

**In the Supreme Court of the United States**

---

No. 23-367

---

STARBUCKS CORP.,  
PETITIONER,

*v.*

M. KATHLEEN MCKINNEY, REGIONAL DIRECTOR OF  
REGION 15 OF THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD,  
FOR AND ON BEHALF OF THE  
NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD,  
RESPONDENT

---

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO DISPENSE  
WITH PREPARATION OF A JOINT APPENDIX**

---

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 26.8, Petitioner respectfully moves for leave to dispense with the requirement of a joint appendix in this case. Respondent agrees that a joint appendix is not necessary.

This case presents a purely legal question of statutory interpretation: Whether courts must evaluate the NLRB's requests for section 10(j) injunctions under the traditional, stringent four-factor test for preliminary injunctions or under some other more lenient standard. The opinions and relevant orders of the lower courts are reproduced in the appendix to the petition for a writ of certiorari. The parties agree that no other portion of the record merits special attention that warrants the preparation and expense of a joint appendix, and that preparation of a joint appendix would not materially assist the Court in its consideration of the case.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lisa S. Blatt

LISA S. BLATT

*Counsel of Record*

WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP

*680 Maine Avenue SW*

*Washington, DC 20024*

*(202) 434-5000*

*lblatt@wc.com*

*Counsel for Starbucks Corp.*

FEBRUARY 8, 2024