Nos. 23-235, 23-236

IN THE Supreme Court of the United States

U.S. FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, ET AL.,

Petitioners,

v.

Alliance for Hippocratic Medicine, et al.,

Respondents.

and

DANCO LABORATORIES, L.L.C.,

Petitioner,

v.

Alliance for Hippocratic Medicine, et al.,

Respondents.

To the Honorable Samuel A. Alito, Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States and Circuit Justice for the Fifth Circuit

APPLICATION TO EXCEED WORD LIMITS

JAMES A. CAMPBELL	ERIN M. HAWLEY
CODY S. BARNETT	Counsel of Record
ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM	JOHN J. BURSCH
44180 Riverside Pkwy	Erik C. Baptist
Lansdowne, VA 20176	MATTHEW S. BOWMAN
(571) 707-4655	Alliance Defending Freedom
	440 First Street NW, Suite 600
	Washington, DC 20001
	(202) 393-8690
	ehawley@ADFlegal.org
Counsel for Respondents	

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to Rule 29.6, Alliance for Hippocratic Medicine, American Association of Pro-Life Obstetricians & Gynecologists, American College of Pediatricians, and Christian Medical & Dental Associations have no parent corporations, and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of the stock of any of them. To the Honorable Samuel A. Alito, Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States and Circuit Justice for the Fifth Circuit:

Pursuant to Rules 22 and 33.1(d), Respondents respectfully request leave to file their brief on the merits in excess of the word limit, not to exceed 18,000 words.

1. On September 8, 2023, Petitioners filed petitions for a writ of certiorari in two cases—Food and Drug Administration, et al. v. Alliance for Hippocratic Medicine, et al., No. 23-235, and Danco Laboratories, LLC v. Alliance for Hippocratic Medicine, et al., No. 23-236. Those petitions presented some overlapping and some distinct questions.

2. On December 13, 2023, this Court granted both petitions and consolidated the cases for briefing and oral argument.

3. Petitioners in the consolidated cases are represented by separate counsel, and on January 23, 2024, they filed separate briefs on the merits, emphasizing different points and raising different arguments. Respondents intend to file a single merits brief in response. Consolidation will prevent needless duplication in addressing the common questions presented and other issues the cases have in common.

4. To adequately and efficiently address the issues presented in these cases, including the different arguments that each set of Petitioners advances and the additional question that FDA raises, Respondents respectfully request leave to file their consolidated merits brief not to exceed 18,000 words. This consolidated brief would require fewer words than if Respondents filed two separate merits briefs (26,000 total words).

5. Respondents have conferred with Petitioners in both cases, who do not oppose Respondents' request.

6. This Court has previously granted a similar request in Merrill v. Milligan, No.

21-1086, and Merrill v. Caster, No. 21-1087.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES A. CAMPBELL CODY S. BARNETT ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM 44180 Riverside Pkwy Lansdowne, VA 20176 (571) 707-4655 ERIN M. HAWLEY *Counsel of Record* JOHN J. BURSCH ERIK C. BAPTIST MATTHEW S. BOWMAN ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM 440 First Street NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20001 (202) 393-8690 ehawley@ADFlegal.org

JANUARY 30, 2024