23-1330

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
**PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

No. _____

_____

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Michael Stern & Emma Stern Petitioners

VS.

**Mark McDonald**
Respondent

**PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON**


PETITIONERS PRO SE
MICHAEL STERN &
EMMA STERN
6942 96 AVE. SE
MERCER ISLAND, WA.
98040
TEL: 206-232-2193
MIKES@DGFLO.COM

ATTORNEY FOR THE
RESPONDENT
RYAN C. ESPEGARD
GORDON THOMAS
HONEYWELL
520 PIKE ST.,SUITE 1515
SEATTLE, WA. 98101-4185
(206) 676-7500
RESPEGARD@GTH-
LAW.COM

## QUESTION PRESENTED FOR REVIEW

Did THE SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON order to deny review, violate the Unites States Constitution?

## Parties to the proceedings and related proceedings

Petitioners are Michael Stern and Emma Stern a married couple. They were the Defendants in the trial court, appellants at the court of appeals and petitioners at The Washington Supreme court.

Respondent is Mark McDonald, an individual. He was the plaintiff at the trial court, respondent at the court of appeals and Respondent at The Washington Supreme court.

1. Trial Court No. 20-2-07278-8 SEA Entered: December 8th, 2021.
2. Court of Appeals No. 83566-1-I Unpublished Opinion to "Affirm". Entered: July 24, 2023
3. Court of Appeals No. 83566-1-I Order granting motion to publish. Entered: September 27, 2023
4. The Washington Supreme court order to Deny Review. No. 102485-1 Entered: February 7, 2024

## Table of Contents & index to appendicies

## PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

MICHAEL Stern and Emma Stern, Petitioners respectfully petition for a writ of certiorari to review the judgement of the Washington Supreme court.

## OPINIONS BELOW

The opinion of the COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON is published at:

Court of Appeals of Washington, Division One
No. 83566-1-I

**Reporter**

27 Wn. App. 2d 479 | 536 P.3d 671 | 2023 Wash. App. LEXIS 1415

## JURISDICTION

THE SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON entered order on February 7, 2024. - Appendix C. This petition is timely filed pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 13.1. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THE SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON has often in the past laid down rules and principles to prevent chaos, such that one property owner cannot, simply by redrawing established lines, legally steal land & property from another. In this case, the court denied review, thus allowing our new to the south real estate investor neighbor, to legally get a survey, based on local City Hall approved Short plot – a line, which passes through our land. Then on the bases of that line, he obtained a judgement & attorney fees – Appendix B.