


No. 23-5057

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



ORIGINAL

Nicholas Scott Werling ~~PKA~~ Lil Sippy — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Circuit Court for Rock County RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Wisconsin Court of Appeals, District IV
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)


PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Nicholas Werling 239308
(Your Name)

Rock County Jail, 200 East U.S. Highway 14
(Address)

Janesville, WI 53545-8809
(City, State, Zip Code)

MA
(Phone Number)



QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- IS a \$500,000 bail for an indigent 28-year-old criminal defendant for a charge of bail jumping (allegedly violating bond condition of not being within 500 feet of a school) who has never missed court and has no evidence of fleeing in violation of the Federal constitution's prohibition of excessive bail?
- IS a bond condition that one cannot possibly follow (not being within 500 feet of a school) constitutional?

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

Excessive bail clause - U.S. constitution

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

Court of Appeals of Wisconsin, District IV opinion is unpublished but can be found online - Wisconsin Court of Appeals case No. 2022AP002020-W
Rock County Circuit Court case Number 2022CF002221 can be found online at Wisconsin Circuit Court Access website.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

Wisconsin Court of Appeals ~~denied~~ opinion - February 27, 2023
Wisconsin Supreme Court denied review - May 24, 2023

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Excessive Bail Clause — U.S. Constitution

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Petitioner Was charged with bail jumping on October 28, 2022 and taken to jail the next day. A tyrannical court commissioner faggot with no respect for the constitution set Petitioner's bail at \$500,000 even though he was 28 years old, indigent, had never missed court, and there was no evidence he was a flight risk. The cocksucker apparently did this to coerce petitioner into pleading guilty by keeping him in jail. He is in conspiracy with the prosecutor and they are probably in a gay/bisexual/queer relationship where they take turns fucking each other.

This \$500,000 bail under the circumstances violates Petitioner's right to be free from excessive bail.

Also the courts are imposing a condition of "not to be within 500 feet of a school" which is impossible to follow and will just keep petitioner in jail. Petitioner is currently in jail for driving past a school. Fucking ridiculous.

Petitioner petitioned the Wisconsin Court of Appeals, District IV for a ~~writ~~ writ of mandamus requiring the circuit court to set a reasonable bail, but the writ was denied.

Petitioner petitioned the Wisconsin Supreme court for Review, but Review was denied.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

- To stop tyrannical faggots Jack C. Hoag (Commissioner) and Kyle Edward Johnson (Prosecutor) from using excessive bail as pretrial ~~detention~~ detention to coerce defendants to plead guilty

- To stop aforementioned faggots and those like them from setting bond conditions that are impossible to follow - like not being within 500 feet of a school. Petitioner can't even get to fucking court without driving by a school - they charged petitioner with ~~being~~ ^{being} driving within 500 feet of a school.

- To give Kyle Johnson and Jack Hoag something to think and cry about while they're fucking each other in the ass

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Cel SHAPY

Date: June 10, 2023