, 17 (1st Cir. 1990) (arguments raised in only a perfunctory and undeveloped manner are deemed waived on appeal), and the arguments that are set out in the brief lack merit.

Affirmed. See 1st Cir. R. 27.0(c).

By the Court:

Maria R. Hamilton, Clerk

cc:

Betsy Sachs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

## Betsy Sachs

Plaintiff,

V.

No. 1:21-cv-00085-MSM

**Financial Industry Regulatory  
Authority**

Defendants.

)Es

## JUDGMENT

This action came to be heard before the Court and a decision has been rendered. Upon consideration whereof, it is now hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed as follows:

Judgment is hereby entered pursuant to this Court's Order of May 6, 2022.

It is so ordered.

May 6, 2022

By the Court:

/s/ Hanorah Tyer-Witek  
Clerk of Court

# United States Court of Appeals For the First Circuit

---

No. 22-1366

BETSY SACHS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

FINANCIAL INDUSTRY REGULATORY AUTHORITY,

Defendant - Appellee.

---

Before

Kayatta, Thompson and Gelpí,  
Circuit Judges.

---

## ORDER OF COURT

Entered: November 15, 2022

Pro se plaintiff-appellant Betsy Sachs's "petition for rehearing" is **denied**. See Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(2).

By the Court:

Maria R. Hamilton, Clerk

cc:  
Betsy Sachs