

No. 23-49

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
JUL 17 2023
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

—◆—
IN RE: BETTY JANE AYERS,
DAVID RUSSELL AYERS, and
SARAH WALKER BRUUN,

Petitioners.

—◆—
**On Petition For A Writ Of Mandamus
To The Supreme Court Of The State Of Tennessee**

—◆—
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS

—◆—
BETTY JANE AYERS and
DAVID RUSSELL AYERS
162 Wade Lane
Oak Ridge, TN 37830
865-243-5217

SARAH WALKER BRUUN
405 Horton Rd. SE
Cleveland, TN 37323
206-436-9169
Petitioners, Pro Se

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- 1) The Tennessee State Supreme Court has entered a decision in conflict with the decisions of two other State Supreme Courts recently rendered in this matter, and as it affects a Constitutional right of Petitioners, in that they have been denied their right of suffrage and to redress this and related grievances, we ask this Court to settle this without delay.
- 2) A conflict exists whether this matter should be heard in State Court or Federal Court in Tennessee, and we ask our Honorable Justices to settle this as Judges in Tennessee have failed to hold a hearing for this matter in State Court, and Federal Court has failed to remand and also failed to hold a hearing on this in Tennessee as law issued by this Court affirms. As this Court has already rendered a decision that it should be heard at both levels, Petitioners ask their Justices to settle this conflict in these Courts quickly.
- 3) There is a serious conflict between a decision regarding treason as rendered by this Court and the failure of the lower courts to hear or order this matter be heard as per this Court's decision and the Constitution. As this matter is of utmost importance to our national security as it involves treason and the flipping of the 2020 electronic vote for the office of President and Vice President, and involves the refusal to act by many government officers including Judges with a sworn duty to act whom Petitioners reported this to, and as this has left our nation compromised at the highest level, we ask this Court to quickly resolve this.

QUESTIONS PRESENTED – Continued

4) A conflict exists between Federal Law and State law in this matter, and elections have been held in Tennessee and many other States upon electronic voting machines not certified per federal law. We therefore ask our Honorable Justices to decide: Should the 2020 and 2022 elections held in States participating in the Federal Help America Vote Act (HAVA) law but who used machines not certified according to this federal law be decertified, because not only Petitioners' State, but multiple States failed to uphold this federal law and used electronic voting machines not certified per that law.

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING

Petitioners in this matter are individuals representing themselves and are fully listed on the cover:

BETTY JANE AYERS, DAVID RUSSELL
AYERS, SARAH WALKER BRUUN

Respondents in the case in Tennessee are fully listed on the cover and are named in their individual capacities for violating Petitioners' rights and for violations of their Oaths while in their elected or appointed government seats by or for the people of the State of Tennessee:

TN Secretary of State TRE HARGETT, Anderson County Election Administrator MARK STEPHENS, TN Attorney General JONATHAN SKRMETTI, TN Deputy Attorney General JANET KLEINFELTER, Congressman DAVID KUSTOFF, Congressman JIM COOPER, Congressman STEVE COHEN, Senator MARSHA BLACKBURN, and Senator BILL HAGERTY

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Plaintiffs are individuals, filing in their individual capacity, and there are no parent or publicly held company/companies owning 10% or more of any corporation's stock.

LIST OF PROCEEDINGS

1) U.S. District Court – Eastern District of Tennessee (Knoxville) Docket #3:22-cv-00292-TAV-JEM, *Bruun et al. v. Hargett et al.*, 11/04/2022 Order granting Plaintiffs’ Voluntary Notice of Dismissal without prejudice, (Plaintiffs realized they filed in wrong court – this filing is mentioned here not for review, but because it is related. Petitioners – then Plaintiffs – re-filed in the State Court in number 2 below for Respondents’ violations of Plaintiffs’ right to vote under the Tennessee Constitution, and additionally reported the resultant matter of treason and overthrow of the U.S. Government to the Judge).

2) Circuit Court of Anderson County, Tennessee, Docket #C2LA0108 (RS), filed 9/20/22 no orders yet. Letter of status hearing set for 30 days after filing, but Senators and Congressmen Respondents filed notice of removal to U.S. District Court – Eastern District of Tennessee (Knoxville) immediately before the hearing, avoiding the hearing. Judge had not ruled on Petitioners’ Motion to change status hearing to a hearing for Writ of Quo Warranto as originally filed for, nor did he rule on their notice of remand, nor their additional motion for a hearing or their motion of demand for default judgement. Petitioners cited this Court’s case law supporting that the case should be heard at *both* the State and Federal levels but the Judge did not set a hearing or render default judgment.

3) U.S. District Court – Eastern District of Tennessee (Knoxville), Docket #3:22-cv-00370-TAV-JEM (TV1),

LIST OF PROCEEDINGS – Continued

Ayers et al. v. Hargett et al., Order Denying Petitioners' Motion to Remand and ordering them to answer Respondents' Motions to Dismiss. 12/06/2022. Judge has failed to hold a hearing or rule on Petitioners' response of 12/20/2022 which asked to both remand and to hold a hearing within 10 days per *Gamble v. United States* and the law of Writ of Quo Warranto among other things.

4) Supreme Court of Tennessee at Knoxville, Docket #E2022-015510SC-WRM-CV, *Betty Jane Ayers et al. v. Tre Hargett et al.*, Order of Denial of request for Writ of Mandamus 11/14/2022. (This Court would not issue a Writ of Mandamus to the Judge in the Anderson County Circuit Court to hold a hearing for Petitioners' Writ of Quo Warranto despite this being a report of flipping of votes to steal the highest office in the United States – this decision by the TN Supreme Court is in direct conflict with two other recent State Supreme Court rulings that affirm injured voters have standing and should be heard for a voting injury.)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Questions Presented.....	i
Parties to the Proceeding.....	iii
Corporate Disclosure Statement	iii
List of Proceedings.....	iv
Table of Contents.....	vi
Table of Authorities	viii
Note for Clerks.....	1
Petition for Writ of Mandamus.....	2
Opinions and Orders Entered	2
Jurisdiction	3
Constitutional Provisions and Statutory Provisions Involved.....	5
Rule 20.1 Statement	6
Statement of the Case	6
Reasons for Granting the Petition.....	10
Conclusion.....	27

APPENDIX:

Supreme Court of Tennessee, Order, November 14, 2022	App. 1
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Tennessee, Order, November 4, 2022	App. 2

TABLE OF CONTENTS – Continued

	Page
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Tennessee, Memorandum Opinion and Order, December 6, 2022	App. 5
Constitutional Provisions, Statutory Provisions, and Case Law Involved.....	App. 11
Circuit Court for Anderson County, Docket for Case #C2LA0108.....	App. 25
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Tennessee, Docket for Case #3:22- cv-00370-TAV-JEM	App. 28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

CASES

- Albence v. Higgins*, Case No. 342, 2022
- Bivens v. Six Unknown Fed. Narcotics Agents*,
403 U.S. 388 (1971)
- Boag v. MacDougall*, 454 U.S. 364, 102 S.Ct. 700,
70 L.Ed.2d 551 (1982)
- Bonner v. Circuit Court of St. Louis*, 526 F.2d
1331 (8th Cir. 1975) (quoting *Bramlet v. Wil-
son*, 495 F.2d 714 (8th Cir. 1974))
- Butz v. Economou*, 438 U.S. 478 (1978) Applica-
ble to every person in this matter who swore
an Oath to uphold the TN & or U.S. Constitu-
tion(s)
- Conley v. Gibson*, 355 U.S. 41, 78 S.Ct. 99, 2
L.Ed.2d 80 (1957)
- Cooper v. Aaron*, 358 U.S. 1, 78 S.Ct. 1401 (1958)
- Cramer v. United States*, 325 U.S. 1 – Supreme
Court 1945
- Ex parte Bollman*, 8 U.S. (4 Cranch) 75 (1807)
- Ex parte Young*, 209 U.S. 123 (1908)
- Gamble v. United States*, No. 17-646, 587 U.S.
___ (2019)
- Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 92 S.Ct. 594, 30
L.Ed.2d 652 (1972)

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

	Page
<i>Marbury v. Madison</i> , 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137 (1803) Applicable to laws used to deny rights of Petitioner/Petitioners in Orders	137
<i>McDowell v. Delaware State Police</i> , 88 F.3d 188 (3rd Cir. 1996)	188
<i>Pierson v. Ray, et al.</i> , 386 U.S. 547 (1967)	
<i>Poling v. K. Hovnanian Enterprises</i> , 99 F.Supp.2d 502 (D.N.J. 2000)	
<i>S.E.C. v. Elliott</i> , 953 F.2d 1560 (11th Cir. 1992)	
<i>S22g0039. Sons of Confederate Veterans et al. v. Henry County Board of Commissioners</i>	
<i>S22g0045. Sons of Confederate Veterans et al. v. Newton County Board of Commissioners</i>	
<i>Scheuer v. Rhodes</i> , 416 U.S. 232, 94 S.Ct. 1683 (1974)	
<i>United States v. Day</i> , 969 F.2d 39 (3rd Cir. 1992)	
<i>United States v. Miller</i> , 197 F.3d 644 (3rd Cir. 1999)	
<i>United States v. Throckmorton</i> , 98 U.S. 61 (1878)	

CONSTITUTION AND STATUTES

State of Tennessee Constitution Article I, Sec- tion 1.....	5
State of Tennessee Constitution Article I, Sec- tion 5.....	5

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

	Page
State of Tennessee Constitution Article I, Section 8.....	5
State of Tennessee Constitution Article I, Section 17.....	5
State of Tennessee Constitution Article I, Section 23.....	5
State of Tennessee Constitution Article VI, Judicial Department, Section 1	5
Tennessee Code, Title 29 Chapter 35 – Usurpation or Forfeiture of Office or Franchise.....	5
18 U.S. Code § 2381	5
18 U.S. Code §2382 – Misprision of treason	5
18 U.S. Code § 2383 – Rebellion or insurrection	5
18 U.S. Code § 2384 – Seditious conspiracy.....	5
Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA), Pub. L. No. 107-252, 116 Stat. 1666 (2002) codified at 52 U.S.C. § 20901 to 21145	5, 9, 20, 21, 24
United States Constitution Preamble: Applies in principle to entirety of Petition	5, 28
United States Constitution Article III, Section 3, Clause 1.....	5
United States Constitution, Article IV, Section 1.....	5
United States Constitution, Article VI.....	5
U.S. Constitution, Amendment I: Applicable to all Orders of Denial and failure of judges to hear.....	5

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

	Page
U.S. Constitution, Amendment V: Applies to all Orders of Denial in Appendix	5
U.S. Constitution, Amendment IX: Applies to all Orders of Denial in Appendix	5
U.S. Constitution, Amendment XII	5
U.S. Constitution, Amendment XIV	5

NOTE FOR CLERKS:

Per advice of Emergency Clerk, Robert Meek, Esq., Petitioners insert here this note to alert Clerks that in addition to being a Petition for Review, this is a report of **treason** per Petitioners' duty under the Constitution, reporting Judges at the Circuit Court in Anderson County Tennessee and the Tennessee Supreme Court who ignored their sworn Oath to and duty to act under the Constitution by failing to act upon Petitioners' report to them of treason and overthrow of the Presidency and Vice Presidency of the United States using electronic voting machines. Petitioners' prior nine petitions for review by the Supreme Court or our Sixth Circuit Justice have been returned by Clerks for corrections which we have made our best efforts to correct, so please note: *this a report that our nation has been overthrown at the highest two elected seats – President and Vice President, and a report that Judges in the two lower courts have failed in their duty to act on Petitioners' reports of treason and hear the matter or order the lower court to hear it.* We charge the Supreme Court Clerks under their sworn Oath and duty to report treason, to docket this matter and hand this report of treason to the Judge(s) "as soon as may be" per your sworn Oath to the Constitution and the law. Petitioners are informing Clerks the office of the President and Vice President are compromised, so please deliver this report immediately as above, per the law and your sworn Oath to uphold the Constitution. Do not delay in passing this report to the Judge(s), but *immediately* hand them this report of treason, as it is in aid of those

who committed treason and a felony to fail report a matter of treason to a Judge, “as soon as may be.” **U.S. Constitution, Amendment XIV, 18 U.S.C. § 2382.**

◆

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

Petitioners respectfully petition this Court for a writ of Mandamus to review the judgment of the Supreme Court of the State of Tennessee.

“In all Cases affecting Ambassadors, other public Ministers and Consuls, and those in which a State shall be Party, the supreme Court shall have original Jurisdiction. In all other Cases before mentioned, the supreme Court shall have appellate Jurisdiction, both as to Law and Fact, with such Exceptions, and under such Regulations as the Congress shall make.”

Opinions and Orders Entered in State, Federal, and Supreme Courts in This Matter:

- 1) U.S. District Court – Eastern District of Tennessee (Knoxville), **Docket #3:22-cv-00292-TAV-JEM**, *Bruun et al. v. Hargett et al.*, 11/04/2022 Order granting Plaintiff’s Voluntary Notice of Dismissal without prejudice, Plaintiffs realized they filed in wrong court.
- 2) Anderson County Circuit Court, Anderson, TN, **Docket #C2LA0108 (RS)** – no Orders or Opinions entered, there was a Judge’s Letter issued to parties on 9/21/2022, setting a Status Hearing one month from date of Petitioners’ filing on 9/20/22 for Writ of Quo

Warranto, filed in Circuit Court of Anderson County, Tennessee, **Docket #C2LA0108 (RS)**. No Orders or rulings have been issued yet despite Respondents motioning for change of status hearing from one month to a hearing in 10 days as per their filing of Writ of Quo Warranto and Summonses served, and despite later motions of remand and for a hearing and for default judgment.

3) U.S. District Court – Eastern District of Tennessee (Knoxville), **Docket #3:22-cv-00370-TAV-JEM (TV1)**, *Ayers et al. v. Hargett et al.*, Order of denial of remand, containing Order for Plaintiffs to Answer Respondents’ motion to dismiss 12/06/2022. Plaintiffs answered then motioned for a hearing in this Court as well as the Anderson County Court but the Judge did not answer.

4) Supreme Court of Tennessee at Knoxville, **Docket #E2022-015510SC-WRM-CV**, *Betty Jane Ayers et al. v. Tre Hargett et al.*, Order of Denial of Petition for Writ of Mandamus 11/14/2022 (in which we asked them to order Judge Spitzer to hold a hearing for our Writ of Quo Warranto or issue default judgment, as Respondents had failed to contact the Court to set a hearing in ten days to dispute the evidence presented.)

JURISDICTION

Petitioners now submit our tenth correction to this filing in form of this Petition for Writ of Mandamus. We resubmit this Petition within 60 days of our last denial

on May 24, 2023, to be in timely compliance for filing of this matter.

We apply to our Supreme Court Justices who we believe have Jurisdiction of this case pursuant to **28 U.S.C. § 1651(a)**, and under **Rule 10(c)** “*a state court . . . has decided an important question of federal law that has not been, but should be, settled by this Court,*” and **28 U.S.C. § 42**, and **28 U.S.C. §1251** “(a) *The Supreme Court shall have original and exclusive jurisdiction of all controversies between two or more States.*” (b) “*The Supreme Court shall have original but not exclusive jurisdiction of: (2) All controversies between the United States and a State;*”

28 U.S.C. §1257 “(a) *Final judgments or decrees rendered by the highest court of a State in which a decision could be had, may be reviewed by the Supreme Court by writ of Mandamus where the validity of a treaty or statute of the United States is drawn in question or where the validity of a statute of any State is drawn in question on the ground of its being repugnant to the Constitution, treaties, or laws of the United States, or where any title, right, privilege, or immunity is specially set up or claimed under the Constitution or the treaties or statutes of, or any commission held or authority exercised under, the United States.*” And

United States Constitution, Article III, Section 2, Clause 2:



**CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS AND
STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

State of Tennessee Constitution Article I, Section 1, Sections 5, 8, 17 & 23

State of Tennessee Constitution Article VI, Judicial Department, Section 1

Tennessee Code, Title 29 Chapter 35 - Usurpation or Forfeiture of Office or Franchise

U.S. Constitution Preamble

U.S. Constitution Article III, Section 3, Clause 1

U.S. Constitution, Article IV, Section 1

U.S. Constitution, Article VI

U.S. Constitution, Amendment I

U.S. Constitution, Amendment V

U.S. Constitution, Amendment IX

U.S. Constitution, Amendment XII

U.S. Constitution, Amendment XIV

18 U.S. Code § 2381

18 U.S. Code §2382 - Misprision of treason

18 U.S. Code § 2383 - Rebellion or insurrection

18 U.S. Code § 2384 - Seditious conspiracy

Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA), Pub. L. No. 107-252, 116 Stat. 1666 (2002) codified at 52 U.S.C. 20901 to 21145



RULE 20.1 STATEMENT

We ask this Court to grant this Writ, as we believe it will be in aid of the Court's appellate jurisdiction. We pray this Court will see that the exceptional circumstances outlined herein warrant the exercise of the Court's discretionary powers, and that adequate relief was not able to be obtained in any other form or from any other court.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Petitioners are Citizens in good standing of the United States and Tennessee, Registered Voters of the State of Tennessee. At issue in this matter is that Petitioners reported to Judges a voting injury which was and remains a matter of treason and overthrow of the Presidency of the United States using compromised voting machines, yet these Judges failed to hear or act on Petitioners' report of not only the voting injury, but the report of the overthrow of the Presidency and Vice Presidency of the United States in the 2020 elections, a severe violation of these Judges' sworn oath to uphold the Constitution(s) and have left our nation in an overthrown state.

We presented evidence that any City, County, or State elected government office in any State in the United States can be flipped at the will of anyone with an internet connection, so every elected seat in our entire nation may have been overthrown and can be overthrown in any future election held on these

compromised machines, and due to the Judges' refusals to hear or order this matter be heard, the entire nation has been forced to endure yet another possible flipping of elections in 2022 on these compromised machines, and We the People have been forced to longer endure the America-harming policies of Joe Biden, whom Petitioners have presented evidence We the People did not elect.

As this matter effects and is of vital importance to the security of all States in the United States, not only the Petitioners of Tennessee, we ask you, Honorable Justices, to immediately address this matter to help the entirety of the United States, as she has been overthrown at the two highest elected levels.

Petitioners presented extensive evidence in their Writ of Quo Warranto filed September 20, 2022 at the Anderson County Circuit Court, Anderson County, Tennessee, that our right to vote has been stolen, as our voting machines are compromised. Most significantly we presented our first attachment, the affidavit of Ms. Terpsehore Maras, who represented our own government in flipping over 45 foreign elections using these compromised machines and other techniques, but who began protesting when these methods began to be used on her own people in the United States. Her affidavit affirms that our own government is involved in flipping our votes using these electronic machines, that votes can be flipped at will in any State on any electronic voting machine by anyone with an internet connection, and that most all electronic voting machines were not certified per federal law in the 2020

and now, also, the 2022 elections – in addition to many other details. We included the Mesa County, Colorado’s forensic reports which affirm their machines *were* infiltrated in 2020.

Respondents were informed that Petitioners’ machines are uncertified and likely compromised, and each Respondent has been given supporting evidence as outlined, but has failed to act on this evidence per their sworn oath to uphold our Constitution, (described in detail in our Writ of Quo Warranto) so we filed our Writ of Quo Warranto in Tennessee, but the presiding judge at the Anderson County Circuit Court failed to hear this matter despite many motions, including a motion for default judgment since the Court failed to hold a hearing/Respondents failed to demand a hearing or to resign, and the Tennessee Supreme Court Judge(s) also grievously failed to honor their sworn Oaths to the Constitution, failing to act upon a report of treason and overthrow of our nation & refused to issue Writ of Mandamus to the lower Judge to either hold a hearing or to order default judgement. Judges have grievously failed to address not only Petitioners’ voting injury as two other States’ Supreme Courts have recently affirmed it should be heard, but they have failed to hear this *extreme* matter of treason and overthrow of the Presidency and Vice Presidency which we reported to them-again, a *grievous* violation of their Oath to uphold the Constitution.

Petitioners in this matter have recently been given a denial by the State of Tennessee Supreme Court that is in conflict with the recent decisions of two other

State Supreme Courts, and we ask for Your Honor's help in resolving this conflict as explained further below, as we have in this matter presented to Judges in three Courts sworn evidence that our electronic voting machines are not Election Assistance Commission (EAC) certified, which is a violation of Federal HAVA law, and presented evidence of treason and overthrow of the Presidency and Vice Presidency in our government, using these non-certified electronic voting machines in Tennessee and many other States, Petitioners having prior to their filing reported it to multiple government officers who, like the Judges, all have a sworn oath to uphold the Constitution and had a duty to act, but failed to act upon the information Petitioners presented.

Petitioners filed their Complaint of Writ of Quo Warranto in their State Court, the Anderson County Circuit Court, but the judge there has failed to hold a hearing or issue any Orders, and the Tennessee State Supreme Court has failed to order this judge to act, a denial which conflicts with two other recent State Supreme Court decisions which affirm Petitioners have standing as injured voters to be heard, and thus should be heard, not denied – thus creating a Conflict between the Tennessee State Supreme Court's denial and the Delaware and Georgia State Supreme Courts as related further below, a conflict which we ask this Court to settle.

Defendants then filed to remove the case to the United States District Court Eastern District of Tennessee at Knoxville, and Petitioners have filed to both

remand the case back to the Anderson County Circuit Court *and* also for the Judge of the U.S. District Court to hold a hearing in his Court, as Respondents are liable at both the State and Federal levels (two sovereigns) in this matter, per *Gamble v. United States*. As this Judge, also, has a sworn duty to uphold the Constitution and the law, we ask this Court to note he, as well as the Anderson County Court Judge, has neglected his duty under his sworn Oath by refusing to immediately hear a report of treason and overthrow of our nation using these electronic voting machines as these Petitioners motioned for, requesting a hearing within 10 days, and this Judge, also, has hampered Justice by refusing to also remand the matter back to the State Court to be heard, as Petitioners also motioned for.

◆

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Petitioners should have been heard at both the State and Federal Court in Tennessee, even with legal mistakes, per the law of pro se filers and the duty of the Judges to act, as Petitioners had reported not only a voting injury, but treason and the overthrow of our United States government to the Judges in both the State and Federal Court in Tennessee, and the Judges both had a duty to provide for justice in this matter, as all Judges have a sworn oath to uphold the Constitution and have a duty to follow the law and construe pro se filings liberally, looking past legal errors and instead to the *content and evidence* – which was *clearly*

attached in this matter and also clearly stated in the Writ – to fashion a legal theory to provide relief, even one Petitioners had not thought of, but these Judges have grievously failed in their duty to hold a hearing and provide relief consistent with the evidence presented in this matter. The evidence presented clearly gives sworn proof affirming acts of treason committed upon the United States by a foreign entity, and the treasonous actions of several government officers and our United States Congressmen/women and Senators, giving aid in the overthrow of the Presidency of the United States, (described further below,) sworn evidence affirming the infiltration of foreign entities into our United States' electronic voting machines using our Department of Homeland Security to flip the tally of the 2020 votes for President in order to illegally install Joe Biden and Kamala Harris as President and Vice President.

It is clear these Judges have massively and in concert failed in their duty to provide relief consistent with the filings in this matter.

The Supreme Court of the State of Tennessee has looked past the evidence presented, in which the judges there also had a duty under their oath to uphold the Constitution and act upon the evidence of treason and overthrow of our Nation presented, and instead denied Petitioners' Writ of Mandamus to order the trial Court Judge to do his job and hold a hearing for this severe voter injury. Thus, there are conflicts that exists in this matter between the law of Quo Warranto/ Usurpation as written in Tennessee Statutes and the

failure of all judges in Tennessee in this matter to uphold the law as written and hold/order a hearing, and this Court should settle this, and as mentioned above, this denial of Petitioners by the Supreme Court of the State of Tennessee is additionally in direct conflict with two other recent decisions issued by the Supreme Courts of the States of Delaware and Georgia – which affirm injured voters have standing and ***should be heard***, and we ask this Court to settle this.

This Court has issued forth law that affirms Respondents must answer to two sovereigns when there are two offenses, and the Tennessee Statutes affirm the Anderson County Circuit Court is the proper venue for the County, State, and Federal Respondents in this matter to answer for their offenses against the State of Tennessee Constitutional rights of Petitioners. We ask this Court to affirm that the Anderson County Circuit Court, Anderson County, TN is proper for Federal Respondents to answer for their actions against Petitioners' State Constitutional rights, as Judge Spitzer has not complied with several laws in this matter and has denied Petitioners their right to be heard, their Constitutional rights, and their right to due process, and the Tennessee Supreme Court refused to Order Judge Spitzer to hold a hearing.

As above, this Court has issued forth law in *Gamble v. United States* that affirms, that in cases such as this, the Respondents who are Federal Officers are answerable to two Sovereigns when acting in a manner violative of the Federal Constitution – these Respondents are answerable for the offence in their individual

capacities in the State Court in Tennessee for violating Petitioners' Constitutional rights under the Tennessee Constitution and statutes, but also are answerable for their offence in their capacity as a Federal Officer for violating the United States Constitution and federal statutes.

We ask this Court to settle the conflict that exists between law as issued forth by this Court in *Gamble v. United States*, and the failure of the State Court in this matter to hold a hearing, and the failure of the Supreme Court of the State of Tennessee's failure to order Judge Spitzer to hold a hearing as per this law, as well. Additionally, because this matter is of such national importance, we ask this Court to settle the conflicts that exist with this matter and the U.S. District Court, Eastern District at Knoxville.

As this matter is filed in both the State and Federal Courts in Tennessee, and both have refused to hold a hearing within 10 days as Petitioners requested, Petitioners believe they have filed in every Court they have available to them under the law, and no judge has heard these matters which are *vital* to our nation's security, violating Petitioners' Constitutional rights, and should have been heard quickly per the judges' Oaths. *Because this matter is vital to our nation's security, we ask this Court to immediately settle these matters.*

Upholding our Constitution and *Marbury v. Madison*, Petitioners ask this Court: Despite any failures by Petitioners to follow procedures regarding order of appeals, we ask this Court to review our grievances

under the Supreme law of the Constitution(s) which affirm Petitioners have the right to have their grievances heard and to abolish abusive members within our government. Anderson County Circuit Court's failure to hold a hearing and the Tennessee State Supreme Court's Order of Denial for our Writ of Mandamus in which we asked them to Order the Judge to hold a hearing in this matter, are against the Constitution(s). It is a violation of a Judge's Oath to refuse to hear a report of treason and overthrow of the Presidency. The Attorneys General, Deputy Attorney General, Secretary of State, our County Election Administrator, County Attorney, and others handling this matter have also refused to take action on this evidence presented to them, per their sworn oaths to uphold the Constitution. Additionally, we report the Judge at the United States District Court in Knoxville has ordered Petitioners to explain why the case should not be dismissed, and this is not in keeping with the common law and statutes of Writ of Quo Warranto in which the burden is upon the *Respondent* to either resign or come to a hearing to dispute the evidence presented. All judges in this matter had a duty to hold a hearing for the evidence presented if Respondents didn't resign by the time limit issued on the Summonses in this matter, but instead issued to Petitioners orders inconsistent with the law of Writ of Quo Warranto, and have allowed the further removal of Petitioners' State granted Constitutional right to vote by delaying this matter past the 2022 elections, and denied Petitioners' right of redress with their refusals to simply hold a hearing. All judges have ignored the evidence presented of treason and

overthrow of our government, which is inconsistent with their oath to support the Constitution(s) and the law, and we ask this Court to settle these issues and overturn all denials and hear and decide these matters.

We ask this Court to review the evidence presented, apply the law, and prosecute according to the law. We also ask this Court to relieve of their duties and prosecute those who violated their Oaths as related herein, as they had a duty under their sworn oath to the two Constitutions in this matter and under the law to ensure justice for Petitioners' reports of treason, the overthrow of the Presidency of the United States and the infringement of Petitioners' Constitutional rights of redress and suffrage.

Petitioners sent their evidence which indicates all electronic voting machines are able to be accessed and votes flipped to Anderson County Election Administrator Mark Stephens, but he refused to cease using the compromised electronic voting machines which are stealing our right to vote and refused our requests to revert to paper ballots and could not provide the requested EAC certification certificates and told us to get them from the State. Petitioners sent the evidence to Secretary of State Tre Hargett multiple times using different methods, yet he refused to answer or provide the requested EAC certification and instead contracted with then Tennessee Attorney General Herbert Slatery and his Deputy Assistant General (DAG) Janet Kleinfelter to oppose Petitioners in Court when they were forced by Tre Hargett's refusal to answer to file a Writ

of Quo Warranto in this matter. Petitioners then asked the Attorney General (via his Deputy Attorney General), in light of the evidence, to join and represent Petitioners, but instead they violated their oath to uphold the Constitution and continued to oppose Petitioners and took legal action against Petitioners, thwarting Petitioners' efforts in reporting treason and the overthrow of our Presidency by reporting it to a judge, and filed to dismiss Petitioners' Writ.

Petitioners also asked their Anderson County Law Director Attorney N. Jay Yeager, Esq., to join them and represent Petitioners in this matter after Mr. Yeager became attorney for Mark Stephens, our Anderson County Election Commissioner, but Mr. Yeager also violated his oath to the TN & U.S. Constitutions and also refused to address the matter of treason and flipping of our United States Presidency, breaching our Tennessee Constitutionally granted rights to vote and have a pure ballot box, and Mr. Yeager filed a motion to dismiss against Petitioners.

Acts by Respondents and attorneys to thwart Petitioners' efforts of redress, to restore their Constitutional right to vote and have their vote cast for their candidate of choice, and acts by Respondents and attorneys to thwart Petitioners' efforts to report treason and overthrow of our Presidency and Vice Presidency to a State or U.S. judge (per Petitioners' duties as United States Citizens) are traitorous and in aid of the enemy. Every Respondent and every County, State, and U.S.

Attorney opposing us in this matter has sworn an Oath to uphold the Constitution and has violated their Oath. In light of the evidence Petitioners presented to these individuals, the above actions of Respondents and attorneys are an act of war in aid of the enemy of the United States and this Court must hold them accountable.

Petitioners' Writ of Quo Warranto filed in this matter contained multiple affidavits, evidence, and demonstrative videos affirming the United States' electronic voting machines not only are *able* to be accessed and votes flipped but *were* accessed by our Department of Homeland Security and foreign entities and the vote tally for President and Vice President in the 2020 elections was confessed in affidavit (which Petitioners presented) to have been flipped. This evidence of proof of voter fraud – and therefore a voter injury – gave and gives Petitioners standing in this matter, affirmed as mentioned above, in the recent decisions by two other State Supreme Courts, in addition to the right of redress they also possess in reporting the overthrow of our government, Constitutional rights which Petitioners have been denied by all judges and government officials in this matter.

Definitive evidence presented included Attachments G & H to the Writ of Quo Warranto, a signed affidavit and supporting article from a foreign entity as to a cyber-crime committed by foreign government(s), relating that the electronic voting machines in many States in the United States had been infiltrated and

the tallies for the vote for the Presidency and Vice Presidency of the United States in the 2020 election had been flipped from favoring the man the majority of We the People voted for, Donald J. Trump, to favor Joe Biden and Kamala Harris – giving them an illegal and fraudulent “victory.” Petitioners related an affidavit of proof of the flipping of this vote had been given to our United States Congressmen/women and Senators *prior* to their January 6, 2021 vote not to send the vote back to the States to be re-certified, and these Senators and Congressmen/women thus *knowingly* denied Petitioners and many other U.S. Citizens their right to vote with the expectation that the candidate they elected would be sworn in, and these Congressmen/women and Senators *knowingly* allowed a man and woman they knew for certain We the People of the United States did not elect as our President/Vice President to be seated as President and Vice President, an act of treason in which they had assembled together in the District of Columbia and knowingly conspired and aided a foreign entity in the overthrow of the government of the United States of America at the highest level by refusing to send a vote they had proof was fraudulent back to the States to be re-certified, and additionally withheld this proof from their respective State and denied the State their right to consider the proof of fraud the Senators were given in this matter and to re-certify the vote. This act was broadcast live on the internet. and the video and the tallies of the votes are still available, being viewed by more than the two witnesses the law requires.

Petitioners presented in this Writ the above proof given to the Congressmen/women and Senators as well as much additional supporting evidence, which importantly included the above mentioned affidavit from Ms. Tore Maras, a former government sub-contractor who worked under CIA Director John Brennan and who oversaw flipping over 45 foreign elections using the above and other techniques, but who began “whistleblowing” when this technique was employed upon Americans. Her affidavit outlines in explicit detail, describing exactly and technically how the United States’ electronic voting machines are able to be accessed and votes switched using a “trapdoor” back-door mechanism which most all U.S. electronic voting machines possess, and outlines a secure paper-ballot voting method which Petitioners in our Writ of Quo Warranto motion be adopted by the United States.¹ Per demands

¹ Per our right to throw off abuse: We ask the Honorable Justices to issue Orders per our demands in our Writ of Quo Warranto, which include demand for in-person voting, no electronic voting machines or counters involved in any way as we can’t see inside the box and guarantee every single vote is counted as cast nor can the electors. We demand from this day forward, voting be done on paper ballots only, in one day, on election day only, with ID, counted at the close of polls in a secure manner by hand by volunteers from every party which includes independent, and no mail in ballots, all monitored by volunteers from every political party in every state, in lieu of electronic voting machines. Voting for our military and Citizens stationed for work more than three hours away from their home or overseas may be done on election day in the same described secure manner at military bases via application received at least 30 days prior to election, and those certified by their physician to be permanently confined to home or a rest home but able to pass a Mini-Mental State Exam may vote by means of a secure mail in ballot or mobile voter teams (a secure

in Writ, we ask this include that the Court issue a permanent injunction against the use of all electronic voting machines or the use of anything electronic in counting votes in the United States of America, forevermore. We the People have no voice if we have no vote, and we cannot verify our vote is counted as cast, or verify the Twelfth Amendment is not violated if electors cannot receive and transmit a verifiably accurate tally of votes, as we cannot see inside nor are we allowed inside these electronic voting machines or their software, thus we demand as in our Writ of Quo Warranto, that electronic voting machines and electronic counting methods be forevermore banned in these United States.

Ms. Maras clearly outlines the federal Help America Vote Act (HAVA) law in her affidavit and shows how the majority of States using electronic voting machines as per their agreement to participate in this federal HAVA law are in violation of federal law because they are using electronic voting machines *not EAC certified* according to this law. To legally be used in an election, all electronic voting machines must have a valid Election Assistance Commission (EAC) Certificate which contains a dated, valid and current Voting System Test Laboratories (VSTL) lab certification upon it, as outlined by Ms. Maras' affidavit, which she in detail

method to be determined and overseen by We the People) by application at least 30 days prior to election. In addition to all of this, we demand random audits in every State with every election, and audits when any credible matter of fraud is presented henceforth.

explains is federal law as outlined within the HAVA manuals. Both the Anderson County, Tennessee Election Administrator Mark Stephens and Tennessee Secretary of State Tre Hargett failed to produce valid EAC Certificates when Petitioners requested them in this matter,² and Petitioners have verified what Ms. Maras' affidavit relates: the EAC Certificates for the machines used in the 2020 and 2022 elections in the State of Tennessee are all expired and invalid, leaving their machines uncertified and in direct violation of federal HAVA law as they took funding from and participated in HAVA. This Court must address this issue, as this violation of federal law by a State likely exists for the entirety of the United States which are participating in HAVA and using electronic voting machines not EAC certified according to federal law. We therefore ask these Justices to adjudicate/hear this matter and issue forth the order that all 2020 and 2022 elections be declared invalid and de-certified if they were held on machines not certified according to federal law, and order new, secure elections be held³ in the secure manner described herein within 60 days. **All officials elected with these machines who cannot submit a *valid* EAC Certification with a *valid* VSTL certification upon that certificate, for every machine they were elected with must resign immediately** and members of We the People will fill the

² Secretary of State Tre Hargett's administration also refused all of Plaintiff's public records requests in this matter.

³ Except for President for reasons described below.

vacated seats until new elections can be held, with one exception, as follows:

The only exception to filling the seats vacated in the decertification of the 2020 and 2022 elections shall be for the Presidency of the United States, as we have presented an affidavit affirming that the vote tally issued by We the People in the 2020 election favored Donald J. Trump, but that the vote was flipped, and the Presidency was stolen from the man We the People elected, President Donald J. Trump. Petitioners demand what was stolen be *immediately* given back to the man it was stolen from, President Donald J. Trump. We additionally demand he be allowed to choose the new Vice President he wishes to aid him in serving the remainder of this term until the 2024 elections, and that he be allowed to run in the 2024 elections as he was not given this full term we elected him in 2020 to serve.

We ask you, Honorable Justices, to see that Petitioners' demands as in their Writ of Quo Warranto are carried out.

Additional evidence Petitioners presented in their Writ included affidavits and/or sworn testimony from many other professionals who also swore to the above, that our electronic voting machines can be infiltrated, and votes flipped by anyone who knows how, at any time. Forensic audit reports were attached that the Clerk of Mesa County, Colorado had performed on their electronic voting machines after the 2020 election which supported and agreed with Ms. Maras' affidavit,

and showed the machines had been infiltrated and votes flipped. Other States in addition to Tennessee, used/use this type of machine in one or more of their voting precincts.

This Court will know that a social contract is one of the foundations of our American political system, and is outlined within our Constitution, whose first three words establish it is "We the People" who are sovereign and everything after the first paragraph of duties of "We the People" establishes elected or appointed government positions who shall be contracted to serve We the People while upholding our Constitution.

Accordingly, an election is a social contract established in our Constitution between the electorate and the elected. Plaintiffs have presented sworn evidence that the contract between We the People and Joseph Biden/Kamala Harris for the election of the office of President and Vice President has been irreparably vitiated by fraud as is clearly shown within the evidence Petitioners presented in this matter.

Public trust in the United States' electronic voting machines is therefore profoundly violated, and We the People declare the Social Contract for the election for President and Vice President between We the People and Joseph R. Biden and Kamala D. Harris has been vitiated by reason of fraud. As this matter of uncertified electronic voting machines has compromised the security of our entire nation, as the election of every county, state and federal government officer is held on these uncertified machines that have been confessed to

being infiltrated and having had the two highest elected seats flipped as above, and we have no way of knowing if other seats have been flipped, and as our State Court and State Supreme Court refused to hear or order this report of treason and overthrow of our government via compromised election machines to be heard as per their duty, we ask this Court review this matter and issue an order decertifying all 2020 and 2022 elections held on machines not certified per federal law and order new elections be held with the exception, as above, of the vote for President which shall be given back to the man We the People elected, as the evidence mentioned herein shows, and issue a Permanent Writ of Injunction against the use of all electronic voting machines in the U.S. We ask that this Court decertify the 2020 and 2022 elections held on electronic voting machines not certified per federal HAVA law null and void for reasons of fraud and violation of federal HAVA law.

The numerous County, State and Federal government officers in this matter *all* have a sworn oath to uphold the U.S. Constitution and/or the Tennessee Constitution, but every Respondent and others as mentioned herein – all of them a County, State or United States government officer – that this treasonous matter was reported to by Petitioners⁴ has either

⁴ Petitioner Ayers reported this matter in an email letter to Senator Chuck Grassley at a “whistleblower” link in which he asked for reports like this on July 24, 2022 and asked him to invoke his Congressional power as per the enumerations in The Constitution, Section 8, Clauses 9 and 15, and call for tribunals

ignored the report or refused to take action on the evidence, denied or refused to hear Petitioners' filings, or took legal actions *against* Petitioners, and thus are named as Defendants/Respondents⁵, and we ask that this Court apply the law in this matter and issue forth our Demands as in our Writ and herein, relieve these offenders of their positions, prosecute them under the Constitution(s) and the law in this matter and prohibit them from ever holding a government position again.

Petitioners have related above our many, patient attempts to right these wrongs, but it is evident there exists amongst officers seated *within our government* a long train of abuse and usurpations pursuing the same object- the disregard and overthrow of our Constitution and our United States Government and the complete denial and removal of the right of We the

in this matter. Petitioner asks this Court to confer with Senator Grassley and proceed according to the law and our Constitution.

⁵ Except for Senator Grassley as mentioned in footnote above, who needs to be contacted by this Court to see if he proceeded to follow the Constitution, and Anderson County Law Director, Nicholas Jay Yeager, who filed to dismiss our suit after our Writ was filed. Petitioner Ayers had spoken with Mr. Yeager after he notified us he was representing Respondent Mark Stephens, and after he had thus received the proof in this matter. Petitioner Ayers asked him and Mr. Stephens to "switch sides" and join us in our petition, but Mr. Yeager refused and then filed to dismiss our Petition. Accordingly, as he has a sworn oath to uphold the Tennessee and United States Constitutions and not thwart the efforts of those reporting treason and the overthrow of the United States government and to not deny them their Constitutional rights, we add him to those whom this Court should dismiss from their positions and hold accountable for their actions under the law.

People to redress grievances or to vote for who we wish to represent us – reducing us to absolute despotism, which is against the principles set forth within our United States Constitution and Tennessee Constitution, which were written to protect *all* rights of We the People, including our rights of redress and suffrage.

Petitioners' in Writ of Quo Warranto have presented clear, extensive affidavits and sworn depositions both written and on video, and or sworn testimony at Senatorial hearings from more than one expertly qualified source and more, all affirming beyond any reasonable doubt what expert Maras' affidavit said and which has been presented in this matter, and this Writ *should* have been quickly heard per the law of our land but was not, and as it is vital to our nation's security and is of national concern, and as our State Circuit Court and State Supreme Court (and our U.S. District Court, Eastern District, Knoxville) have not upheld our right to be heard as an injured voter, we ask our Honorable Justices of the Supreme Court to move swiftly to effect Justice in this matter as it is vital to our nation's security. *"The absence of any federal [or state] remedy for the violation of a constitutional right [can] not be contemplated because every wrong must have a remedy. Constitutional rights are some of the most important that an individual can have, so it is particularly critical to give citizens the power to enforce them."*

We ask you, Honorable Justices, to move swiftly to hear, adjudicate, and grant all demands in our Writ of Quo Warranto as per the Constitution and the law

and deliver our Nation and her Citizens from this tyranny.

CONCLUSION

Our Forefathers immortalized in the Declaration of Independence their non-consent to a long list of abuses they had long endured when they declared, *as Petitioners now declare* to the long train of abuses described herein, “*We do not consent.*”

They also declared, as do Petitioners, now:

“But when a long Train of Abuses and Usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object, evinces a Design to reduce them under absolute Despotism, it is their Right, it is their Duty, to throw off such Government, and to provide new Guards for their future Security.”

. . . and our Forefathers proceeded to throw off the oppressive Government. So now, do Petitioners ask you, Honorable Justices, to grant our Petition to do the same, as We the People currently have no way to vote to choose who represents us, and we currently have no Judge in our State who will hear this or remedy it, as described above.

The lengthy abuses described herein and evidence presented affirm *we have indeed once again reached absolute Despotism* and it is time once again to disembark a long Train of Abuses and Usurpations in these

United States and *throw off* those within our government who chose to “board” that train. This is the *right* and *duty* of We the People, established in our Declaration of Independence, our Preamble, our U.S. Constitution, and State of Tennessee Constitution.

We pray this Court will remember and uphold its Oath, act swiftly to carry out our demands, and deliver our nation.

All the above is respectfully submitted this day, July 17, 2023.

BETTY JANE AYERS and
DAVID RUSSELL AYERS
162 Wade Lane
Oak Ridge, TN 37830
865-243-5217

SARAH WALKER BRUUN
405 Horton Rd. SE
Cleveland, TN 37323
206-436-9169
Petitioners, Pro Se

Originally Submitted: Nov. 7, 2022
9th Resubmission: Jul. 17, 2023