

September 14, 2023

Catherine Carroll

Via Electronic Filing

+1 202 663 6072 (t)
+1 202 663 6363 (f)
catherine.carroll@wilmerhale.com

The Honorable Scott S. Harris
Clerk
Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street, N.E.
Washington, DC 20543

Re: *Intel Corporation et al. v. Vidal*, No. 23-135

Dear Mr. Harris:

I represent Cisco Systems, Inc. in this matter.¹ Cisco was an appellant in *Apple Inc. et al. v. Vidal*, No. 2022-1249 (Fed. Cir. Mar. 13, 2023).

I write to notify the Court that Cisco maintains its interest in the outcome of the petition and supports granting the petition for the reasons stated therein. Should the Court grant the petition, Cisco intends to seek all available relief. *See* S. Ct. R. 12.6 (“All parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is sought to be reviewed are deemed parties entitled to file documents in this Court.”); *Black v. United States*, 561 U.S. 465, 468 n.1 (2010) (noting that a respondent filing a letter in support of a petitioner “qualifies for relief under this Court’s Rule 12.6”).

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Catherine Carroll
Catherine Carroll

cc: Hon. Elizabeth B. Prelogar, Solicitor General, Counsel for Respondent Katherine K. Vidal
Christy Lea, Counsel for Edwards Lifesciences Corporation and Edwards Lifesciences LLC
Nathan Kelley, Counsel for Google LLC

¹ The undersigned jointly represents Intel Corporation, Cisco Systems, Inc., and Apple Inc. in this matter.