WHITE & CASE

White & Case LLP
701 Thirteenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005-3807
T +1 202 626 3600

whitecase.com

July 14, 2025

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING & FIRST-CLASS MAIL

Honorable Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20453

Letter in Support of Respondent's Motion to Modify Supreme Court Opinion, *CC/Devas (Mauritius) Limited, et al. v. Antrix Corp. Ltd., et al.*; *Devas Multimedia Private Limited v. Antrix Corp. Ltd., et al.*, Nos. 23-1201 and 24-17

Dear Mr. Harris:

We write on behalf of the Republic of India, which previously submitted an *amicus curiae* brief in *CC/Devas (Mauritius) Limited, et al. v. Antrix Corp. Ltd., et al.*; *Devas Multimedia Private Limited v. Antrix Corp. Ltd., et al.*, Nos. 23-1201 and 24-17. On July 2, 2025, Respondent Antrix Corp. Ltd. filed a Motion to Modify the Supreme Court Opinion, requesting removal from the Court's opinion in that case of the sentence: "The Indian Government finances most of Antrix's operations and appoints much of its leadership." *See* Respondent's Motion to Modify Supreme Court Opinion at 2, *CC/Devas (Mauritius) Limited, et al. v. Antrix Corp. Ltd., et al.*, 145 S. Ct. 1572 (2025) (Nos. 23-1201 and 24-17) (quoting *CC/Devas (Mauritius) Ltd. v. Antrix Corp.*, 605 U.S. ___ (2025) (slip op., at 2)). The Republic of India respectfully submits this letter in support of Respondent's proposed modification. This particular sentence is a contested issue that was neither considered by this Court nor relevant to its decision.

As Respondent asserts, there was no factual basis for the District Court's statement that Antrix's commercial activities are financed by the Government of India. *Id.* at 2. Respondent vigorously disputed this assertion before the Ninth Circuit. *Id.* The Ninth Circuit's decision, however, did not reach this argument. *Id.* at 2-3. The claim that the government of India funds Antrix therefore remains an issue in dispute, and India joins Respondent in refuting its accuracy.

India does not dispute that Antrix is wholly owned by the Government of India. It is inaccurate to state, however, that most of Antrix's commercial activities are

financed by the government of India. India reiterates Respondent's contention that "Antrix meets its expenses from its business income from commercial activities, which exceeds its total expenses." *Id*. at 2.

India has a substantial interest in ensuring that its relationship to Antrix is accurately characterized. In particular, there is significant risk that this statement's inclusion in an opinion of this Court could be misconstrued in related proceedings before other courts across the globe as a factual finding made by this Court. India therefore respectfully joins Respondent in requesting the Court remove this statement from its opinion.

Respectfully submitted,

Andrea J. Menaker
Nicolle Kownacki
Alec Albright
amenaker@whitecase.com

Counsel for the Republic of India

cc: All Counsel of Record