

ALLEN, GLAESSNER, HAZELWOOD & WERTH, LLP

ATTORNEYS

180 Montgomery Street, Suite 1200
San Francisco, California 94104

Telephone (415) 697-2000
Facsimile (415) 813-2045
E-Mail: lsebransky@aghwlaw.com

Our File No.:40089-51527

January 9, 2024

By Electronic Filing and USPS Priority Mail

Hon. Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543

Re: Request for extension of time to file response to petition for writ of certiorari
Temple of 1001 Buddhas et al. v. City of Fremont, California
S. Ct. No. 23-481

Dear Mr. Harris:

I am counsel for respondent City of Fremont in this case. Petitioners filed their petition for writ of certiorari on November 3, 2023, following a 30-day extension by the Court. On January 2, 2024, the Court requested that respondent file a response to the petition. A response is currently due February 1, 2024. Per Rule 30.4, respondent requests that the time for filing a response be extended by 30 days. To accommodate the extended 30-day deadline falling on Saturday, March 2, we request a due date of Monday, March 4, 2024.

This is respondent's first request for an extension of time to file a response. Good cause exists for the requested extension. Respondent is in the process of retaining new counsel to handle the Supreme Court proceedings. Because the new counsel did not represent respondent in this case in the district court or court of appeals, they will need sufficient time to familiarize themselves with the relevant legal issues and record. An extension of time would better enable preparation of a response that would be most helpful to the Court.

Accordingly, respondent requests that the time for filing a response to the petition for writ of certiorari be extended to and including March 4, 2024. Counsel for the petitioners, Mr. Glenn A. Danas, does not object to this request. Thank you.

Very truly yours,

ALLEN, GLAESSNER,
HAZELWOOD & WERTH, LLP



Lori Sebransky

Hon. Scott S. Harris
Page 2

cc: Mr. Glenn A. Danas (petitioners' counsel)
gdanas@clarksonlawfirm.com

From: [Danielle Costes](#)
To: ["gdanas@clarksonlawfirm.com"](mailto:gdanas@clarksonlawfirm.com)
Cc: [Lori Sebransky](#); [Maria Nozzolino](#); [Mark Hazelwood](#)
Subject: Temple of 1001 Buddhas, et al. v. City of Fremont
Date: Tuesday, January 9, 2024 3:30:19 PM
Attachments: [L-Supreme Court Extension Request 01.09.2024.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Danas,

Please see attached correspondence being filed with the Supreme Court of the United States today. If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact our office.

Very truly yours,

Danielle Costes
Secretary to Lori Sebransky

 **Danielle Costes** Secretary to Mark F. Hazelwood, Steven D. Werth, Maria Nozzolino, Matthew T. Matejcek, Nicholas D. Syren and Michelle D. Magarrell
180 Montgomery Street, Suite 1200
San Francisco, CA 94104
dcostes@aghwlaw.com
main 415.697.2000 | fax 415.813.2045
aghwlaw.com

The information contained in this communication is confidential, is intended only for the use of the recipient named above, and is legally privileged. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication, or any of its contents, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please resend this communication to the sender and delete the original message and any copy of it from your computer system. Thank you.