

## **APPENDIX**

## APPENDIX TABLE OF CONTENTS

Appendix A: 11th Cir. January 16, 2024 Final Opinion Dismissing Petitioner’s Appeal.....	1a
Appendix A: 11th Cir. November 14, 2023 First Order Dismissing Petitioner’s Appeal .....	2a
Appendix A: 11th Cir. December 26, 2023 Order Reinstating Petitioner’s Appeal & Granting 14-days to Reply to Renewed Motions .....	3a
Appendix A: Florida 18th Judicial Circuit Court Registry of Actions as of February 3, 2024.....	4a
Appendix A: Florida Supreme Court June 8, 2023 Appellate Case Reassignment Order .....	5a
Appendix A: Florida Supreme Court May 11, 2022 Appellate Case Reassignment Order.....	6a
Appendix A: Florida Supreme Court September 15, 2022 Appellate Case Reassignment Order .....	7a
Appendix A: 11th Cir. October 19, 2023 Denying Emergency Motion for Injunctive Relief as Non- Emergency .....	8a
Appendix A: 11th Cir. January 17, 2024 Order Taking No Action on Petitioner’s Response to Motion to Dismiss.....	9a

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the  
United States Court of Appeals  
For the Eleventh Circuit

---

No. 23-13250  
Non-Argument Calendar

---

CHRISTOPHER BAYLOR,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

*versus*

THE FLORIDA KLU KLUX KLAN FOR THE  
TRADITIONALIST AMERICANS,  
United Northern and Southern Chapter,  
and Ladies of the Invisible Empire,  
CHARLES T. CANADY,  
RICKY POLSTON,  
JAMIE GROSSHANS,  
JORGE LABARGA, et al.

2            Opinion of the Court            23-13250  
Defendants-Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Middle District of Florida  
D.C. Docket No. 6:23-cv-00748-CEM-EJK

---

Before JILL PRYOR, NEWSOM, and LUCK,  
Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

This appeal is DISMISSED, *sua sponte*, for lack of jurisdiction. Christopher Baylor appeals from the district court’s “implicit denial” of his motion for injunctive relief and his petition to disqualify the district judge. He further purports to appeal any earlier judgments, opinions, rulings, or orders subsumed within that implicit denial. We lack jurisdiction to review an implicit or expected order. *Bogle v. Orange Cnty. Bd. of Cnty. Comm’rs*, 162 F.3d 653, 661 (11th Cir. 1998) (holding that a notice of appeal must designate an already existing order and not one that is merely expected to be entered in the future). The district court has not entered an order on Baylor’s motion for injunctive relief or his petition to disqualify. The only orders it entered before Baylor appealed were initial orders regarding discovery motions and case deadlines and an order striking Baylor’s initial disclosure statement. Those rulings cannot be subsumed within a non-existent order and are not immediately

23-13250                      Opinion of the Court                      3

appealable under the collateral order doctrine. *See Plaintiff A v. Schair*, 744 F.3d 1247, 1252-53 (11th Cir. 2014) (stating that an order is immediately appealable under the collateral order doctrine if it conclusively determines a disputed question and is effectively unreviewable on appeal from a final judgment).

No petition for rehearing may be filed unless it complies with the timing and other requirements of 11th Cir. R. 40-3 and all other applicable rules.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 23-13250-B

CHRISTOPHER BAYLOR,  
Plaintiff - Appellant,

Versus

THE FLORIDA KLU KLUX KLAN FOR THE  
TRADITIONALIST AMERICANS,  
United Northern and Southern Chapter,  
and Ladies of the Invisible Empire,  
CHARLES T. CANADY,  
RICKY POLSTON,  
JAMIE GROSSHANS,  
JORGE LABARGA, et al.,  
Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the  
Middle District of Florida

ORDER: Pursuant to the 11th Cir. R. 42-1(b), this  
appeal is DISMISSED for want of  
prosecution because the appellant Christopher  
Baylor failed to comply with the rules on Certificates  
of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure  
Statements within the time fixed by the rules.  
Effective November 14, 2023

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

ELBERT PARR TUTTLE COURT OF APPEALS  
BUILDING  
56 Forsyth Street, N.W.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

David J. Smith      For rules and forms visit  
Clerk of Court      [www.ca11.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca11.uscourts.gov)

November 14, 2023

Clerk - Middle District of Florida  
U.S. District Court  
401 W CENTRAL BLVD  
ORLANDO, FL 32801

Appeal Number: 23-13250-B  
Case Style: Christopher Baylor v. The Florida Klu  
Klux Klan for the Traditionalist A, et al District  
Court Docket No: 6:23-cv-00748-CEM-EJK

The enclosed copy of the Clerk's Order of Dismissal  
for failure to prosecute in the above referenced  
appeal is issued as the mandate of this court. See  
11th Cir. R. 41-4. Any pending motions are now  
rendered moot in light of the attached order.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

ELBERT PARR TUTTLE COURT OF APPEALS  
BUILDING

56 Forsyth Street, N.W.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

David J. Smith      For rules and forms visit  
Clerk of Court      [www.ca11.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca11.uscourts.gov)

December 26, 2023

Clerk - Middle District of Florida  
U.S. District Court  
401 W CENTRAL BLVD  
ORLANDO, FL 32801

Appeal Number: 23-13250-B  
Case Style: Christopher Baylor v. The Florida Klu  
Klux Klan for the Traditionalist A, et al District  
Court Docket No: 6:23-cv-00748-CEM-EJK

The referenced appeal was dismissed. Appellant's motion to reinstate this appeal has been granted by the clerk. The parties have 14 days from the date of this order to file a notice with the Clerk specifying which motions mooted by the dismissal of this appeal, **if any**, are to be renewed. The time for filing a response to any renewed motion runs from the date the opposing party's notice is docketed. Parties seeking to renew a motion after the 14-day renewal period expires will be required to refile the motion.



IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 23-13250-B

CHRISTOPHER BAYLOR,  
Plaintiff - Appellant,  
Versus

THE FLORIDA KLU KLUX KLAN FOR THE  
TRADITIONALIST AMERICANS, et al.,  
Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the  
Middle District of Florida

ORDER: The motion to reinstate appeal is  
GRANTED. The appeal is reinstated by the clerk,  
effective December 26, 2023.

**BECA**  
**Brevard Electronic Court Application**  
Case Number: 05-2020-CA-051191-XXXX-XX Case  
Type: DE  
Date Filed: 11/23/2020 Case Status: REOPEN  
PENDING  
Status Date: 10/23/2023 Judge: CURT JACOBUS  
Jurisdiction: CENTRAL Charging Agency: NONE

Register of Actions

Event Date	Document Number	Description	Count Page	Amount
10/23/2023	REOPEN FILING UPDATE D	2		
10/23/2023	30	MOTION TO VACATE	4	
Total Number of Document Pages	150			

Supreme Court of Florida  
2023-71

WHEREAS, it officially has been made known to me that it is necessary to the dispatch of business of the FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA that a panel of judges be temporarily assigned to duty in that court to hear the case of:

*Christopher Gary Baylor vs. Kennedy Court, LLC*,  
Case Number: 05-2021-CC-010813-XXXX-XX.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, CARLOS G. MUÑIZ, under authority vested in me as Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Florida, under article V, section 2 of the Constitution of Florida, and the rules of this Court promulgated thereunder, do hereby assign and designate THE JUDGES of the FOURTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA, as determined by the Chief Judge of the FOURTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL, to serve as temporary judges of the FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL to determine the above cause and thereafter to dispose of all matters considered by the judges in said cause, including issues of fees and costs arising out of said cause, but excluding other matters subsequently raised that are collateral to said cause. The JUDGES of the FOURTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL, under and by virtue of the authority hereof, are hereby vested with all and singular the powers and prerogatives conferred by the Constitution and laws of the State of Florida upon a judge of the court to which the judges are hereby assigned.

DONE AND ORDERED at Tallahassee, Florida, on  
June 8,  
2023.

---

CHIEF JUSTICE CARLOS G. MUÑIZ  
SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA  
ATTEST:

---

DEPUTY CLERK

Supreme Court of Florida  
2023-17

WHEREAS, it officially has been made known to me that it is necessary to the dispatch of business of the FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA that a panel of judges be temporarily assigned to duty in that court to hear the case of:

*In Re: Christopher Gary Baylor, Plaintiff/ Petitioner,  
vs. Ayano Eto, etc., et al., Defendant/Respondent*  
Lower Tribunal Case Number: 05-2021-CA-056712

NOW, THEREFORE, I, CARLOS G. MUÑIZ, under authority vested in me as Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Florida, under article V, section 2 of the Constitution of Florida, and the rules of this Court promulgated thereunder, do hereby assign and designate THE JUDGES of the SECOND DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA, as determined by the Chief Judge of the SECOND DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL, to serve as temporary judges of the FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL to determine the above cause and thereafter to dispose of all matters considered by the judges in said cause, including issues of fees and costs arising out of said cause, but excluding other matters subsequently raised that are collateral to said cause. The JUDGES of the SECOND DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL, under and by virtue of the authority hereof, are hereby vested with all and singular the powers State of Florida upon a judge of the court to

which the judges are  
hereby assigned.

DONE AND ORDERED at Tallahassee, Florida, on  
November  
1, 2022.

---

CHIEF JUSTICE CARLOS G. MUÑIZ  
SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA  
ATTEST:

---

DEPUTY CLERK and prerogatives conferred by the  
Constitution and laws of the

Supreme Court of Florida  
2023-8

WHEREAS, it officially has been made known to me that it is necessary to the dispatch of business of the FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA that a panel of judges be temporarily assigned to duty in that court to hear the case of:

*JESTER, Christopher Gary Baylor, Plaintiff, vs. NOBLEMAN, Michelle L. Naberhaus, Meredith L. Sasso, Eric J. Eisnaugle, Mary Alice Nardella, Carrie Ann Wozniak, F. Rand Wallis, Brian D. Lambert, Dan Traver, John M. Harris, and James A. Edwards, Defendants* Circuit Court Case Number: 05-2022-CA-020287

NOW, THEREFORE, I, CARLOS G. MUÑIZ, under authority vested in me as Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Florida, under article V, section 2 of the Constitution of Florida, and the rules of this Court promulgated thereunder, do hereby assign and designate THE JUDGES of the SECOND DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA, as determined by the Chief Judge of the SECOND DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL, to serve as temporary judges of the FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL to determine the above cause and thereafter to dispose of all matters considered by the judges in said cause, including issues of fees and costs arising out of said cause, but excluding other matters subsequently raised that are collateral to said cause. The JUDGES of the SECOND DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL, under and by virtue of the authority hereof, are

hereby vested with all and singular the powers and prerogatives conferred by the Constitution and laws of the State of Florida upon a judge of the court to which the judges are hereby assigned.

DONE AND ORDERED at Tallahassee, Florida, on September 15, 2022.

---

CHIEF JUSTICE CARLOS G. MUÑIZ  
SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA  
ATTEST:

---

DEPUTY CLERK



IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 23-13250-B

CHRISTOPHER BAYLOR,  
Plaintiff - Appellant,

Versus

THE FLORIDA KLU KLUX KLAN FOR THE  
TRADITIONALIST AMERICANS,  
United Northern and Southern Chapter,  
and Ladies of the Invisible Empire,  
CHARLES T. CANADY,  
RICKY POLSTON,  
JAMIE GROSSHANS,  
JORGE LABARGA, et al.,  
Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the  
Middle District of Florida

2      Order of the Court      23-13250

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Middle District of Florida  
D.C. Docket No. 6:23-cv-00748-CEM-EJK  
Before JoRDAN, BRANCH, and ABUDU, Circuit  
Judges.

BY THE COURT:

Appellant's emergency motion for an injunction  
pending appeal is DENIED.

The Clerk's Office is DIRECTED to treat any motion for re-consideration of this order as a non-emergency matter.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE  
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

ELBERT PARR TUTTLE COURT OF APPEALS  
BUILDING

56 Forsyth Street, N.W.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

David J. Smith For rules and forms visit  
Clerk of Court [www.ca11.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca11.uscourts.gov)  
January 17, 2024

Christopher Baylor  
3206 S HOPKINS AVE STE 43  
TITUSVILLE, FL 32780

Appeal Number: 23-13250-DD  
Case Style: Christopher Baylor v. The Florida Klu  
Klux Klan for the Traditionalist A, et al District  
Court Docket No: 6:23-cv-00748-CEM-EJK

**NO ACTION / DEFICIENCY NOTICE**

No action will be taken on filing submitted by  
Appellant Christopher Baylor. Motion [10114922-2].,  
as this appeal was dismissed on 01/16/2024. **No  
deadlines will be extended** as a result of your  
deficient filing.

**ACTION REQUIRED**

For motions for reconsideration or petitions for  
rehearing that are not permitted, no action is  
required or permitted. Your filing will not be  
considered.

For mistaken filings, to have your document considered, **you must file the document in the correct court.**

For all other deficiencies, to have your document considered, you **must refile the entire document** after all the deficiencies identified above have been corrected and you **must include** any required items identified above **along with** the refiled document. No action will be taken if you only provide the missing items without refiling your entire document.

Please note that any filing submitted out of time must be accompanied by an appropriate motion, *i.e.*, a motion to file out of time, a motion to reinstate if the case has been dismissed, and/or a motion to recall the mandate if the mandate has issued.