

No. 23-34

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

DELILA UWASOMBA,

Petitioner,

v.

BANK OF AMERICA; MERRILL LYNCH
PIERCE FENNER AND SMITH INC.,

Respondents.

**On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari
To The United States Court Of Appeals
For The Fourth Circuit**

PETITION FOR REHEARING

DELILA UWASOMBA
3904 Grove Point Circle
Richmond, VA 23223
804.502.8534
delilau@gmail.com



PETITION FOR REHEARING

Petitioner Delila Uwasomba, petitions for rehearing of this Court’s October 2, 2023, Order denying petition for a writ of certiorari. I submitted a petition for writ of certiorari to address discovery accrual rule in relation to my fraud claim against Bank of America, et al. In that petition I requested for the court to recognize my fraud claim as timely filed per Va. Code § 18.2-216 accrual.

REASONS FOR GRANTING REHEARING

The Court’s Rule 44.2 permits a petition for rehearing based on “intervening circumstances of a substantial effect.” A few days after the conference and denial of my petition, the court granted *Warner Chapell Music v. Nealy*, 22-1078, and *Corner Post, Inc. v. Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System*, 22-1008, both cases barred by statute of limitations. Under these circumstances warrant this Court’s rehearing and either a grant or hold of my petition for certiorari.

Nonetheless, I would like to address the conflictual nature between the EEOC and the court which led to my new filings. There appears to be a disconnect between the EEOC determination findings which have left me confused and questioning the effectiveness of the Court’s procedures. Why have a government sector in place if the opinion is of no value. Zach Vie should never have contacted me with start date instructions which led to my resignation from Wells Fargo and even

Reliance. Aside from Susie, the reliance continued with Angela with a promise of an alternative position on December 7 after my relocation.

I do have grounds for a claim against Bank of America, et al. Their cruel actions towards me have been devastating for my family and we're entitled to recovery for our losses. My mother invested \$30,000 into the start-up for my online business upon graduating from Virginia Commonwealth University to cure the difficulties that I was facing securing employment with reasonable income and to also secure her future. Upon the death of my father, I was left in charge of modifying our family home into my name for rental, while she pursued her caretaking business in another property. Their actions forced the shutdown of my start-up online business over website operational fees as I was struggling to keep a roof over my head as the fund intended for marketing was depleting to keep up with my immediate needs.

I am highly disappointed with the court's unfair ruling which is driving us over the edge into an immense financial ruins. Despite it all my mother has continued to show support with the assistance of lawyer's fees and the start-up of my new online business. A start-up takes at least five years to see any real profits, at this point we should have been profiting from our investments. I am not certain which is more devastating, the banks cruel actions or courts lengthy stressful financially draining proceedings that have left us in a financial disaster as we seek recovery. To make matters worse, my mother's client whom she

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, and those stated in the petition for a writ of certiorari, the Courts should grant rehearing, grant the petition for writ of certiorari.

Respectfully submitted,

DELILA UWASOMBA
3904 Grove Point Circle
Richmond, VA 23223
804.502.8534
delilau@gmail.com