

No. 23-224

---

In the  
Supreme Court of the United States

---

CHRISTINA ALESSIO,

*Petitioner,*

v.

UNITED AIRLINES, INC.,

*Respondent.*

---

On a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the  
Supreme Court of Ohio

---

**PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

---

Christina Alessio  
*Petitioner Pro Se*  
1970 N. Cleveland-Massillon Road  
Unit 589  
Bath, OH 44210  
(330) 338-7052

---

September 1, 2023

---

SUPREME COURT PRESS • (888) 958-5705 • BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

## QUESTIONS PRESENTED

**Introduction:** With Great Respect this Case is about Life, Liberty and the Pursuit for Righteousness at 30,000 feet.

**Federal Law Fact:** Federal Rule of Law, Title 49 U.S. Code 5124, Forbids Hazard Materials onboard the Aircraft.

**National/Global Significant Fact:** The Respondent willfully Approves the Use of "Chemical Substance Products" *inside* the Aircraft Cabin for Cleaning, Sanitizing, Disinfecting and Air-Freshening, with No Oversight providing Verification and Certification with an Official Government Document "Certificate of Compliance" from the Legislative Branch, that the Respondent Is or Is Not, In Fact Following the Rule of Law.

### 10 Respectful Federal and State Questions

1. With Respect, does the Rule of Law under Title 49 U.S. Code 5124, Apply to the Respondent?
2. With Respect, is the Respondent in Compliance with the Statutes, referring to Title 49 U.S. Code 5124?
3. With Respect, may the Petitioners Writ of Certiorari have Merit to be Granted when the Substantial Federal Questions above to this Case, have Never been answered by the Lower Courts?
4. With Respect, may the Petitioners Writ of Certiorari have Merit to be Granted when the Supreme Court of Ohio (SCO) Declines to Accept Jurisdiction of the Appeal, Pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 7.08(B)(4)? (App.1a)

**5. With Respect, do you believe products used to Clean, Sanitize, Disinfect and Air-Freshen the Aircraft Cabin should be Transparent, No Secrets, with complete List of Ingredients made available, for a better Air-Quality Environment?**

**6. With Respect, may the Petitioners Writ of Certiorari have Merit to be Granted when there are Chemical Exposures from Cleaning, Sanitizing, Disinfecting and Air-Freshening Products used inside the Aircraft Cabin, willfully Approved by the Respondent, some with labels that have a “PRECAUTIONARY STATEMENT: HAZARDS TO HUMANS AND DOMESTIC ANIMALS.”?**

**7. With Respect, may our United States of America 118th Congress, please Inspect and Provide the Respondent and Air-Travelers with an Official Government Oversight Document: “Certificate of Compliance”, Including a Complete “Aircraft Cabin Product List with 100% of the Ingredients”, Required for “Safety and Healthcare in the Aircraft Cabin”?**

**8. With Respect may the Supreme Court of the United States (SCOTUS), Case No. 19-395, Respectfully Submitted on September 19, 2019, Before a Global Pandemic, have Merit for Respectfully Granting the Petitioner’s Second Writ of Certiorari, for a Judicial Review of the Facts and Law, when the Petitioners Work Environment is Global?**

**9. With Respect, may this Second Petition for Writ of Certiorari, have Merit to be Granted when the Supreme Court of the United States (SCOTUS) Denied, *first* Case No. 19-395, Petition for Rehearing on January 21, 2020, the same approximate if not the day, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention**

**(CDC) announced and Confirmed the First Case of the Coronavirus (COVID-19) in the United States of America?**

**10. With Respect, may the Continuance of this Case which Holds NATIONAL/GLOBAL SIGNIFICANCE, have Merit for Granting the Petitioners Second Writ of Certiorari?**

## **LIST OF PROCEEDINGS**

### **Supreme Court of Ohio**

#### **Case No. 2023-0072**

*CHRISTINA ALESSIO*, Plaintiff-Appellant,  
v. *UNITED AIRLINES, INC, ET AL.*,  
Defendants-Appellees

Date of Order Entry: April 11, 2023

---

### **Court of Appeals, Ohio Eighth Appellate District County of Cuyahoga**

#### **Case No. 111449**

*CHRISTINA ALESSIO*, Plaintiff-Appellant,  
v. *UNITED AIRLINES, INC, ET AL.*,  
Defendants-Appellees.

Date of Opinion and Journal Entry:

December 15, 2022

---

### **Court of Common Pleas, Cuyahoga County, Ohio**

#### **Case No. CV-21-949578**

*CHRISTINA ALESSIO*, Plaintiff-Appellant,  
v. *UNITED AIRLINES, INC ET AL.*,  
Defendants-Appellees.

Date of Journal Entry: March 31, 2022

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
QUESTIONS PRESENTED.....	i
LIST OF PROCEEDINGS.....	iv
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	xii
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI.....	1
OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	9
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION.....	18
CONCLUSION.....	23

**TABLE OF CONTENTS – Continued**

	Page
<b>APPENDIX TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	
<b>OPINIONS AND ORDERS</b>	
<b>Order of the Supreme Court of Ohio</b> (April 11, 2023) .....	1a
<b>Order of the Supreme Court of Ohio</b> <b>Denying Motion for Reconsideration</b> (June 6, 2023) .....	2a
<b>Journal Entry and Opinion of the</b> <b>Court of Appeals of Ohio Eighth</b> <b>Appellate District, County of Cuyahoga</b> (December 15, 2022) .....	3a
<b>Journal Entry</b> (December 16, 2022) .....	13a
<b>Journal Entry Granting Motion for</b> <b>Summary Judgment in Favor of the</b> <b>Defendant-Appellee, United Airlines, Inc.</b> (March 31, 2022) .....	15a

**TABLE OF CONTENTS – Continued**

	Page
<b>CASE DOCUMENTS</b>	
<b>Memorandum in Support of Jurisdiction of Plaintiff-Appellant Christina Alessio (January 19, 2023).....</b>	<b>17a</b>
<b>Motion for Reconsideration of Plaintiff-Appellant Christina Alessio (April 17, 2023).....</b>	<b>33a</b>
<b>Letter from (FAA) Federal Aviation Administration (June 2, 2016).....</b>	<b>40a</b>
<b>Letter from (OSHA) U.S. Department of Labor (September 19, 2016) .....</b>	<b>41a</b>
<b>EEOC Dismissal and Notice of Rights (April 18, 2017).....</b>	<b>44a</b>
<b>Letter from Ohio Senator Sherrod Brown (July 22, 2015) .....</b>	<b>46a</b>
<b>Letter from Ohio Senator Sherrod Brown (May 25, 2017) .....</b>	<b>47a</b>
<b>Letter from Ohio Congresswoman Marcia L. Fudge (July 31, 2015).....</b>	<b>48a</b>
<b>Letter from Defendant-Appellee United Airlines Inc., Response to Subpoena (January 7, 2016).....</b>	<b>50a</b>
<b>Letter from Plaintiff-Appellant Christina Alessio to Executive Branch, (DOJ) Department of Justice (March 1, 2018).....</b>	<b>52a</b>

**TABLE OF CONTENTS – Continued**

	Page
<b>Letter from Plaintiff-Appellant</b> <b>Christina Alessio to Executive Branch,</b> <b>(DOJ) Department of Justice</b> (November 13, 2018) .....	58a
<b>Letter from Plaintiff-Appellant</b> <b>Christina Alessio to Executive Branch,</b> <b>(DOJ) Department of Justice</b> (January 7, 2020).....	63a
<b>Letter from Christina Alessio to the</b> <b>Legislative Branch, Ohio Senators and</b> <b>Congresswomen</b> (May 15, 2020).....	69a
<b>Letter from Christina Alessio to the</b> <b>Legislative Branch, Ohio Senators and</b> <b>Congresswomen</b> (July 10, 2020).....	73a
<b>Alessio Petition for Rehearing in</b> <b>Supreme Court, Excerpts</b> (December 19, 2019).....	80a
<b>COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO</b> <b>EIGHTH APPELLATE DISTRICT</b> <b>Oral Argument Audio in Transcript</b> (November 16, 2022) .....	87a
<b>Transcript of Oral Argument</b> .....	90a
<b>Plaintiff's Memorandum in Opposition to</b> <b>Defendants Motion for Summary</b> <b>Judgment</b> (February 2, 2022) .....	113a
<b>Addendum In Opposition to Defendants</b> <b>Motion for Summary Judgment</b> (February 7, 2022) .....	132a

## TABLE OF CONTENTS – Continued

	Page
<b>EXHIBITS</b>	
<b>EXHIBIT 3</b>	
Letter from Defendant-Appellee	
Attorney on Appellant's Request for	
(IME) Independent Medical	
Examination (December 2, 2020) .....	137a
<b>EXHIBIT 4</b>	
Quote from United Airlines CEO,	
Scott Kirby on Vaccines .....	139a
<b>EXHIBIT 6</b>	
Ohio Workers' Compensation Law	
2.2 Employers' Obligation .....	141a
<b>EXHIBIT 7</b>	
<b>VSSR Record of Proceedings</b>	
(May 21, 2021) .....	143a
<b>EXHIBIT 8</b>	
<b>FEDERAL LAW 49 U.S.C. 5124</b>	
“Notice to travelers” .....	147a
<b>EXHIBIT 18</b>	
Definition of Chemical Weapon .....	148a
<b>EXHIBIT F</b>	
<b>Irregular Operation Report #207207</b>	
(August 14, 2021) .....	149a
<b>EXHIBIT T</b>	
<b>OSHA Safety and Health Concern</b>	
Submitted (August 24, 2021) .....	153a
<b>DOCKET DETAILS</b>	
Docket Details .....	159a

## TABLE OF CONTENTS – Continued

Page

**AMERICA, THE JURY**

<b>AMERICA, THE JURY Third Sequel</b>	173a
CHAPTER ONE –	
<b>Ohio Industrial Commission</b>	
<b>District Hearing, Transcript</b>	
(October 30, 2020) .....	178a
CHAPTER TWO –	
<b>Ohio Industrial Commission</b>	
<b>Staff Hearing, Transcript</b>	
(January 22, 2021).....	211a
CHAPTER THREE –	
<b>Petition for Rehearing,</b>	
<b>Case No. 19-395 with Docket List</b>	
(December 19, 2019) .....	251a
CHAPTER FOUR –	
<b>Conclusion and Photos</b> .....	260a
CHAPTER FIVE – <b>The Question</b> .....	265a
CHAPTER SIX – <b>The Verdict</b> .....	266a
<b>AMERICA, THE JURY Fourth Sequel</b> .....	267a
CHAPTER ONE –	
<b>Ohio Industrial Commission</b>	
<b>Staff Hearing, Transcript</b>	
<b>VSSR (Violation of Specific</b>	
<b>Safety Requirement)</b>	
(February 18, 2021) .....	271a

**TABLE OF CONTENTS – Continued**

	Page
<b>CHAPTER TWO –</b> <b>Ohio Industrial Commission</b> <b>Staff Hearing, Transcript</b> <b>Reset VSSR (Violation of Specific</b> <b>Safety Requirement)</b> (May 21, 2021) .....	279a
<b>CHAPTER THREE – The Conclusion</b> .....	310a
<b>CHAPTER FOUR – The Question</b> .....	316a
<b>CHAPTER FIVE – The Verdict</b> .....	317a
<b>AMERICA, THE JURY Fifth Sequel</b> .....	318a
<b>CHAPTER ONE –</b> <b>Six Clarifications and Corrections</b> <b>Unable to Communicate in Staff Hearing:</b> <b>America, The Jury - Third Sequel</b> .....	322a
<b>CHAPTER TWO –</b> <b>Ohio Industrial Commission</b> <b>District Hearing, Transcript</b> (March 5, 2021).....	329a
<b>CHAPTER THREE –</b> <b>Ohio Industrial Commission</b> <b>Staff Hearing, Transcript</b> (April 15, 2021) .....	364a
<b>CHAPTER FOUR – Conclusion</b> .....	403a
<b>CHAPTER FIVE – The Question</b> .....	418a
<b>CHAPTER SIX – The Verdict</b> .....	419a

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	Page
<b>CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS</b>	
United States Constitution .....	4
United States Constitution, Article V.....	8, 13
<b>STATUTES</b>	
28 U.S.C. § 1257.....	1, 2
49 U.S.C. § 5124.....	i, 1, 9, 16, 18, 19
<b>JUDICIAL RULES</b>	
S. Ct. Prac. R. 7.08(B)(4).....	19



## **PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

May the Supreme Court of the United States be Pleased with the **Petition For Writ of Certiorari**, Respectfully Submitted by Petitioner, Christina Alessio (Plaintiff, Appellant).

In Pursuant to **28 U.S. Code 1257**, Petitioner believes this Code provides the **Ability For Writ of Certiorari**, a Meaningful Judicial Review from the Final Decision made from the Lower State Court.

**YOUR HONOR and with Great Respect**, this Case is about Life, Liberty and the Pursuit for Righteousness at 30,000 feet.

May the **Rule of Law and the Facts of this Case**, be Respectfully Submitted for a Meaningful Judicial Review under **Title 49 U.S. Code 5124**, which Forbids Hazard Materials onboard the Aircraft.



## **OPINIONS BELOW**

**Petitioner is Respectfully Seeking Review of the Following Orders:**

The Journal Entry and Opinion of the Ohio Court of Appeals, dated December 15, 2022, (App.3a). The Order of the **Supreme Court of Ohio**, Case No. 2023-0072, dated April 11, 2023, (App.1a).

---

## JURISDICTION

The Entry of the Supreme Court of Ohio was entered on April 11, 2023, (App.1a). A timely filed petition for reconsideration was denied on June 6, 2023, (App.2a). Petitioner believes this Court has Jurisdiction under 28 U.S. Code 1257.

---

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

### 49 U.S. Code § 5124

A person knowingly violating section 5104(b) or willfully or recklessly violating this chapter or a regulation, order, special permit, or approval issued under this chapter shall be fined under title 18, imprisoned for not more than 5 years, or both; except that the maximum amount of imprisonment shall be 10 years in any case in which the violation involves the release of a hazardous material that results in death or bodily injury to any person.

- (b) **Knowing Violations.**—For purposes of this section—
  - (1) a person acts knowingly when—
    - (A) the person has actual knowledge of the facts giving rise to the violation; or

(B) a reasonable person acting in the circumstances and exercising reasonable care would have that knowledge; and

(2) knowledge of the existence of a statutory provision, or a regulation or a requirement required by the Secretary, is not an element of an offense under this section.

(c) **Willful Violations.**—For purposes of this section, a person acts willfully when—

(1) the person has knowledge of the facts giving rise to the violation; and

(2) the person has knowledge that the conduct was unlawful.

(d) **Reckless Violations.**—

For purposes of this section, a person acts recklessly when the person displays a deliberate indifference or conscious disregard to the consequences of that person's conduct.

As respectfully understood, a person knowingly violating, and/or willfully, recklessly violating this chapter, regulation or order shall be fined \$250,000 and/or prison 5 years; in any case which involves a violation or the release of hazardous materials that results in death or bodily injury to any person. The person has knowledge of the facts to the violation.

- The person acts willfully to the violation with the knowledge of the facts.
- The person has knowledge that the conduct is unlawful.

- The person acts recklessly and displays deliberate disregard to the consequences of that person's conduct.

YOUR HONOR and with great respect, Please note for the record:

Federal Law 49 U.S.C. § 5124 is placarded in the terminal at every podium/gate before you board Respondents Aircraft. For example, at the Cleveland Hopkins International Airport the placard states in part:

“Advice to passengers

Federal law forbids the carriage of hazardous materials aboard aircraft in your luggage or on your person.”

“It’s the law: you must declare your hazardous materials to the airline or air package carrier. A violation of the Federal Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR Parts 171-180) can result in 5 years imprisonment and penalties of \$250,000 or more (49 U.S.C. § 5124).”

**UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION:**

**To Protect the People.**



## INTRODUCTION

Respectfully, this Case is Not about the Petitioner.

Petitioner has Already Won her Case.

**THE WIN:** Petitioner, Now has the Ability to Protect from Chemical Inhalation Exposure with Personal Protective Equipment (PPE).

This Case Continues and Carries On: **TO WIN - FOR THE PEOPLE”**.

Petitioner has a Job Duty and Responsibility to Ensure a Safe Environment in the Aircraft Cabin **“For the People”**. This Case is about, **“We the People”**. The Air-Traveling Public, is being Exposed to Chemical Substance Products used for Cleaning, Sanitizing, Disinfecting and Air-Freshening the Aircraft Cabin. Chemical Substance Products, willfully Approved by the Respondent with No Transparency, other than labels that read: **“PRECAUTIONARY STATEMENT: HAZARDS TO HUMANS AND DOMESTIC ANIMALS.”**

Respectfully, Petitioner believes this Case involves A Substantial Constitutional Question that is of Great General and Public Interest.

**Substantial Federal Question:** Is the Respondent in Compliance with Title 49 U.S. Code 5124 using Chemical Substance Products inside the Aircraft Cabin that reads “Hazards to Humans and Domestic Animals” with No Transparency for the Air-Traveller to Protect? Federal Law Forbids Hazard Materials onboard the Aircraft, a Respectful FACT.

**Let the Petitioner Be On The Record:**

- 23 Work Injuries Documented from 2010 to 2019, due to Chemical Inhalation Exposure with No Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) furnished by Respondent.
- All 23 Work Injury Claims were Denied and Disallowed.
- PPE is Now Allowed and No more Injuries to Chemical Inhalation Exposure.
- Worked during the Coronavirus, COVID-19 Global Pandemic.
- Never called off work once, from COVID-19. Never got COVID-19.
- During COVID-19, wore PPE to avoid Chemical Inhalation Exposure on the Aircraft.
- Would not get the COVID-19 Vaccine, because of a Sincerely Held Religious Belief against the COVID-19 Vaccine.
- Due to Petitioners Religious Belief Not to get the COVID-19 Vaccine, Petitioner was put on a Personal Leave by the Respondent with No Pay, No Health Benefits from approximately November 13, 2021 until April 20, 2022.
- Respectfully, is this a **Violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964?** A Federal Law that Prohibits Employment Discrimination based on Race, Religion and National Origin.

- Petitioner is presently on a Performance Warning by Inflight Management for communicating a Safety and Health Concern.
- Federal Labor Law Posting Requirements have Expired in Inflight Crew Rooms across the United States Country. Example: EEOC “Know Your Rights: Workplace Discrimination is Illegal” Notice.

(Mandatory Revision Effective 6/27/2023)  
Respectfully, the Federal/State Law Required Posters are Outdated and Inconsistent in Inflight Crew Rooms across our U.S. Country.

- DOCKET DETAILS for CASE #: CV-21-949578

Filing Date: 07/07/2023

Docket Description: COMPLAINT EXHIBIT (EXHIBIT O) Is in ERROR.

1. **EXHIBIT O:** Was filed on 07/07/2021.
2. **EXHIBIT O:** Is a Respectful Letter to Corporate at UNITED AIRLINES, regarding Notice of Appeal filed on 07/07/2021.

*Addressed to the following:*

Mr. Scott Kirby, CEO

Mr. Brett Hart, President

Mr. Oscar Munoz, Executive Chairman

Mr. Robert Milton, Chairman of the Board of Directors of United Airlines Holdings

3. **EXHIBIT O:** Was designated as only the Letter. However, EXHIBITS ‘M’ and “N” (All Public Court Reports-Transcripts, Published and Unpublished) have been incorporated into EXHIBIT O, and all 3 EXHIBITS (M, N, and O) are Unavailable for Viewing on the Public Docket.
- **Petitioner** is Thankful for PPE in the Work Environment, and Avoids Chemical Inhalation Exposure in Every Way Possible, Gaining Back Great Health and Quality of Life.
- Respectfully, the **Petitioner** believes in **Article V of the United States Constitution**. An Attack against One is an Attack against All. “**We the People**” Need Transparency and Protection. Especially, in the **Petitioners** Particular and Unique Work Environment.



## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

**Petitioner** is the Plaintiff, Appellant, in this Case.

**Respondent** is the Defendant, Appellee, in this Case.

**Petitioners** Work Environment is Commercial Air-Travel.

**Petitioner** is a Flight Attendant.

**Petitioners** Job Duty and Responsibility is to Ensure a Safe Environment for the Air-Traveler in the Aircraft Cabin.

**Petitioner** has Evaluated what has Happened and is Applying What has been Learned, for a Safer and Healthier Air-Travel Experience.

### The FACTS as the Petitioner knows them:

1. **Federal Law 49 U.S. Code 5124:** Forbids Hazard Materials onboard the Aircraft, (App.2a-App.4a).

2. There are Products used inside the Aircraft Cabin that state on the label: **PRECAUTIONARY STATEMENT: HAZARDS TO HUMANS AND DOMESTIC ANIMALS.**

3. There is no “**Certificate of Compliance**” by the Legislative Branch, that the Airline Industry Is or Is Not, In Fact Following the Rule of Law under Title 49 U.S. Code 5124. A Substantial Federal Question that still Needs Answered by the Court.

4. **Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC)**, could not Certify that the **Respondent**

is in Compliance with the Statutes. In specific to this Case: **49 U.S. Code 5124. (App.2a-App.4a)**

5. Record of Proceedings, Staff Hearing Officer communicates: *"the need for federal regulations regarding air quality and use of cleaning products in the air planes for the safety/good of the airlines workers and the public at large."* (App.22a)

6. Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) communicates: *"Unfortunately, this is not an FAA issue."* (App.40a)

7. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) communicates: *"While OSHA does not have a standard that regulates general indoor air quality, workers potentially exposed to cleaning or air freshener products that were used in the aircraft in a duration and frequency more than what a typical consumer would use the cleaning and air freshener products, and thus exposed to a potential health hazard, must be included in their employer's hazard communication program. The employer's hazard communication program must include maintaining and making available safety data sheets, training employees on the hazards of the chemicals to which they are actually or potentially exposed, as well as identifying any appropriate protective measures, such as gloves for hand protection."* (App.42a)

8. 2014 is the only Hazard Communication Module (Program) required by the **Respondent** or Not Qualified to Fly. It did Not include a Complete List of the Product Names of Chemical Substance Exposures in the Aircraft Cabin. With Respect, No Complete List by Product Name with each Products (SDS) Safety Data Sheet.

9. **Ohio Senator**, thanks for getting in touch with his office regarding hazardous materials used in cleaning supplies upon commercial flights. (App.46a, App.47a)

10. **Ohio Congresswoman**, thanks for contacting her concerning the presence of hazardous materials on airlines. (App.48a, App.49a)

11. **Respondent** communicates in a Subpoena Response that they do not manufacture any of the cleaning products in question and therefore do not have a list of ingredients. (App.50a, App.51a)

12. Three Letters, Notarized, to the **Executive Branch** and Addressed to the **Department of Justice (DOJ)**. March 1, 2018, November 13, 2018 and January 7, 2020. See Something, Say Something: Chemicals onboard Aircraft, Health and Safety to the Global Air-Traveling Public, Requesting a “Certificate of Compliance” with the Rule of Law. Respectfully, Petitioner received No Results to Protect the Air-Traveling Public. (App.52a-App.68a)

13. Two Bill Proposals, Notarized, to the **Legislative Branch** of four Ohio State Representatives, **Senators and Congresswomen**. May 15, 2020 and July 10, 2020, amidst the **COVID-19 Global Pandemic**, Requesting 100% Transparency to the Air-Quality in the Aircraft Cabin. Respectfully, Petitioner received No Results to Protect the Air-Traveling Public. (App.69a-App.79a)

14. **SCOTUS Case No. 19-395**, Petition for Re-hearing was Denied on January 21, 2020. (App.80a-App.87a, App.251a-App259a)

15. **CDC Confirms First Coronavirus Case in the United States of America on or about, January 21, 2020.**

16. **No Daily Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) was Furnished to Protect from Chemical Exposures (PPE Masks) in the Workplace Environment, the Aircraft, from Petitioners date of hire in 1998 to 2019 (21 years). PPE was Not Furnished by the Respondent to Chemical Inhalation Exposures, until the Coronavirus, COVID-19 Global Pandemic.**

17. **Respondent willfully Approves Chemical Substances of Cleaning, Sanitizing, Disinfecting and Air-Freshening Products for the Aircraft Cabin without 100% Transparency to the Air-Traveler.**

18. **Ohio BWC Law Chapter 2.2 Employers Obligation, to Protect the Employee from Harm. The Duty to Provide a Safe Workplace (Chemical Exposure). The Duty to Provide Safe Equipment to Perform the Work (PPE). The Duty to Warn Workers of Dangers the Employees might not reasonably be expected to perceive (Chemical Exposure). The Duty to Make and Enforce Rules that would promote Employee Safety (An Annual Continuing Qualification and Communication Module with updates Providing all Product Names including SDS to the Products used in the Aircraft Cabin). (App.141a)**

**Note:** Respectfully, PPE was Not Furnished to Protect Petitioner from Chemical Inhalation Exposure. *Even Petitioners Doctor Recommendations, to Protect from Work Injury from Chemical Inhalation Exposures were Denied and communicated as "Insufficient" by the Respondent, in the Respondents Reasonable Accommodation Program.*

**19. Respondent Denies Petitioner's Request for an (IME) Independent Medical Examination. Is this obstruction of Justice? (App.137a-138a)** Respectfully, *if the Respondent Doesn't Care* about the Petitioner's Health Request for an IME, to the Chemical Exposure in the Aircraft Cabin, *what is this saying about the Respondent, to the People the Petitioner is Responsible for in the Aircraft Cabin? Article V (App.36a)*

**20. During COVID-19, the Respondent Mandated the COVID-19 Vaccine Stating:** *"we have no greater responsibility to you and your colleagues than to ensure your safety when you are at work, and the facts are crystal clear . . . everyone is safer when everyone is vaccinated."* (App.139a-140a)

**21. Respondent Due to Petitioner's Sincerely Held Religious Belief** about getting the COVID-19 Vaccine, **Petitioner** was then put on an Unpaid Personal Leave of Absence, by the **Respondent** with No Pay and No Health Benefits from approximately November 13, 2021 to April 20, 2022.

**22. Record of Proceedings** communicate: **Violation of Specific Safety Requirement (VSSR)** has been Allowed. (App.143a-146a)

**23. Definition of Chemical Weapon.** Using Formulated Chemicals to Inflict Death or Harm on Humans. A Chemical Weapon can be any Toxic Chemical that can Cause Death, Injury, Incapacitation or Sensory Irritation through its Chemical Action. (App.148a)

**24. Irregular Operation Report (IOR) #207207.** A copy went to the **Respondent** and the

**Association of Flight Attendants (AFA).** August 14, 2021, the Narrative: **Hazard Communication.** "During Pre-Flight Safety Check, a total of 5 Clorox Disinfectant Wipes (large dispensers) were on board the Aircraft in the First Class section. On the back of the label, the Chemical Substance Product states: "**PRECAUTIONARY STATEMENT: HAZARDS TO HUMANS AND DOMESTIC ANIMALS.**" The Safety Data Sheet gives an EPA Pesticide Registration Number. **Respondent** still willfully Approves this Product onboard the Aircraft. No Further Response from **Respondent**. Today the Disinfectant Wipes are still onboard the Aircraft. (App.149a-152a)

**25. OSHA Complaints — Communicating 49 U.S.C. 5124, (App.2a-4a)** Federal Law Forbids Hazard Materials on board the Aircraft. Yet, Disinfectant Wipes stating "HAZARDS TO HUMANS" are still onboard the Aircraft. **Petitioner** has Respectfully Filed at least 6 OSHA Complaints and have received on April 4, 2023, per an email request, the Complaint Numbers and Dates for the Record.

<u>Complaint No.</u>	<u>Date</u>
1942611	9/2/22
1957001	1/19/22
1644648	8/17/20
1301426	1/18/18
1008560	8/6/15
911974	12/11/14

Respondent gave a Satisfactory Response, OSHA then Closed the Complaint. All OSHA Complaints have been Closed. OSHA's email has been Respectfully Submitted to the Ohio BWC under Petitioners last 3

Work Injury Claims, Date of Injuries: 10/6-8, 2019 to Preserve the Record.

Respectfully adding OSHA Complaint No. 1770737 letter response dated June 9, 2021.

Address from:

OSHA Chicago South Area Office  
8505 W. 183rd Street, Suite C  
Tinley Park, IL 60487

**26. Petitioner Requested an Oral Argument at the Court of Appeals**, and was held on November 16, 2022, in the Main Courtroom at 10:30am., Case No. CA-22-111449. An Audio of the Oral Argument can be requested from the Court of Appeals. With Respect, **Respondent's** (Appellee) Attorney could not answer the **Honorable Judges Question**: *"When was the protective equipment provided.?"* (App.105a)

**27. Oral Argument at the Court of Appeals**, November 16, 2022, **Petitioners** Response to the **Honorable Judge Question**: *"What exactly are you asking us today? What do you want us to do?"* **Petitioners** (Appellant) Response: *"I would like a hundred percent transparency. I would like all the names of the products that are used inside the aircraft cabin for cleaning, disinfecting, sanitizing and air freshening with safety data sheets to be made one hundred percent transparent so in this environment it is proven to be safe not just for the flight attendants and the pilots, but for the customers that we are responsible for."* (App.94)

**28. Oral Argument at the Court of Appeals**, November 16, 2022, **Petitioner** (Appellant) has *Three Questions that have yet to be Answered by the*

**Respondent (Appellee).** 1. What are the Ingredients to the Chemical Air-Freshener used inside the Aircraft Cabin, Substances state: Not Applicable. 2. Why wasn't Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) furnished by the Appellee to Protect the Appellant from Chemical Inhalation Exposure? 3. Why wasn't an Independent Medical Examination (IME) ever conducted by the Appellee with a total of 23 Work Injury Claims filed with the BWC due to Chemical Inhalation Exposure from 2010 to 2019, when Safety is Top Priority? (App.93a-94a)

**29. Respondents (Appellee's) Memorandum in Opposition to Jurisdiction of Petitioners (Appellant's) Notice of Appeal, dated February 17, 2023, communicates: "This case presents no Ohio Constitutional question and is not an issue of public or great general interest."**

**30. Respectfully, Most Honorable Judge Sean C. Gallagher, AJ, communicates in the Opinion dated December 15, 2022 the following:**

*"13. At oral argument before this court, Alessio offered a well-meaning and passionate concern about airline safety for both employees and the traveling public. While that concern is genuine, we are constrained to follow the law that applies to this case." (App.11a)*

**31. In the SCOTUS Case No. 19-395, (App.20a) No Private Cause of Action Under Title 49. Respectfully, may there be a Public Cause of Action Under Title 49, amidst the Global Pandemic, with No Transparency to the Chemical Substance Products (by Name with Safety Data Sheets)**

used inside the Aircraft Cabin for the Global Air-Traveling Public's Safety and Health?

**Is 100% Transparency of the Chemicals, Air-Travelers are being exposed to, an impossible mission due to the Fear of Public Scrutiny? (SCOTUS Case No. 19-395, App.100a)**

**32. For the Record:**

**Petitioner has Requested and Paid for All Court Reports, both District Hearings and Staff Hearings, of the Testimonies to the Petitioners Work Injuries, Due to Chemical Inhalation Exposure with No Personal Protective Equipment (PPE Masks) Furnished by the Respondent.**

Respectfully, Petitioner has Self Published the Public Court Report Transcripts for the Respectful Truth be Told. The Last Book to be Published is the Fifth Sequel.

With respect, awaiting the Outcome of the Petition For Writ of Certiorari with the Supreme Court of the United States, Final Judgment.

**33. Respectfully, *may the Air-Traveling Public please have 100% Transparency to the Chemical Substance Products used inside the Aircraft Cabin for Cleaning, Disinfecting, Sanitizing and Air-Freshening with Safety Data Sheets?* Respectfully, because SAFETY IS TOP PRIORITY.**



## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

### **U.S. CONSTITUTION: To Protect the People.**

**YOUR HONOR and with Great Respect,** this Case is Sincerely about Principle and that *All* Must Follow the Rule of Law.

#### *Equal Justice Under the Law.*

YOUR HONOR and with Great Respect, the Substantial Federal Question Needs Answered by the Court. Respectfully, Is the *Respondent* in Compliance with the Statute, Title 49 U.S. Code 5124, (**App. 2a-App.4a**) Federal Law Forbids Hazard Materials onboard the Aircraft, when *Respondent* is willfully Allowing Chemical Substance Products for Cleaning, Sanitizing, Disinfecting and Air-Freshening the Aircraft Cabin, without being 100% Transparent to the Product Names and Safety Data Sheets for the Air-Travelers Safety and Health?

Respectfully, may every **HONORABLE JUSTICE** of the **SUPREME COURT** Conclude that there is a Significant Loophole and Conflict of Law whereby the EEOC could Not Certify the **Respondent** is in Compliance with the Statutes. Respectfully, and as the **Petitioner** believes, this Case is of Great General *and* Public Interest.

During the COVID-19 Global Pandemic, the Respondent's "CleanPlus Program", provided No Product Names with Safety Data Sheets for the Air-Traveler's Protection against Products used in the Aircraft Cabin, that Kill Viruses and Bacteria. Products

onboard the Aircraft that State: "HAZARDS TO HUMANS" *Why is this? How can this be without 100% Transparency to the Air-Traveler?*

This Case has NATIONAL AND GLOBAL SIGNIFICANCE.

Federal: Supreme Court of the United States (SCOTUS) Case No.19-395. (App.100a)

State: Supreme Court of Ohio (SCO) Case No. 2023-0072. (App.1a). Respectfully, *why no answer?*

S.Ct.Prac.Rule 7.08(B)(4): In specific: (a) "The appeal does not involve a substantial constitutional question and should be dismissed; (b) The appeal does not involve a question of great general or public interest."

Respectfully, the Petitioner does believe that this Case is of Great General and Public Interest.

Chemical Inhalation Exposure happens at 30,000 feet, with No Transparency to the Chemical Substance Products used inside the Aircraft Cabin for: Cleaning, Sanitizing, Disinfecting and Air-Freshening. With respect, No Names of Products, No Safety Data Sheets, for the Air-Traveling Public's Knowledge to Protect from Illness and Injury. Please, Protect the Air-Traveling Public with 100% Transparency.

Respectfully, still to this day from the First Request to the 116th Congress, to where we are today with the 118th Congress, the Air-Traveling Public still has not been provided a "Certificate of Compliance" that in fact the Airline Industry is following the Rule of Law, Title 49 U.S.C. 5124.

**100% Transparency** is being Respectfully Requested for the Air-Traveling Public, to Chemical Products Exposed in the Aircraft Cabin for Cleaning, Sanitizing, Disinfecting and Air-Freshening used inside the Aircraft Cabin with Safety Data Sheets, so Air-Travelers Know How Best to Protect their Safety and Health.

**Petitioner has Already Won her Case.** The Ability to Protect from Chemical Inhalation Exposure with Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) has given Health back to the Petitioner. Respectfully, this **Petition for Writ of Certiorari**, is about the Air-Traveling Public, who the **Petitioner** has a Job Duty and Responsibility to Ensure a Safe Environment. "We the People" (Air-Travelers), Need 100% Transparency to Aircraft Cabin Chemical Product Exposures.

With Respect, **Petitioners Writ of Certiorari** has Merit to be Granted when the Federal Questions have Never been answered.

*WHO wants another Global Pandemic?*

**Petitioner** believes in God the Father Almighty, Creator of Heaven and Earth. The Creator of Us All and Will Hold Each and Everyone of Us Accountable for Our Actions in Our Life. Petitioner believes God Greatly Forbids Harm and is Watching Very Closely to Us All.

To Intentionally Harm People to Make a Profit, is a Crime.

Therefore, Just to be Sure the Global Airline Industry has No Part in Any Worldwide Breakout or Wrong Doing, there Needs to be 100% Transparency,

Accountability to the Aircraft Cabin Products with Safety Data Sheets.

A Great Idea for Worldwide Hotel Lobby and Rooms Too!

Non-Toxic & Chemical Free!

Making the World a Healthier and Better Place to Be!

The Time has Come for 100% Transparency. Petitioners Case has Merit.

**The Credible Argument in Granting the Petition For Writ of Certiorari, is as follows:**

- Chemical Exposure in the Aircraft Cabin Environment.
- Civil Justice for the Air-Traveler with Transparency.
- Commercial Aviation Industry Accountability.
- **Respondent (Employer) to Petitioner (Employee) Relationships.**
- **Petitioner** who has been repeatedly confronted with A Substantial Federal Question that goes left Unanswered by the Courts, while the Petitioners Job Duty and Responsibility to Ensure a Safe Environment, Remains a Safety and Health Issue for the Air-Traveler without Transparency.
- Safety is Top Priority.

**YOUR HONOR and with Great Respect,** Petitioner is giving a Second Ideal Opportunity for Resolution, Action and Outcome, with New Legislation and Reform for 100% Transparency.

100% Safe, 100% Transparent Aircraft Cabin Products for the Safety, Health, Dignity and Respect that the Global Air-Traveling Public deserves.

**YOUR HONOR and with Great Respect,** Petitioner will be Self-Publishing the *Last of Five Sequels*, communicating in its Conclusion Chapter, the **Supreme Court of the United States** Final Judgment.

Respectfully, the Air-Traveling Public's Safety and Health is once again in your Most Honorable Hands. *May We All Please Agree Together* that Under the **UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION**: We Must, with No Other Further Agenda, Honestly and Truly "Protect the People".

**UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION:**

**"Protect the People"**

---

**CONCLUSION**

For the Foregoing Reasons, in Good Conscience and in Good Faith, may the Supreme Court of the United States Grant this Respectful and Meaningful Petition for Writ of Certiorari.

Respectfully submitted,

Christina Alessio  
*Petitioner Pro Se*  
1970 N. Cleveland-Massillon Rd. #589  
Bath, OH 44210  
(330) 338-7052

September 1, 2023