

## **APPENDIX**

(i)

---

**TABLE OF APPENDICES**

---

Appendix A Unpublished Opinion of the Fourth  
Circuit Court of Appeals, No. 22-2225  
*Board of Supervisors of Alleghany  
County v. Donnie T. Kern*  
(March, 20 2023).....Pet. App. 1

Appendix B ORDER DENY PETITION FOR  
REHEARING AND REHEARING EN  
BANC of the Fourth Circuit Court of  
Appeals, No. 22-2225 *Board of  
Supervisors of Alleghany County v.  
Donnie T. Kern*  
(May 3, 2023).....Pet. App. 3

Appendix C ORDER of the District Court for the  
Western District of Virginia, Roanoke  
Division, No. 7:22-cv-00424 *Board of  
Supervisors of Alleghany County v.  
Donnie T.A.M. Kern*  
(October 25, 2022).....Pet. App. 4

---

**APPENDIX A**

---

**UNPUBLISHED**  
**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**  
**FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT**

---

**No. 22-2225**

---

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF ALLEGHANY  
COUNTY,

Plaintiff - Appellee,  
v.

DONNIE T. KERN,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the  
Western District of Virginia, at Roanoke. James P.  
Jones, Senior District Judge. (7:22-cv-00424-JPJ-  
PMS)

Submitted: March 16, 2023  
Decided: March 20, 2023

Before WILKINSON, AGEE, and HARRIS, Circuit  
Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Donnie T. Kern, Appellant Pro Se. Christopher  
Stanislaw Dadak, GUYNN WADDELL CARROLL  
& LOCKABY, P.C., Salem, Virginia, for Appellee.

Pet. App. 2

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Donnie T. Kem seeks to appeal the district court's order remanding the underlying civil action against Kern to the state court from which it was removed.

[T]he law as it stands today provides that an order remanding a case to the State court from which it was removed is not reviewable on appeal or otherwise, except that an order remanding a case to the State court from which it was removed pursuant to [28 U.S.C. §] 1442 or [§] 1443 . . . shall be reviewable by appeal or otherwise.

BP P.L.C. v. Mayor & City Council of Balt., 141 S. Ct. 1532, 1536-37 (2021) (cleaned up). The district court remanded the case to state court after determining that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction. We therefore are without jurisdiction to review the remand order. See 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c), (d).

Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*DISMISSED*

---

**APPENDIX B**

---

FILED: April 25 2023

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 22-2225  
(7:22-cv-00424-JPJ-PMS)

---

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF ALLEGHANY  
COUNTY

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

DONNIE T.A.M. KERN

Defendant - Appellant

**ORDER**

The court denies the petition for rehearing and rehearing en banc. No judge requested a poll under Fed. R. App. P. 35 on the petition for rehearing en banc. Entered at the direction of the panel: Judge Wilkinson, Judge Agee, and Judge Harris.

For the Court

/s/ PATRICIA S. CONNOR, CLERK

Pet. App. 4

---

**APPENDIX C**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
ROANOKE DIVISION

---

**No. 7:22CV00424**

---

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF ALLEGHANY  
COUNTY,

Plaintiff - Appellee,  
v.

DONNIE T. KERN,

Defendant - Appellant.

**ORDER**

Judge James P. Jones

The defendant filed a Notice of Removal in this case on July 26, 2022. The removed case had been dismissed on July 18, 2022, by the Circuit Court of Alleghany County, Virginia, on the motion of the plaintiff<sup>1</sup>. The defendant earlier filed a Notice of Removal and an Amended Notice of Removal in the same state case, but this Court remanded the action in each instance

<sup>1</sup> Because under Virginia law all judgments and orders remain under control of the trial court for 21 days, Va. Sup. Ct. R. 1:1, I will assume that removal is still possible, assuming that there is subject-matter jurisdiction. See Oviedo v. Hallbauer, 655 F.3d 419, 422–23 (5th Cir. 2011) (holding removal is not possible after the state court's jurisdiction "has wholly expired")

Pet. App. 5

for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction. Bd. of Supervisors of Alleghany Cnty. v. Kern, No. 7:21-cv-00471, 2021 WL 4355289 (W.D. Va. Sept. 13, 2021) (Cullen, J.), appeal dismissed, No. 21- 2046, 2022 WL 205434 (4th Cir. Jan. 24, 2022) (unpublished), cert. denied, No. 21- 1537, 2022 WL 4651942 (U.S. Oct. 3, 2022).

For the reasons stated in the earlier rulings by this Court, I find that there is a lack of subject-matter jurisdiction. Accordingly, it is ORDERED that this case is REMANDED to the Circuit Court of Alleghany County. The Clerk shall close the case.

Enter: October 25, 2022

/s/ James P. Jones  
Senior United States District Judge