RECEIVED

DEC 08 2022

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY DEPLITY

Form 1A

Notice of Appeal to a Court of Appeals From a Judgment of a District Court

United States District Court for the State VI District of ALIFERIA

Docket Number 22-CV-00065

David John Thistle, Plaintiff

V

Joseph Robinette Biden Jr, and Merrick Brian Garland et. al. Defendants Notice of Appeal

David John Thistle appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the _9th___ Circuit from the final judgment entered on 10/24/2022 filed 10/25/2022.

David John Thistle Pro Se 5580 La Jolla Blvd Suite 360 San Diego, CA 92037

DEC 08 2022

United States Court of Appeals

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY DEPUTY for the

<	> CIRCUIT
David John Thistle,)
Petitioner)
v	.)
Joseph Robinette Biden Jr. and Merrick Br Garland et.al.,	rian))
Respondents)

PETITION FOR REVIEW

David John Thistle hereby petition the court for review of the Order of the 10/24/2022 entered on 10/25/2022.

Date: December 05, 2022

<Signature of the attorney or unrepresented

David John Thatle Pro Se

5580 La Jolla Blvd #360

San Diego, CA 92037

e-mail: Spacerangeradm1@icloud.com

e-mail: David@Thistle.World

personal cell phone: (619)603-2466

United States Court of Appeals

·	< _ f	or the > CIRCUIT
David John Thistle,)
	Petitioner)
v.)
Joseph Robinette Bio Garland et.al.,	len Jr. and Merrick Brian	·)
	Respondents)) .

RECEIVED

DEC 08 2022

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY

PETITION FOR REVIEW

David John Thistle hereby petitions the court for review of the Order of the 10/04/2022 entered on 10/04/2022 and the Order 10/24/2022 and entered on 10/25/2022. The Petitioner, David John Thistle, respectfully requests the Court of Appeals to review additional evidence of PROOF of SERVCIE to the DEFENDANT and set aside the Prior Order to DISMISSING the Case and allow a MOTION to RECONSIDER and Continue the Case based on the ENCLOSED PROOF of SERVICE to the DEFENDANT on September 30, 2022, in compliance with the Original Order to Extend.

The Plaintiff, Mr. David John Thistle, made all efforts to SERVE the DEFENDANTS with GOOD FAITH in the SERVICE OFFICERS. The SERVICE OFFICERS failed to provide proper legal SERVICE upon payment of fees by the Plaintiff. Causing a delay in the Case Complaint.

Moreover, the Plaintiff, Mr. David John Thistle, once again paid the fees for a Proper Legal Service to the Defendant. The Proof of Service was delayed and received in an untimely manner to be filed by the Plaintiff, Mr. David John Thistle. The Plaintiff, Mr. David John Thistle, made every effort to file the proof of service documents as soon as possible to the Court.

The Plaintiff, Mr. David John Thistle, a 100% Service-Connected Disabled Veteran requests the Court to Reconsider based on the evidence of PROOF of SERVICE and extend the courtesy of time to the Plaintiff, Mr. David John Thistle under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1989.

In addition to the RECONSIDERATION, the Plaintiff would like to point out to the COURT that JUDICIAL MMISCONDUCT has occurred. In accordance with the ARTICLE VI,

CLAUSE 2 or the SUPREMACY CLAUSE of the United States Constitution and the XIV AMENDMENT, the COURT had the DUTY, OBLIGATION, and PRIVILEDGE to protect the INTEGRITY of the CONSTITUTION of the UNITED STATES and the FAIR ELECTIONS of the U.S. REPRESENTATIVES and failed to bring attention to the attacks upon the CONSTITUTION by OFFICERS of the 6 STATES within the original Case Complaints leading to the Case Complaint that was DISMISSED. It is unacceptable for a JUDGE who is SWORN to "...PRESERVE, PROTECT and DEFEND the Constitution of the United States..." to allow a direct attack upon FAIR ELECTIONS after an INSURRECTION at the CAPITAL that cost AMERICAN LIVES.

The legitimate question is now posed; is the U.S. Department of Justice or the Federal Court cooperating with the States who altered Article 1 Par 2 Sec 2 without due process of Law to effect a change in the outcome of the US Representatives Election. Is the Court an accessory to DOMESTIC TERRORISM or is it just limited to the individual Judges in the Southern District San Diego Federal Court?

The Plaintiff, Mr. David John Thistle, respectfully requests the Court to review all case complaints filed within 22-cv-00065 and determine the appropriate steps to maintain the construction oof the Constitution of the United States unchanged except by the direction in Article V and the integrity of FAIR ELECTIONS within all of the States of the Union.

Date: December 05, 2022

David John Thistle

Pro Se

5580 La Jolla Blvd #360

San Diego, CA 92037 e-mail: Spacerangeradm1@icloud.com

e-mail: David@Thistle.World

personal cell phone: (619)603-2466

RECEIVED

DEC 08 2022

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUT

for the SOUTHERW > DISTRICT OF < ALTORUM >

David John Thistle,)
Plaintiff,))
Joseph Robinette Biden Jr. President of the United States & Merrick Brian Garland, United States Attorney General,) Case No. 2 Z-CV-00065)
Defendants))

AFFIDAVIT ACCOMPANYING MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS

Affidavit in Support of Motion

I swear or affirm under penalty of perjury that, because of my poverty, I cannot prepay the docket fees of my appeal or post a bond for them. I believe I am entitled to redress. I swear or affirm under penalty of perjury under United States laws that my answers on this form are true and correct. (28 U.S.C. § 1746; 18 U.S.C. § 1621.)

Instructions

Complete all questions in this application and then sign it. Do not leave any blanks: if the answer to a question is "0," "none," or "not applicable (N/A)," write in that response. If you need more space to answer a question or to explain your answer, attach a separate sheet of paper identified with your name, your case's docket number, and the question number.

Signed:

Date: 10/08/2022

My issues on appeal are:

Case COMPLAINT 22-cv-00065 THISTLE v. BIDEN & GARLAND et.al. The Case was DISMISSED due to the delay of proper SERVICE DOCUMENTS to DEFENDANTS. The SERVICE was COMPLETED as required by the COURT. However, the documents of SERVICE were delayed, and the case was DISMISSED without prejudice due to the DELAY of PROOF of SERVICE. The Plaintiff, Mr. David John Thistle, respectfully requests a reconsideration of the case due to the PROOF of SERVICE Filed on 10/21/2022.

1. The Plaintiff, David John Thistle is unmarried. For both you and your spouse estimate the average amount of money received from each of the following sources during the past 12 months. Adjust any amount that was received weekly, biweekly, quarterly, semiannually, or annually to show the monthly rate. Use gross amounts, that is, amounts

before any deductions for taxes or otherwise.

Income source	Average monthly amount during the past 12 months		Amount expected next month	
	You	Spouse	You	Spouse
Employment	\$ 25	\$N/A	\$	\$N/A
Self-employment 864	\$-	\$N/A	\$	\$N/A
Income from real property (such as rental income)	\$N/A	\$N/A	\$N/A	\$N/A
Interest and dividends	\$N/A	\$N/A	\$N/A	\$N/A
Gifts	\$	\$N/A	\$.	\$N/A
Alimony	\$N/A	\$N/A	\$N/A	\$N/A
Child support	\$N/A	\$N/A	\$N/A	\$N/A
Retirement (such as social security, pensions, annuities, insurance) VA	\$3,672	\$\frac{1}{2} \\$N/A	\$	\$N/A
Disability (such as social security, insurance payments) SSDI	\$1371	\$N/A	\$	\$N/A
Unemployment payments	\$N/A	\$N/A	\$	\$N/A
Public-assistance (such as welfare)	\$N/A	\$N/A	\$N/A	\$N/A
Other (specify):	\$INSUR	tresn/A	\$	\$N/A
Total monthly income:	\$,643.2	3 SN/A	\$	\$N/A

2. List your employment history for the past two years, most recent employer first. (Gross monthly pay is before taxes or other deductions.)

Employer	Address	Dates of employment	Gross
			monthly pay

N/A	N/A	N/A	\$N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$N/A

3. List your spouse's employment history for the past two years, most recent employer first. (Gross monthly pay is before taxes or other deductions.) Mr. David John Thistle is a retired 100% Combat Related Service-Connected Disabled Veteran.

Employer	Address	Dates of employment	Gross monthly pay
US Dept. of V.A.			\$3672,-
SSDI			\$ 1371
Recycling			SVARIES

4. How much cash do you have? \$200.00

Below, state any money you have in bank accounts or in any other financial institution.

Financial Institution	Type of Account	Amount you have	Amount your spouse has
USAA	Checking	\$100\$ 137,61	\$N/A
USAA	Checking	\$100.0019.64	\$N/A
Navy Federal -Eastern Bank	Savings-Savings	Navy Fed \$1.00 Eastern Bank \$1.00	\$N/A

If you are a prisoner seeking to appeal a judgment in a civil action or proceeding, you must attach a statement certified by the appropriate institutional officer showing all receipts, expenditures, and balances during the last six months in your institutional accounts. If you have multiple accounts, perhaps because you have been in multiple institutions, attach one certified statement of each account.

5. List the assets, and their values, which you own or your spouse owns. Do not list clothing and ordinary household furnishings.

Home	Other real estate	Motor vehicle #1
(Value) \$	(Value) \$	(Value) \$10,000

·	·	Make and year: KIA 2016
		Model: SOUL
		Registration #:8VMG630 (CA)

Motor vehicle #2	Other assets	Other assets
(Value) \$	(Value) N/A	(Value) \$N/A
Make and year:	N/A	N/A
Model:	N/A	N/A
Registration #:	N/A	N/A

6. State every person, business, or organization owing you money, and the amount owed.

Person owing you or your spouse money	Amount owed to you	Amount owed to your spouse
NONE	\$N/A	\$N/A
N/A	\$N/A	·\$N/A
N/A	\$N/A	\$N/A
N/A	\$N/A	\$N/A

7. State the persons who rely on you for support.

Name [or, if under 18, initials only]	Relationship	Age
NONE	NONE	NONE
N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A

8. Estimate the average monthly expenses of you and your family. Show separately the amounts paid by your spouse. Adjust any payments that are made weekly, biweekly, quarterly, semiannually, or annually to show the monthly rate.

	You	Your Spouse
--	-----	-------------

Total monthly expenses:	\$.	\$N/A
Other (specify): UPS Po Box and Copy & Service Fees (Court)	\$750.00	\$N/A
Regular expenses for operation of business, profession, or farm (attach detailed statement) Court Copy Fees, Service Fees		\$N/A
Alimony, maintenance, and support paid to others	\$N/A	\$N/A
Other: Phone	\$50.00	\$N/A
Department store (name): TuffShed	\$600.00	\$N/A
Credit card (name): Daniels Jewelers	\$50.00	\$N/A
Motor Vehicle:	\$400.00	- \$N/A
Installment payments		
Taxes (not deducted from wages or included in mortgage payments) (specify):	\$N/A	\$N/A
Other:	\$	\$N/A
Motor vehicle:	\$190.00	*\$N/A
Health:	\$N/A	\$N/A
Life:	\$N/A	\$N/A
Homeowner's or renter's:	\$N/A	\$N/A
Insurance (not deducted from wages or included in mortgage pa	yments)	
Recreation, entertainment, newspapers, magazines, etc.	\$100.00	\$N/A
Transportation (not including motor vehicle payments)	\$500.00	\$N/A
Medical and dental expenses	\$360.00	\$N/A
Laundry and dry-cleaning	\$50.00	\$N/A
Clothing	\$150.00	\$N/A
Food	\$380.00	\$N/A
Home maintenance (repairs and upkeep)	\$100.00	\$N/A
Utilities (electricity, heating fuel, water, sewer, and telephone)	\$50.00	\$N/A
Are real estate taxes included? Is property insurance included? [] Yes [X] No [] Yes [X] No		
Rent or home-mortgage payment (include lot rented for mobile	\$3,000	\$N/A

- 9. Do you expect any major changes to your monthly income or expenses or in your assets or liabilities during the next 12 months?
 - [] Yes [] No If yes, describe on an attached sheet.
- 10. Have you spent or will you be spending any money for expenses or attorney fees in connection with this lawsuit? [X] Yes [] No

If yes, how much? \$Unknown

The Service Fess were paid to Serve all Defendants multiple times (3 in total) due to the unprofessional activities of the Service Organization. The Service Officer and Company failed to Serve the Documents properly causing a delay in the case and due to delays of the Service Officer's Forms a cancelation of the Case Complaint causing extreme fiscal hardship. It is believed this improper Service was done with malice due to Political Affiliations of the Partners and/or Service Officers involved in the Service of this case. Moreover, as a Disabled Veteran, the Plaintiff, Mr. David John Thistle, has very limited funds monthly to pay for living expenses. In addition to the aforementioned, the copy fees and travel fees to file the documents as well as the time dedicated to this case complaint and complaints is fiscally nearly impossible for the Plaintiff, Mr. David John Thistle, to fiscally afford. These cases have multiple questions inclusive of Constitutional Questions and Civil Rights Questions.

11. Provide any other information that will help explain why you cannot pay the docket fees for your appeal.

As a Disabled Veteran the fees are unaffordable to the Plaintiff. This case covers a multitude of case filings. And, the Plaintiff, Mr. David John Thistle, is currently Transient or Homeless.

To cover most of the added expenses for the Court Fees including Copy Fees, Service Fees with Shipping, and Travel Fees, the Plaintiff, Mr. David John Thistle, has taken loans from the Veterans Group Life Insurance Policies he has from the U.S. Dept. of Veterans Affairs Insurance program. Moreover, the Plaintiff, Mr. David John Thistle, has been diligently picking up recycled products off of the beaches and roadways and cashing them in for the additional monies needed for the cost of living as he pursued these case complaints in defense of the Construction of the Constitution and the Civil Rights of the disenfranchised Citizens. Therefore, the Plaintiff, Mr. David John Thistle, respectfully requests the Court to Waive the Fess for this Case Complaint.

Please NOTE: this case was recorded at the SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES. THE COPY FEES to file even as indigent are an enormous financial difficulty for the Plaintiff.

12. State the city and state of your legal residence. San Diego, California

Your daytime phone number: (619) 603-2466 Your age:52 Your years of schooling: 14

Last four digits of your social-security number: 8775

APALM NO CAMOLES.

13 PECAMBER 2022



NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCY

CASE NU	MBER: 3:22-cv-0065-l	RSH-NLS		′
TITLE:	histle v. Biden et al			
FILED DA	TE: 10/21/2022	DOC	CUMENT NO.: 21	
DOCUME	NT TITLE: EX PARTE M	OTION For Order Re	evoking or Setting Aside Prior Order On A	
			n Order To Continue the Case	
DOCUME	NT FILED BY: David Jo	ohn Thistle		
Upon the e	lectronic filing of the above	e referenced docum	nent(s), the following discrepancies are not	ted:
FRCvP 41	- Case Closed/Dismissed			
IT IS HERI	EBY ORDERED:			
1 1 1 1	ocument will remain as file iant documents may be stri		epancy noted above. Any further non- ord.	
The C	lerk is directed to MODIF?	Y the docket text of	f the filed document to the following:	
1 1 1 1	ocument is REJECTED. It, and serve a copy of this o		Clerk STRIKE the document from the	
☐ Reject	ted documents will be retur	ned to pro se filer.		
	· = -		ctronic Case Filing Administrative Policies Civil Local Rule 83.1 or Criminal Local R	
Date: Octo	ber 24, 2022	CHAMBERS OF:	: The Honorable Robert S. Huie	
cc: All Parti	es	Ву:	Robert & Hmis	

Undisputed Legal Inc. 590 Madison Ave 21st floor New York, NY 10022

INVOICE: 7732286

Issued: Sep 29, 2022

DAVID THISTLE

5580 LA JOLLA BLVD #360 LA JOLLA, CA 92037

PAY TO:

Undisputed Legal Inc. 590 Madison Ave 21st floor New York, NY 10022

Case: CIVIL ACTION NO.: 22-

CV-0065-TWR-NLS

Job: 7732286

Plaintiff / Petitioner: DAVID JOHN THISTLE

Defendant / Respondent: JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES;

MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL

Item	Description	Cost	Quantity	Total
rush	MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND	\$200.00	1	\$200.00

Payment	Description	Amount Paid
Sep 29, 2022		(\$200.00)

I	Thanks for your business. Please pay the "Balance Due" within 10 days. Total:	\$200.00
I	Amount Paid:	(\$200.00)
l	Balance Due:	\$0.00

Undisputed Legal Inc. • 590 Madison Ave 21st floor, New York, NY 10022

Call: 212-203-8001 • Email: info@undisputedlegal.com • Visit: undisputedlegal.com

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

CALIFORNIA Plaintiff / Petitioner: DAVID JOHN THISTLE S. Defendant / Respondent: JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES; MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL. Nasim Dehghani being duly sworn deposes and says: that deponent is not a party to this action, is over 18 years of age. That on Fri, Sep 30, 2022 deponent served the within: SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION; COMPLAINT; MOTION TO MODIFY ORIGINAL COMPLAINT; ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINE FOR PLAINTIFF TO EFFECTUATE SERVICE on MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND at the address 950 Pennsylvania Ave NW, Washington, DC 20530 by enclosing a copy of same in a postpaid wrapper properly addressed to the above recipient and deposited said wrapper no (a post office) official depository under exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Services, Mailed on 09/30/2022 da: Eirst Class Mall Envelope marked "Personal and Confidential" Check all that apply) FIRST CLASS MAILING First Class Mail Envelope marked "Personal and Confidential" Check all that apply) FIRST CLASS MAILING First Class Mail Envelope marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number (7022 0410 0002 7125 6148) RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number () OVERNIGHT MAILING marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number () OVERNIGHT MAILING marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number () District of Columbia Signed and Shown to (or alphanol) belong me on 16 for Personal Confidential Receipt Number () District of Columbia Signed and Shown to (or alphanol) belong me on 16 for Personal Confidential Receipt Number () District of Columbia		
Defendant / Respondent: JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES; MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL Nasim Dehghani being duly sworn deposes and says: that deponent is not a party to this action, is over 18 years of age. That on Fri, Sep 30, 2022 deponent served the within: SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION; COMPLAINT; MOTION TO MODIFY ORIGINAL COMPLAINT; ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINE FOR PLAINTIFF TO EFFECTUATE SERVICE on MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND at the address 950 Pennsylvania Ave NW, Washington, DC 20530 by enclosing a copy of same in a postspaid wrapper properly addressed to the above recipient and deposited said wrapper 1 (a post office) official depository under exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Services, Mailed on 09/30/2022 via: First Class Mail Envelope marked "Personal and Confidential" Check all that apply) FIRST CLASS MAILING First Class Mail Envelope marked "Personal and Confidential" SCERTIFIED MAILING certified mail marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number (7022 0410 0002 7125 6148) RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number (100 A C C Nasim Dehghani NoverNIGHT MAILING marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number (100 A C C Nasim Dehghani UNDISPUTED LEGAL, INC., 590 MADISON AVENUE, 21ST FLOOR, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 10022	CALIFORNIA	CASE NO: CIVIL ACTION NO.: 22-CV-0065-TWR- NLS
That on Fri, Sep 30, 2022 deponent served the within: SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION; COMPLAINT; MOTION TO MODIFY ORIGINAL COMPLAINT; ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINE FOR PLAINTIFT TO EFFECTUATE SERVICE on MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND at the address 950 Pennsylvania Ave NW, Washington, DC 20530 by enclosing a copy of same in a postpaid wrapper properly addressed to the above recipient and deposited said wrapper in (a post office) official depository under exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Services, Mailed on 09/30/2022 via: First Class Mail Envelope marked "Personal and Confidential". Scheck all that apply) FIRST CLASS MAILING First Class Mail Envelope marked "Personal and Confidential" SCERTIFIED MAILING certified mail marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number (7022 0410 0002 7125 6148) RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number (Defendant / Respondent: JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., PRESIDENT C	OF THE UNITED STATES; MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, THE
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION; COMPLAINT; MOTION TO MODIFY ORIGINAL COMPLAINT; ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINE FOR PLAINTIFF TO EFFECTUATE SERVICE on MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND at the address 950 Pennsylvania Ave NW, Washington, DC 20530 by enclosing a copy of same in a postpaid wrapper properly addressed to the above recipient and deposited said wrapper in (a post office) official depository under exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Services, Mailed on 09/30/2022 /ia: First Class Mail Envelope marked "Personal and Confidential". Check all that apply) FIRST CLASS MAILING First Class Mail Envelope marked "Personal and Confidential" CERTIFIED MAILING certified mail marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number (7022 0410 0002 7125 6148) RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number () OVERNIGHT MAILING marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number () Sworn to me on the 10 - 4 - 22 UNDISPUTED LEGAL, INC., 590 MADISON AVENUE, 21ST FLOOR, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 10022	Nasim Dehghani being duly sworn deposes and says: that deponent is	not a party to this action, is over 18 years of age.
FIRST CLASS MAILING First Class Mail Envelope marked "Personal and Confidential" **CERTIFIED MAILING certified mail marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number (7022 0410 0002 7125 6148) RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number (SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION; COMPLAINT; MOTION TO MODIFY ORI PLAINTIFF TO EFFECTUATE SERVICE on MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND at 20530 by enclosing a copy of same in a postpaid wrapper properly adding on (a post office) official depository under exclusive care and custody of	the address 950 Pennsylvania Ave NW, Washington, DC ressed to the above recipient and deposited said wrapper
FIRST CLASS MAILING First Class Mail Envelope marked "Personal and Confidential" **CERTIFIED MAILING certified mail marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number (7022 0410 0002 7125 6148) RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number (First Class Mail Envelope marked "Personal and Confidential".	
CERTIFIED MAILING certified mail marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number (7022 0410 0002 7125 6148) RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number (check all that apply)	
Notary Public UNDISPUTED LEGAL, INC., 590 MADISON AVENUE, 21ST FLOOR, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 10022 District of Columbia Signed and Sworn to (or affirmed) before me on 16/4/22 (Date) by NASI & DEH GH DAY	X CERTIFIED MAILING certified mail marked "Personal and Confidenti RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED marked "Personal and Confidential"	ial" Receipt Number (<u>7022 0410 0002 7125 6148)</u> Receipt Number ()
Notary Public UNDISPUTED LEGAL, INC., 590 MADISON AVENUE, 21ST FLOOR, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 10022 District of Columbia Signed and Sworn to (or affirmed) before me on 16/4/22 (Date) by NASI & DEH GH DAY	Sworn to me of the 117.4.1.7	
UNDISPUTED LEGAL, INC., 590 MADISON AVENUE, 21ST FLOOR, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 10022 District of Columbia Signed and Sworn to (or affirmed) before me on 16/4/22 (Date) by NOSING SHIGHTON	Sign	
District of Columbia Signed and Sworn to (or affirmed) before me on 16/4/22 (Date) by NBS et SEHEHOM		
Signed and Sworn to (or affirmed) before me on 16/4/22 (Date) by NASIEL DEHERRORI (Date)		ST FLOOR, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 10022
	Signed and Sworn to (or affirmed) before me on 15/4/22 (Date)	ALLER AND B. R.C.

My Commission Expires 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT	COLIDT	COD THE	COLITHEOM	DICTOICT	OF CALIFORNIA
INDITED STATES DISTRICT	C 1 21 194 1	HUNIMI	SULHERN	UISTRILI	OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff / Petitioner:	
DAVID JOHN THISTLE	
Defendant / Respondent:	
JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., PRESIDENT OF THE L	INITED
STATES; MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, THE UNITED STA	ATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL	

AFFIDAVIT OF DUE DILIGENCE

Index No: CIVIL ACTION NO.: 22-CV-0065-TWR-NLS

M. EA. 11/30 21. 11/30 21. 11/30 21. 11/30 21.

The undersigned being duly sworn, deposes and says, deponent is not a party herein, is over 18 years of age.

That on Sep 30, 2022 AT 1:55 pm AT 950 Pennsylvania Ave NW, Washington, DC 20530 I attempted serving the following SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION, COMPLAINT, MOTION TO MODIFY ORIGINAL COMPLAINT; ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINE FOR PLAINTIFF TO EFFECTUATE SERVICE on MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND.

I attempted service on this servee on the following dates and times:

1) Sep 30, 2022 AT 1:55 pm EDT: A Federal Protective Police Officer, who was stationed outside at the front door, radioed inside and was told to have me call the mailroom, I did and was told that due to COVID-19, the Department of Justice is on a varied schedule and that they are only accepting service via mail at this time. I was told to mail the documents via USPS certified mail.

After due and diligent effort I have been unable to serve said person for the following reason: A Federal Protective Police Officer, who was stationed outside at the front door, radioed inside and was told to have me call the mailroom. I did and was told that due to COVID-19, the Department of Justice is on a varied schedule and that they are only accepting service via mail at this time. I was told to mail the documents via USPS certified mail.

> 10/04/2022 Sworn to before me on

UNDISPUTED LEGAL, INC., 590 MADISON AVENUE, 21ST FLOOR, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 10022 11302(2)

Undisputed Legal Inc. 590 Madison Ave 21st floor New York, NY 10022

INVOICE: 6756987

Issued: Mar 2, 2022

DAVID THISTLE

5580 LA JOLLA BLVD #360 **LAJOLLA, CA 92037**

PAY TO: Undisputed Legal Inc. 590 Madison Ave 21st floor New York, NY 10022

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 22-CV-0065-TWR-NLS

Plaintiff / Petitioner: DAVID JOHN THISTLE

job: 6756987

Defendant / Respondent: JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES;

MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL

item	Description	Cost	Quantity	Total
routine	JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR.	\$100.00	1	\$100.00

Payment	Description	Amount Paid
Mar 2, 2022		(\$100.00)

Thanks for your business. Please pay the "Balance Due" within 10 days.	Total:	\$100.00
	Amount Paid:	(\$100.00)
	Balance Due:	\$0.00

Undisputed Legal Inc. • 590 Madison Ave 21st floor, New York, NY 10022

Call: 212-203-8001 • Email: info@undisputedlegal.com • Visit: undisputedlegal.com

AFFIDAVIT OF NON-SERVICE

Case: CIVIL ACTION NO.: 22-CV-0065-TWR-NLS	Court: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	County:	Job: 6756987
Plaintiff / Petitioner: DAVID JOHN THISTLE			ident: BIDEN, JR., PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES; RLAND, THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
Received by: Undisputed Legal		For: DAVID THISTLE	
To be served upon: JOSEPH ROBINETTE BII	DEN, JR.		

1, Mark Hagood, being duly sworn, depose and say: I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action, and that within the boundaries of the state where service was effected, I was authorized by law to make service of the documents and informed said person of the contents herein

Recipient Name / Address: JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., 1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20500

Manner of Service:

Unsuccessful Attempt

Documents:

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION; PROOF OF SERVICE

Additional Comments:

1) Unsuccessful Attempt: Mar 4, 2022, 9:26 am EST at 1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20500 I approached the gate on 17th street and told a secret service uniformed officer who I was and what I had to deliver. I was told that I was not allowed to enter nor leave the documents with anyone. I asked where I should deliver the documents to and another officer told me to leave.

03/16/2022

Date

Undisputed Legal 590 Madison Ave 21st floor New York, NY 10022 Subscribed and sworn to before me by the affiant who is personally known to me.

Ti MESS JII DOX

Notary Public

03/16/2022

11/30/2023

Date

Commission Expires

EXPIRES ON

WINNING BEST

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT COUNTY OF	FOF CALIFORNIA, CASE NO: CIVIL ACTION NO.: 22-CV-0065- TWR-NLS
Plaintiff / Petitioner: DAVID JOHN THISTLE	I WK-INLS
vs. Defendant / Respondent: JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., PRESIDE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL	NT OF THE UNITED STATES; MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, THE
I <u>Nasim Dehghani</u> being duly sworn deposes and says: that depone	ent is not a party to this action, is over 18 years of age.
That on Mar 10, 2022 deponent served the within: SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION; PROOF OF SERVICE on JOSEPH ROAVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20500 by enclosing a copy of sam recipient and deposited said wrapper in (a post office) official deports of the post of the po	ne in a postpaid wrapper properly addressed to the above
First Class Mail Envelope marked "Personal and Confidential".	
(check all that apply)	
X FIRST CLASS MAILING First Class Mail Envelope marked "Personal CERTIFIED MAILING certified mail marked "Personal and ConfidenTURN RECEIPT REQUESTED marked "Personal and ConfidenTURNIGHT MAILING marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Mailing marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Mailing marked "Personal and Confidential"	dential" Receipt Number () ntial" Receipt Number ()
Sworn to me on the	1 1000
District of Columbia Signed and Sworn to (or attended) before may on WESTER DEHRELANT	Nasim Dehghani
(Name(s) of Individual(s) Metrin Statement) Notary Publicus of Notarial Officer: Title of Office:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
UNDISPUTED LEGAL, INC., 590 MADISON AVENUE	E, 21ST FLOOR, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 10022

Undisputed Legal Inc. 590 Madison Ave 21st floor

New York, NY 10022

AUG 17 2022 CLERK US O STRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFOR OF CALIFORNIA INVOICE: 7484177 Issued: Aug 9, 2022

PAY TO:

Undisputed Legal Inc. 590 Madison Ave 21st floor New York, NY 10022

DAVID THISTLE

5580 LA JOLLA BLVD #360 **LA JOLLA, CA 92037**

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 22-Case: CV-0065-TWR-NLS Job: 7484177 RSH

Plaintiff / Petitioner: DAVID JOHN THISTLE

Defendant / Respondent: JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES;

MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL

ltem	Description	Cost	Quantity	Total
routine	MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND; US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	\$100.00	1	\$100.00

Payment	Description	Amount Paid
Aug 9, 2022		(\$100.00)

Thanks for your business. Please pay the "Balance Due" within 10 days. Total:	\$100.00
Amount Paid:	(\$100.00)
Balance Due:	\$0.00

Undisputed Legal Inc. • 590 Madison Ave 21st floor, New York, NY 10022

Call: 212-203-8001 • Email: info@undisputedlegal.com • Visit: undisputedlegal.com

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

Case: CIVIL ACTION NO.: 22-CV-0065-TWR-NLS	Court: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	County:	Job: 7484177
Plaintiff / Petitioner: DAVID JOHN THISTLE		1.	ent IDEN, JR., PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES; .AND, THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
Received by: Undisputed Legal		For: DAVID THISTLE	
To be served upon: MERRICK BRIAN GARLA	AND, US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE		

I, Mark Hagood, being duly sworn, depose and say: I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action, and that within the boundaries of the state where service was effected, I was authorized by law to make service of the documents and informed said person of the contents herein

Recipient Name / Address: MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND; US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, 950 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N.W., WASHINGTON,

D.C. 20530

Manner of Service:

Substitute Service - Abode, Aug 12, 2022, 10:55 am EDT

Documents:

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION: ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINE FOR PLAINTIFF TO EFFECTUATE SERVICE

Additional Comments:

1) Successful Attempt: Aug 12, 2022, 10:55 am EDT at 950 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530 received by MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND; US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.

Federal Protective Police Officer stationed outside front door radioed inside and was told to have me call the mailroom. I did and was told due to Covid 19 the Department of Justice is on a varied schedule and they are only accepting service via the mail at this time. I was told to certify mail the documents via USPS.

08/16/2022

Date

Undisputed Legal 590 Madison Ave 21st floor New York, NY 10022

agood


Subscribed and swarn to before me by the affiant who is personally known to me.

Notary Public 08/16/2022

11/30/2023

Date


Commission Expires



AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Plaintiff / Petitioner: DAVID JOHN THISTLE	CASE NO: CIVIL ACTION NO.: 22-CV-0065-TWR- NLS
Defendant / Respondent; JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., PRESIDENT OF UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL	THE UNITED STATES; MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, THE
I Nasim Dehghani being duly sworn deposes and says: that deponent is no	ot a party to this action, is over 18 years of age.
That on Aug 15, 2022 deponent served the within: SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION; ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINE FOR PLAI GARLAND; US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE at the address 950 PENNSYLVA enclosing a copy of same in a postpaid wrapper properly addressed to the office) official depository under exclusive care and custody of the United S	NIA AVENUE N.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530 by above recipient and deposited said wrapper in (a post
First Class Mail Envelope marked "Personal and Confidential".	
(check all that apply)	
FIRST CLASS MAILING First Class Mail Envelope marked "Personal and X CERTIFIED MAILING certified mail marked "Personal and Confidential" RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt No. OVERNIGHT MAILING marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt No.	" Receipt Number (<u>7022 0410 0002 7124 3230)</u> eceipt Number ()
Sworn to me on the Site 12	
PRIAN B. RICKS Notary Public, State of New York Reg. No. 01Ri6333781 Qualified in New York County	asim Denghani 8116/12
Commission Expires Nov. 26, 2022	

UNDISPUTED LEGAL, INC., 590 MADISON AVENUE, 21ST FLOOR, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 10022





Department of Veterans Affairs Insurance Center PO Box 7208 Philadelphia, PA 19101

13781-0001107 P001 T00004 00001107 1 MB 0.515 DAVID J THISTLE 5580 LA JOLLA BLVD STE 360 LA JOLLA, CA 92037-7651

|ՈւվՈնոՍ||Ուլիսի||իինգուլո||հմՈլր||լմուլեկինիին

In Reply Refer To: 310/292A1 Policy Number RH50206470

Dear DAVID J THISTLE:

We have approved your loan application effective 10/07/2022. A Treasury check for \$320.00 will be sent to you under separate cover, unless you asked to have the payment directly deposited into your bank account. If the payment is less than you requested, the maximum loan available under your policy has been granted. The total indebtedness on your policy is now \$4205.94.


Payments to the loan principal are not required and you will not be sent bills for these payments. You will be billed for the annual interest each year. If the interest is not paid, it is added to the loan principal and increases the total loan balance. When the loan indebtedness equals or exceeds the cash value of the policy, coverage ceases and cannot be restored.

You may repay the indebtedness against your policy by making partial payments, preferably in amounts of \$10.00 or more, at any time. There are several electronic payment options available that will save you time and money when submitting your payment.

- Online Bill Pay Pay your loan payment through your preferred banking institution's online Bill Pay feature. Select "VA Life Insurance" as the Payee and enter your Insurance File Number as the Account Number. The VA Collection address is: PO Box 4019, Portland, OR 97208-4019.
- Deduction from Benefits Request an automatic monthly premium deduction from your VA compensation check. Use VA Form 29-888 to apply.
- · Deduction from military retirement pay.

Forms for enrollment are available online at www.insurance.va.gov under "Download Insurance Forms", or by calling the Customer Service Center at 1-800-669-8477. You may submit the enrollment form through our document upload tool at https://insurance.va.gov/Home/IDU.





If you prefer to pay your loan directly, please include your insurance policy number on the check or money order for future loan payments. Payment can be mailed to:

Department of Veterans Affairs VA Life Insurance Center P.O. Box 4019 Portland, OR 97208-4019


If You Have Questions or Need More Information

If you have questions or need more information, please call the VA Insurance Center at 1-800-669-8477 between 8:30 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. (ET), Monday through Friday.

Sincerely yours,

VA Insurance Center







Department of Veterans Affairs Insurance Center PO Box 7208 Philadelphia, PA 19101

I3781-0001106 P001 T00004 00001106 1 MB 0.515 DAVID J THISTLE 5580 LA JOLLA BLVD STE 360 LA JOLLA, CA 92037-7651

In Reply Refer To: 310/292A1 Policy Number RH45029756

Dear DAVID J THISTLE:

We have approved your loan application effective 10/07/2022. A Treasury check for \$626.40 will be sent to you under separate cover, unless you asked to have the payment directly deposited into your bank account. If the payment is less than you requested, the maximum loan available under your policy has been granted. The total indebtedness on your policy is now \$10861.36.


Payments to the loan principal are not required and you will not be sent bills for these payments. You will be billed for the annual interest each year. If the interest is not paid, it is added to the loan principal and increases the total loan balance. When the loan indebtedness equals or exceeds the cash value of the policy, coverage ceases and cannot be restored.

You may repay the indebtedness against your policy by making partial payments, preferably in amounts of \$10.00 or more, at any time. There are several electronic payment options available that will save you time and money when submitting your payment.

- Online Bill Pay Pay your loan payment through your preferred banking institution's online Bill Pay feature. Select "VA Life Insurance" as the Payee and enter your Insurance File Number as the Account Number. The VA Collection address is: PO Box 4019, Portland, OR 97208-4019.
- Deduction from Benefits Request an automatic monthly premium deduction from your VA compensation check. Use VA Form 29-888 to apply.
- Deduction from military retirement pay.

Forms for enrollment are available online at www.insurance.va.gov under "Download Insurance Forms", or by calling the Customer Service Center at 1-800-669-8477. You may submit the enrollment form through our document upload tool at https://insurance.va.gov/Home/IDU.





If you prefer to pay your loan directly, please include your insurance policy number on the check or money order for future loan payments. Payment can be mailed to:

Department of Veterans Affairs VA Life Insurance Center P.O. Box 4019 Portland, OR 97208-4019

If You Have Questions or Need More Information

If you have questions or need more information, please call the VA Insurance Center at 1-800-669-8477 between 8:30 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. (ET), Monday through Friday.

Sincerely yours,

VA Insurance Center



AO 310	(Rev.	03/16)

ı			١.	FILLE	١
	Judicial Council of the	9th C	rcuit	DEC 08 2022	
	COMPLAINT OF JUDICIA	L MISCONDUCT OR DISA	BILIŢ SOU	ERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT THERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY	

THE D

To begin the complaint process, complete this form and prepare the brief statement of facts described in item 4 (below). The RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS, adopted by the Judicial Conference of the United States, contain information on what to include in a complaint (Rule 6), where to file a complaint (Rule 7), and other important matters. The Rules are available in federal court clerks' offices, on individual federal courts' websites, and on www.uscourts.gov.

Your complaint (this form and the statement of facts) should be typewritten and must be legible. For the number of copies to file, consult the local rules or clerk's office of the court in which your complaint is required to be filed. Enclose each copy of the complaint in an envelope marked "COMPLAINT OF MISCONDUCT" or "COMPLAINT OF DISABILITY" and submit it to the appropriate clerk of court. **Do not put the name of any judge on the envelope.**

1.	Name of Compla Contact Address:		David John Thistle 5580 LA JOLLA BLVD #360 LA JOLLA, CA 92037
	Daytime telephor	ne:	(619)603-2466
2.	Name(s) of Judge	e(s):	Robert S. HUIE
	Court:		SAN DIEGO
3.	✓ Yes	following	n the behavior of the judge(s) in a particular lawsuit or lawsuits? No g information about each lawsuit: STRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CA
	Docket number o		
			awyer in the lawsuit?
	Party	L	Neither Neither
	number: This COURT was ARTILCE 1. In a	s presente	d have (had) a lawyer, give the lawyer's name, address, and telephone d concrete irrefutable evidence of illegal altering of e with the Supremacy Clause and the XIV Amendement TON of the DUTY to act to defend the US Constitution.

Judicial Council of the 9th Circuit

- 4. Brief Statement of Facts. Attach a brief statement of the specific facts on which the claim of judicial misconduct or disability is based. Include what happened, when and where it happened, and any information that would help an investigator check the facts. If the complaint alleges judicial disability, also include any additional facts that form the basis of that allegation.
- 5. Declaration and signature:

I declare under penalty of perjury that the statements made in this complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signature:	2	Date:	12/08/2022
		 _	

Judicial Council of the 9th	Circuit
-----------------------------	---------

To begin the complaint process, complete this form and prepare the brief statement of facts described in item 4 (below). The RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS, adopted by the Judicial Conference of the United States, contain information on what to include in a complaint (Rule 6), where to file a complaint (Rule 7), and other important matters. The Rules are available in federal court clerks' offices, on individual federal courts' websites, and on www.uscourts.gov.

Your complaint (this form and the statement of facts) should be typewritten and must be legible. For the number of copies to file, consult the local rules or clerk's office of the court in which your complaint is required to be filed. Enclose each copy of the complaint in an envelope marked "COMPLAINT OF MISCONDUCT" or "COMPLAINT OF DISABILITY" and submit it to the appropriate clerk of court. **Do not put the name of any judge on the envelope.**

1. Name of Complainant: Contact Address:			David John Thistle 5580 LA JOLLA BLVD #360 LA JOLLA, CA 92037		
	Daytime telephor	ne:	(619)603-2466		
2.	Name(s) of Judge	e(s):	Linda Lopez		
	Court:		SAN DIEGO		
Yes [If "yes," give the following		following	rn the behavior of the judge(s) in a particular lawsuit or lawsuits? No g information about each lawsuit: STRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CA		
	Case Number:	21-cv-			
		Are (were) you a party or lawyer in the lawsuit?			
			-		
	number: This COURT wa	a party ar	and have (had) a lawyer, give the lawyer's name, address, and telephone and concrete irrefutable evidence of illegal altering of the with the Supremacy Clause and the XIV Amendement		
			TION of the DUTY to act to defend the US Constitution.		

Judicial Council of the	9th	Circuit

4. Brief Statement of Facts. Attach a brief statement of the specific facts on which the claim of judicial misconduct or disability is based. Include what happened, when and where it happened, and any information that would help an investigator check the facts. If the complaint alleges judicial disability, also include any additional facts that form the basis of that allegation.

5. Declaration and signature:

I declare under penalty of perjury that the statements made in this complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signature:	after a	Date:	12/08/2022	
	7			

Judicial Council of the	9th	Circuit

To begin the complaint process, complete this form and prepare the brief statement of facts described in item 4 (below). The RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS, adopted by the Judicial Conference of the United States, contain information on what to include in a complaint (Rule 6), where to file a complaint (Rule 7), and other important matters. The Rules are available in federal court clerks' offices, on individual federal courts' websites, and on www.uscourts.gov.

Your complaint (this form and the statement of facts) should be typewritten and must be legible. For the number of copies to file, consult the local rules or clerk's office of the court in which your complaint is required to be filed. Enclose each copy of the complaint in an envelope marked "COMPLAINT OF MISCONDUCT" or "COMPLAINT OF DISABILITY" and submit it to the appropriate clerk of court. **Do not put the name of any judge on the envelope.**

1.	Name of Complainant: Contact Address:	David John Thistle 5580 LA JOLLA BLVD #360 LA JOLLA, CA 92037		
	Daytime telephone:	(619)603-2466		
2.	Name(s) of Judge(s):	Janis L. Sammartino		
	Court:	SAN DIEGO		
3.	ern the behavior of the judge(s) in a particular lawsuit or lawsuits?			
	If "yes," give the followin	g information about each lawsuit:		
	Court: US DI	STRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CA		
	Case Number:	1 2501414		
	Docket number of any appeal to the Circuit: Are (were) you a party or lawyer in the lawsuit?			
	✓ Party	awyer Neither		
	number:	nd have (had) a lawyer, give the lawyer's name, address, and telephone ed concrete irrefutable evidence of illegal altering of		
	ARTILCE 1. In accordance	ce with the Supremacy Clause and the XIV Amendement		
	this COURT is in VIOLA	ΓΙΟΝ of the DUTY to act to defend the US Constitution.		

Judicial Council of the	9th	Circuit

4. Brief Statement of Facts. Attach a brief statement of the specific facts on which the claim of judicial misconduct or disability is based. Include what happened, when and where it happened, and any information that would help an investigator check the facts. If the complaint alleges judicial disability, also include any additional facts that form the basis of that allegation.

5. Declaration and signature:

I declare under penalty of perjury that the statements made in this complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signature:	mall Ee	Date:	12/08/2022	

David John Thistle
5580 La Jolla Blvd. #360
La Jolla, CA 92037
+1-619-603-2466
david@thistle.world
spacerangeradm1@icloud.com

OCTOBER 14, 2022

Chair, Committee on Codes of Conduct c/o General Counsel Administrative Office of the United States Courts Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building One Columbus Circle, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20544 +1-202-502-1100

Dear Chair, Committee on Codes of Conduct,

Regrettably, and with a heavy heart, I am forced to inform you of the unacceptable behaviors of several Federal Court Judges, Magistrates and Staff members. 'Due process of Law" in Constitutional matters is very important. Moreover, the abuse of a Position of Authority in a State's Elections Office and Gubernatorial Office inclusive of Staff that is inconsistent with the Law of the Constitution of the United States in not just 1 State but 6 States in total is completely and utterly unacceptable. Without proper Public Accountability for criminal behavior in FAIR Elections how does the Public or "THE PEOPLE" maintain a TRUST in FAIR ELECTIONS?

Several months ago, I filed multiple Case Complaints in Federal Court. Not a single Secretary of State was Publicly Arrested or held Publicly Accountable for the illegal altering of Article 1 Section 2 Paragraph 2 of the United States Constitution to limit or disenfranchise, prevent from proper fund raising, and/or truncate the timeframe for otherwise qualified United States Representatives Ballot Candidates in those 6 States. To have Officers of States and Federal Judges who are sworn to "...preserve, protect, and defend the Constitution of the United States..." not living up to the required Oaths in accordance with and consistent with the XIV Amendment and not be Publicly held accountable is a breeding ground for Revolution, Insurrection and Public Unrest.

When I initially filed the Case Complaints with the attached concrete irrefutable empirical evidence of the illegal altering of Article 1 Section 2 Paragraph 2, I wholeheartedly and rightfully expected the Justice Department to act with due diligence and immediately without haste or reserve, send Officers of the Federal Government to affect arrests and properly and Publicly Defend the Constitution of the United States against this Domestic Terrorism.

Yes, "Domestic Terrorism" is the proper term as defined by the Department of Justice for illegally altering FAIR ELECTIONS while in a seat or position of authority in a State's Elected or Appointed Offices. So, why no proper action by the Judges, Magistrates and or Staff that saw my 7 Case Complaints? The 1st was filed for the Special Election in OHIO's 11th District in Judge Janis L. Sammartino's Courtroom. She dismissed the Case Complaint without the proper Public Defense of the Constitution as sworn by all Judges. This is minimally a standard Violation of Cannon 2A. Lalong with a XIV Amendment justifying her immediate dismissal from the Bench entrusted to her.

In addition to the aforementioned, I filed 6 Case Complaints on the Birthday of the National Guard, 13 December 2021 with very similar illegal altering of Article 1 Section 2 Paragraph 2 with zero arrests made or removals of the perpetrators from Office of those States to restore the FAITH in FAIR ELECTIONS in the UNITED STATES after a very questionable PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION that was followed by an INSURRESCTION. If the Justices of the Federal Courts are NOT satisfied with the submitted concrete irrefutable empirical evidence to affect arrests, an immediate investigation should have immediately been ordered to DEFEND the integrity of the United States Constitution and PROTECT "THE PEOPLE."

The 6 Cases Filed on 13 December 2021 are:

- 1. THISTLE v. OHIO 21-cv-2071
- 2. THISTLE v. NEW HAMPSHIRE 21-cv-2072
- 3. THISTLE v. ALABAMA 21-cv-2073
- 4. THISTLE v. ALASKA 21-cv-2074
- 5. THISTLE v. ARKANSAS 21-cv-2075
- 6. THISTLE v. COLORADO 21-cv-2076

These aforementioned Case Complaints were systematically DENIED the proper attention. Moreover, Judge Linda Lopez DID NOT DEFEND the United States Constitution with proper DUE DILLIGENCE in direct conflict with her SWORN OATH of OFFICE, Therefore, her BENCH failed to provide the proper preservation, protection, and defense of the Constitution of the United States. This is clearly a Cannon 2A and XIV Amendment Violation by her bench.

In addition to these 7 Case Complaints, I filed two other Case Complaints this year directly related to this "DEFENSE" of the Constitution of the United States issue. The THISTLE v. UNITED STATES (Department of Veterans Affairs) was interrupted due to 'Obstruction of Justice" because the United States Department of Veterans Affairs Records Officers failed to help me obtain copies of my Medical Records in a timely manner for the Court. Moreover, the "Records Officer" recommended illegally altering the "Medical Records" for the Court. This simple malpractice lawsuit would have provided proof of the Troop Depletion of Veterans by the United States Department of Veterans Affairs by the criminal overprescribing of Opioids and other medications. In addition, my primary goal of the Case Complaint was to provide new Procedures at the Dept. of Veterans Affairs Hospitals with regard to undiagnosed and misdiagnosed Traumatic Brain Injury. To place a Veteran in a Psychological Forced Hold without first Ruling Out TBI is illegal imprisonment.

Lastly, I filed THISTLE v. BIDEN & GARLAND 22-cv-0065. This Case Complaint has been tossed around like a "Hot Potato Game." Moreover, I was given 60 days to "Serve Merrick Brian Garland" and he and his department continue to obstruct Justice by NOT giving proper access to the Service Officers. In addition, GARLAND was in fact "SERVED LAST AS USUAL BY USPS MAIL" as directed. However, the Judge, Huie, took the inappropriate initiative to immediately dismiss the case without giving me the ability to properly provide the Court "Proof of Service."

To have ELECTION TAMPERING or DOMESTIC TERRORISM CASES before the COURT in a proper TIME FRAME for the COURT to CORRECT the INTEGRITY of the FAIR ELECTIONS PROCESS and NOT PROVIDE PROTECTION for FAIR ELECTIONS after an INSURRECTION at the UNITED STATES CAPITOL BUILDING is UNACCEPTABLE.

I respectfully request the removal of all three of the aforementioned Judges, their respective Magistrates and Staff that were aware of the assault upon FAIR ELECTIONS and THE CONSTITUTION of the UNITED STATES from their respective JOBS and BENCHES due to VIOLATIONS of CANNON 2A and the XIV Amendment. There is no justifiable reason for this unacceptable behavior and lack of action by Judges of the United States Federal Courts.

In Service,

David John Thist



HONORABLE ROSLYNN R. MAUSKOPF
Director

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS

WILLIAM S. MEYERS
General Counsel

LEE ANN BENNETT Deputy Director

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20544

October 28, 2022

Mr. David John Thistle 5580 La Jolla Blvd. #360 La Jolla, CA 92037

Dear Mr. Thistle:

This responds to your recent correspondence expressing concern about the conduct of federal court judges and employees. Please be advised that neither this agency nor the Judicial Conference of the United States can provide the assistance you request.

The Administrative Office and the Judicial Conference provide support for federal court operations but are not authorized to assess complaints about particular court employees or judges. The supervision of such employees is reserved to the court in which they serve. With this in mind, we can only suggest that you seek to resolve the matter by communicating directly with the employee or court office at issue. If that proves ineffective or impracticable, you might consider bringing your concerns to the attention of the official to whom the employee ultimately reports: the clerk of court (for a clerk's office employee), a judge (for a member of that judge's staff), or the chief judge (for the clerk of court).

We express no view on your allegations. If you need assistance with your litigation or any other legal matter, we recommend that you consult an attorney or a legal aid organization. If you simply wish to inquire about the status of your litigation, you should contact the clerk's office of the court in which the matter is pending.

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS

	Case 3:21-cv-01218-WQH-MDD Document 9	Filed 10/28/21 PageID.77 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		•	
11	JOHN DAVID THISTLE,	Case No.: 21-cv-01218-WQH-MDD	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER	
13	v.		
14	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS; VINA PATEL-		
15	MEHTA; KRISTY A. DITZLER;		
16 17	PRIMARY CARE MEDICAL DOCTORS,		
18	Defendants.		
19	HAYES, Judge:	·	
20		ued an Order that stated	
21	On September 23, 2021, the Court issued an Order that stated: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Continue Complaint		
22	(ECF No. 7) is granted. Plaintiff John David Thistle may file a Motion to File		
23	motion is filed, the Clerk of the Court	(30) days of the date of this Order. If no shall close this case.	
24	(ECF No. 8 at 3).		
25	///		
26			
27			
28			
		1	

	Case 3:21-cv-01218-WQH-MDD Doc	cument 9 Filed 10/28/21 PageID.78 Page 2 of 2						
1	The docket reflects no further filings. The Clerk of the Court shall close the case.							
2								
3	Dated: October 28, 2021	Hon William O. Hayes						
5		Hon. William Q. Hayes United States District Court						
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17 18								
19								
20								
21	·							
22								
23								
24	·							
25								
26								
27								
28								

Date Range: Oct 31, 2022 - Nov 10, 2022

Tax ID:

Guest Folio

Confirmation Number - 9467ACD794

Primary Guest

Guest Name

DAVID THISTLE

Address

5580 LA JOLLA BLVD 360,

City, State, Zip Code

LA JOLLA CA 92037

Country

US

Stay Details

Check In Date

Oct 31, 2022

Other Details

Name

Bill Number

Check Out Date

Nov 10, 2022 Tax ID

Tax Exemption

NO

Amount (\$198.42) \$0.00 \$62.99 \$6.30 \$0.15

Room Source Guests 1B - 196 PO Number

CRS Travel Agent

Company Details

Tax Exempt

1/0 IATA

Date

		Name	
Date	Туре	Description	
Oct 31, 2022	Payments	VISA-8689	
Oct 31, 2022	Charge	PET 1	
Oct 31, 2022	Charge	ROOM RENT	
Oct 31, 2022	Tax	CITY TAX	
Oct 31, 2022	Tax	CA TOURISM ASSESSMENT	
Nov 01, 2022	Charge	PET 1	
Nov.01, 2022	Charge	ROOM RENT	
		······································	

Nov 01, 2022	Charge	PET 1	\$0.00
Nov.01, 2022	Charge	ROOM RENT	\$58.49
Nov 01, 2022	Tax	CITY TAX	\$5.85
Nov 01, 2022	Tax	CA TOURISM ASSESSMENT	\$0.15
Nov 02, 2022	Payments	VISA-8973	(\$64.49)
Nov 02, 2022	Charge	PET 1	\$0.00
Nov 02, 2022	Charge	ROOM RENT	\$58.49
Nov 02, 2022	Tax	CITY TAX	\$5.85
Nov 02, 2022	Tax	CA TOURISM ASSESSMENT	\$0.15
Nov 03, 2022	Payments	VISA-8689	(\$109.04)
Nov 03, 2022	Charge	ROOM RENT	\$58.49
Nov 03, 2022	Tax	CITY TAX .	\$5.85
Nov 03, 2022	Tax	CA TOURISM ASSESSMENT	\$0.15
Nov 04, 2022	Payments	CASH	(\$109.04)
Nov 04, 2022	Charge	ROOM RENT	\$98.99
Nov 04, 2022	Tax	CA TOURISM ASSESSMENT	\$0.15
Nov 04, 2022	Tax	CITY TAX	. \$9.90
Nov 05, 2022	Charge	ROOM RENT	\$98.99
Nov 05, 2022	Tax	CA TOURISM ASSESSMENT	\$0.15
Nov 05, 2022	Tax	CITY TAX	\$9.90
Nov 06, 2022	Payments	CASH	(\$148.78)
Nov 06, 2022	Charge	ROOM RENT	\$67.49
Nov 06, 2022	Тах	CA TOURISM ASSESSMENT	\$0.15
**************************************	······································		

Date	Type	Description	Amount
Nov 07, 2022	Charge	ROOM RENT	\$67.49
Nov 07, 2022	Tax	CA TOURISM ASSESSMENT	\$0.15
Nov 07, 2022	Tax	CITY TAX	\$6.75
Nov 08, 2022	Payments	VISA-8689	(\$148.78)
Nov 08, 2022	Charge	ROOM RENT	\$67.49
Nov 08, 2022	Tax	CA TOURISM ASSESSMENT	\$0.15
Nov 08, 2022	Tax	. CITY TAX	\$6.75
Nov 09, 2022	Charge	ROOM RENT	\$67.49
Nov 09, 2022	Tax	CITY TAX	\$6.75
Nov 09, 2022	Tax	CA TOURISM ASSESSMENT	\$0.15

Summary	The second of th
Туре	Amount
PET	\$0.00
ROOM RENT	\$706.40
CA TOURISM ASSESSMENT	\$1.50
CITY TAX	\$70.65
CASH	\$257.82
CREDIT CARD	\$520.73
Folio Balance	\$0.00

U.S. District Court Southern District of California (San Diego) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 3:22-cv-00065-RSH-NLS

Thistle v. Biden et al

Assigned to: District Judge Robert S. Huie

Referred to: Magistrate Judge Nita L. Stormes

Cause: 42:1983cv Civil Rights Act - Civil Action for Deprivation

of Rights,

Date Filed: 01/18/2022

Date Terminated: 10/04/2022

Jury Demand: None

Nature of Suit: 440 Civil Rights: Other

Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Early Neutral Evaluation Conference:

Case Management Conference:

Status Hearing: Status Conference:

Mandatory Settlement Conference:

Settlement Conference:

Settlement Disposition Conference:

Pretrial Conference:

Final Pretrial Conference:

Trial Date:

Plaintiff

David John Thistle

represented by David John Thistle

5580 La Jolla Blvd

#360

San Diego, CA 92037

619-603-2466 **PRO SE**

V.

Defendant

Joseph Robinette Biden, Jr.

President of the United States

represented by US Attorney CV

U S Attorneys Office Southern District of

California **Civil Division** 880 Front Street Suite 6253

San Diego, CA 92101

(619)557-5662 Fax: (619)557-7122

Email: Efile.dkt.civ@usdoj.gov

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Merrick Brian Garland

The United States' Attourney General

represented by US Attorney CV

(See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Da	te Filed	#	Docket Text

01/18/2022	1	COMPLAINT against Joseph Robinette Biden, Jr, Merrick Brian Garland, IFP Filed, filed by David John Thistle. (Attachments: # 1 Civil Cover Sheet)
		The new case number is 3:22-cv-65-TWR-NLS. Judge Todd W. Robinson and Magistrate Judge Nita L. Stormes are assigned to the case. (David John Thistle)(ave) (rmc). (Entered: 01/19/2022)
01/18/2022	2	MOTION for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis by David John Thistle. (ave) (rmc). Modified on 2/4/2022 to terminate motion - filing fee paid on 2/3/2022 (fth). (Entered: 01/19/2022)
02/03/2022	<u>3</u>	Filing fee: \$ 402, receipt number CAS135723, Paid on 2/3/2022 (fth) (Entered: 02/04/2022)
02/04/2022	4	Summons Issued. Counsel receiving this notice electronically should print this summons and serve it in accordance with Rule 4, Fed.R.Civ.P and LR 4.1. Summons will be mailed to plaintiffs not receiving notice electronically. (fth) (Entered: 02/04/2022)
04/07/2022	<u>5</u>	ORDER OF TRANSFER. This case is transferred from the calendar of the Honorable Todd W. Robinson to the calendar of the Honorable Ruth Bermudez Montenegro. All dates currently set before Judge Todd W. Robinson remain as scheduled before Judge Ruth Bermudez Montenegro. Dates before the Magistrate Judge are unaffected by this Order. The new case number is: 22cv0065-RBM-NLS. Signed by Judge Todd W. Robinson on 4/7/2022. (All non-registered users served via U.S. Mail Service) (fth) (Entered: 04/08/2022)
04/11/2022	6	SUMMONS Returned Executed by David John Thistle. Merrick Brian Garland served. Modified on 4/11/2022 to replace image, NEF resent to all parties. (jpp). (Entered: 04/11/2022)
04/11/2022	7	SUMMONS Returned Executed by David John Thistle. Joseph Robinette Biden, Jr served. (jpp) (Entered: 04/11/2022)
06/24/2022	8	ORDER OF TRANSFER. District Judge Ruth Bermudez Montenegro is no longer assigned. Case reassigned to District Judge Robert S. Huie for all further proceedings. The new case number is 22CV0065-RSH-NLS. Signed by District Judge Ruth Bermudez Montenegro on 6/24/2022.(All non-registered users served via U.S. Mail Service)(jpp) (Entered: 06/27/2022)
07/05/2022	9	Notice of Motion and Motion for Official Court Date and Time and Disqualification of Judge by David John Thistle. (ave) (Entered: 07/06/2022)
07/13/2022	10	NOTICE Regarding Exhibit Attachment by David John Thistle re 9 MOTION (ave) (Entered: 07/14/2022)
07/25/2022	11	ORDER Denying 9 Plaintiff's Motion for Official Court Date and Disqualification of Judge. Signed by District Judge Robert S. Huie on 7/25/2022. (All non-registered users served via U.S. Mail Service)(ave) (Entered: 07/25/2022)
08/01/2022	12	Order Extending Deadline for Plaintiff to Effectuate Service. Signed by District Judge Robert S. Huie on 8/1/2022.(All non-registered users served via U.S. Mail Service)(ave) (Entered: 08/02/2022)
08/12/2022	13	MOTION to Modify Original Complaint by David John Thistle. (ave) (Entered: 08/12/2022)
08/12/2022	14	MOTION to Modify Original Complaint by David John Thistle. (ave) (Entered: 08/12/2022)
	1	T

08/17/2022	15	AFFIDAVIT of Service served on Merrick Garland on 8/15/2022, filed by David John Thistle. (ave) (Entered: 08/17/2022)
08/24/2022	<u>16</u>	ORDER granting 13 Motion to Amend/Correct; granting 14 Motion to Amend/Correct. Signed by District Judge Robert S. Huie on 8/24/2022. (All non-registered users served via U.S. Mail Service)(alns) (Entered: 08/24/2022)
Rejecting Document: Second Motion for Immediate Court, from Plainti Thistle. Non-compliance with local rule(s), OTHER: Local Rule 83.9 property parte communications Signed by District Judge Robert S. Huie on 8/23 (Attachments: # 1 Stricken document)(All non-registered users served voluments)		Notice of Document Discrepancies and Order Thereon by District Judge Robert S. Huie Rejecting Document: Second Motion for Immediate Court, from Plaintiff David John Thistle. Non-compliance with local rule(s), OTHER: Local Rule 83.9 prohibiting ex parte communications Signed by District Judge Robert S. Huie on 8/23/2022. (Attachments: # 1 Stricken document)(All non-registered users served via U.S. Mail Service)(alns) (Entered: 08/25/2022)
08/26/2022	18	MOTION to Expedite by David John Thistle. (Attachments: # 1 Email attached to motion)(alns) (jms). (Entered: 08/29/2022)
09/06/2022	<u>19</u>	ORDER denying 18 Motion to Expedite. Signed by District Judge Robert S. Huie on 9/6/2022. (All non-registered users served via U.S. Mail Service)(alns) (jms). (Entered: 09/06/2022)
10/04/2022	<u>20</u>	ORDER DISMISSING CASE without prejudice. Signed by District Judge Robert S. Huie on 10/04/2022.(All non-registered users served via U.S. Mail Service)(alns) (Entered: 10/04/2022)
10/21/2022	21	**Stricken Per Order <u>22</u> ** (alns). Modified on 11/16/2022 (alns). (Entered: 10/24/2022)
10/25/2022	22	Notice of Document Discrepancies and Order Thereon by District Judge Robert S. Huie Rejecting Document: EX PARTE MOTION For Order Revoking or Setting Aside Prior Order On A Renewed Motion or Motion To Reconsider And Requesting an Order To Continue the Case, from Plaintiff David John Thistle. Non-compliance with local rule(s), OTHER: FRCvP 41 Case Closed/Dismissed. Signed by District Judge Robert S. Huie on 10/24/2022. (Attachments: # 1 Stricken Document)(All non-registered users served via U.S. Mail Service)(alns) (Entered: 10/25/2022)

FILED 1 David John Thistle, Pro-Se Jan 18 2022 2 5580 La Jolla Blvd. #360 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 La Jolla, California 92037 david@thistle.world UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 DAVID JOHN THISTLE, Case No.: 9 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT '22CV0065 TWR NLS 10 vs. 11 JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN JR. 12 PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES 13 AND 14 15 MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND 16 THE UNITED STATES' ATTOURNEY GENERAL, 17 Defendant 18 19 20 21 A DECLARATION OF PEACE 22 INTRODUCTION 23 24 25

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, prays that the COURT will fully understand the Gravity of this Case and Complaint. Furthermore, the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, 1 out of many Citizens of these United States herby gives FAIR WARNING of the CLEAR and PRESENT GRAVE IMMINENT DANGER to the COMPLAINT - 1

26

27

28

COMPLAINT - 2

CITIZENS, RESIDENTS, and GUESTS of these UNITED STATES further referred to as, THE PEOPLE.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, prays THE PEOPLE will Support the Plaintiff in the FAIR and EQUAL SEEKING of JUSTICE by the means of DUE PROCESS of LAW.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, prays The People will SET an EXAMPLE of PERSONAL RESTRAINT and NOT ENJAGE in the RIGHTFULLY WARRANTED Public Acts or Displays of SEDITION of the Rules of Law this CHRISTMAS and HOLIDAY SEASON in ORDER to Provide a Good Example to The Children of The World with regard to the Proper SEEKING of DUE PROCESS of LAW.

PLANTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

I PRAY, PLEADE FOR, AND RESPECTFULLY REQUEST RELIEF UNDER COLOR OF LAW 18 U.S. CODE 242 & 33 U.S. CODE.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE prays this Complaint will be heard in Concert with the additional previously Filed Case Complaints for the reason of Preservation of the Integrity of the Spirit of the Framework and Structure set forth within the Constitution of these United States, creating a Separation of Powers for the Ultimate Reason of Preservation of the Peace, Freedoms and the Unity of these United States as intended by the Founding Fathers.

This Framework is intentionally designed to Prevent Tyranny and the loss of Liberty. Currently, within the United States, a Justified Public Distrust has arisen due to the same Three Elements

that were Historically present in the 13 Original Colonies of these United States resulting in the writ of the Declaration of Independence.

- 1. Abuse and Violations of the Separation of Powers,
- 2. Abuse of THE PEOPLE'S Ability to maintain a Fair Election.
- 3. The Abuse and Lack of Due Process of Law.

These Magnanimous Grievous Seditiously Justifiable Charges Also Spawned the Assembly of Rightfully Angry Christian American Men at St. John's Masonic Lodge, Tun Tavern, the Birthplace of the United States Marine Corps. And due to the Unacceptable Distorted Thoughts and Behaviors of the Officers of Authority Historically grew a Crimson Tide of Death and Destruction within the Original 13 Colonies.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, prays that by bringing forth this

Complaint it will Provide the Ounce of Prevention necessary to fully Avoid the aforementioned

Justifiable Civil Unrest and Death and Blood Shed and will Promote an Increase to the Love and

Consanguinity for the Families of the Union of these United States.

Moreover, the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, brings forth before this Court, blatant Violations and Attacks against States' Rights as Protected under the Constitution of these United States, X Amendment, being Omitted from Investigation by the Executive Office of the Attorney General due to Political Party Affiliation providing further Irrefutable Proof of Unequal Protection of the Law by the Executive Office. These very Violations of the X Amendment or "States' Rights, is the Original and Historical Reason for the spark of the Civil War.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, brings forth to the Court the Evidence in this Formal Complaint against the Executive Office Under the Color of Law (18 U.S. Code 242) for the Abuse of Powers due to the Unequal Execution of the Execution of Criminal Justice COMPLAINT - 3

Powers by the Executive Branch for the reason of the Advancement of Personal Party Politics

4

1

7

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

27

28

COMPLAINT - 4

26

and not the Commonwealth of The People. This Complainant, the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, prays the Court will see with Clear and Unobstructed Vision this Complaint is filled with the Direct Explicit Unabashed Impartial Intention, to Preserve the Peace and in Order to maintain the Freedoms of Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness, and the now Fragile Union of these United States. The Plaintiff prays, this Court will Clearly see the Misuse and Abuse of Powers of Sworn Officers of the Individual States and Federal Governmental Offices Officially Charged with the Leadership Role of the Defense of the Law (Article 2, and 28 U.S. Code 509) are currently in Direct Opposition to the Spirit of the Law of the Constitution of these United States and the Nature of Liberty and Powers of Office as God Intended them; Separate but Equal. Whereby, the Defendant, seeking with First Priority an advancement of a Political Party Agenda by the Inconsistent Unequal Distribution of the Execution and Enforcement of the Color of the Law, by the very Sworn Officers, placed in an Official Executive Office and a Position of Authority as Protectors and Defenders of the Law of the Land, the Constitution of these United States, are consistently and unabashedly DISTORTED and Altered in a way to Deny and Oppose The Peoples' Rights Under the Color of Law (18 U.S. Code 242), to seek the Fair Due Process and Equal Protection of the Law, whereby, also utilizing said Post of Authority to Inhibit the Freedoms from and the Ability of The People to seek the Final Causes of the Right of Life, Liberty and The Pursuit of Happiness by the Unequal Execution of the Enforcement of the Laws or Protection of those Laws,, weather by Omission of Prosecution or by Prosecution, to advance a Political Party Agenda and not the Common Welfare of the Good of "The People," it is the Individual Citizen's Obligation having Irrefutable Impartial Concreate Evidence in a time of

Civil Unrest or thereafter, has a Noble and Moral Duty, Responsibility, Privilege, and Irrefutable Right to present that Evidence to the Court for IMEDIATE REVIEW it and take the Proper Right Action to mitigate the Suffering of The People. It is then, and only through Proper Venue of the Due Process of Law by the Court that this Abuse of Executive Powers and the resulting Suffering of The People can and will be Properly Addressed and Mitigated in a Peaceful manner. The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, therefore, also Exercises and Executes the Right as a Citizen Under Color of Law to bring forth suit against the Officers of Federal or States' Authority, weather Elected or Appointed under 33 U.S. Code for the Negligent Acts of Duty while Under Sworn Oath to Preserve and Defend the Constitution of these United States and also ask the Court to seek and Apply the Charges of 18 UI.S. Code Chapter 115 for Treason and Sedition against the Commonwealth of The People of these United States due to the Blatant Abuse of the Intended Powers of Office and the Improper use of those Powers to Unjustly and Unequally pursue the Execution of Law within the Jurisdictions of their Respected Offices of Trust and Authority for the sole Primary Purpose of advancement of Political Party Interests and NOT the Commonwealth of The People..

COMPLAINT

1, ABUSE OF EXECUTIVE POWER BY WAY OF NO FAIR AND EQUITABLE PUNISHMENT UNDER THE COLOR OF LAW.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, brings Irrefutable Impartial Evidence before the Court for the purpose of proof beyond a reasonable measure that the Executive Powers of Criminal Justice within the Attorney General's Office are being utilized for

COMPLAINT - 5

advancement of a Political Party Agenda and NOT in the best interests or Commonwealth of The People.

Evidence 1a. For the Advancement of Political Party Agendas, the Vice President, Kamala Harris, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Nancy Pelosi, did each Commit over 50 Counts of Violations the X Amendment and the States' Rights of Texas, by Harboring Fugitives of Justice, form States or Territory to State, District, or Territory 18 U.S. CODE 3182, by Writ of Subpoena Warrant of the Texas House, whereby, aiding in the Delay of the Sworn Duties and Responsibilities of the Texas Legislative Body causing Harm and a Fiduciary loss to The People of the Good State of Texas and these United States.

- b. The United States Congress ordered the same Writ of Warrant and Subpoena for an Investigation Inquiry regarding actions or inactions of President Trump and the Officers within his Administration. Yet, the Rule of Fair and Unequal Treatment Under the Color of Law Occurred with Criminal Charges Pursued by that same Body of Legislators under the Guidance of Vice President (Senate President) Kamila Harris and The Speaker of the House, Nancy Pelosi after their Blatant disregard for the Law, and the X Amendment Rules within the Constitution of these United States of America.
- 2.. Governor Greg Abbott's reply was the authoring and implementation of a new Texas Law in Direct Conflict with the United States' Supreme Court Ruling of Roe v. Wade.
- 3. Dr. Fauci refuse to provide Subpoenaed Documents and Electronic Mail to the Senate

 Oversight Committee a Blatant Disregard for the Oversight of the Executive Branch by the

 COMPLAINT 6

Senate; a Clear Direct Violation of, 5 U.S. CODE 2954, without Arrest Charges or Prosecution within the Fairness and Equality by the Executive Branch and Attorney General's Office.

Each of the aforementioned are guilty of and also should be Charged and Prosecuted with 18 U.S. CODE, Inciting Treason and Sedition and 18 U.S. CODE 2101 Inciting a Riot.

2. ABUSE OF THE PEOPLE'S ABILITY TO MAINTAIN FAIR ELECTIONS.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, prays this Court will review the following referenced Open Complaints: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

- 1. DAVID JOHN THISTLE v. THE STATE OF OHIO Case Complaint Number 21CV2071 JLS KSC
- 2. DAVID JOHN THISTLE v. THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE Case
 Complaint Number 21CV2072 JLS BGS
- DAVID JOHN THISTLE v. THE STATE OF ALABAMA Case Complaint Number 21CV2073 JAH RBB
- DAVID JOHN THISTLE v. THE STATE OF ALASKA Case Complaint Number 21CV2074 MMA RBB
- DAVID JOHN THISTLE v. THE STATE OF ARKANSAS Case Complaint Number 21CV2075 JLS MVG
- 6. DAVID JOHN THISTLE v. THE STATE OF COLORADO Case Complaint
 Number 21CV2076 JAH MSB

COMPLAINT - 7

7. DAVID JOHN THISTLE v. THE UNITED STATES

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS' AFFAIRS

Case Complaint Number 3:21 - ev-01218-way-MDD

.. 3. The Abuse and Lack of Due Process of Law.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, prays the COURT will review the following referenced Open Complaints and Enclosures:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

1. THISTLE v. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS
THISTLE v. OHIO for the following Evidentiary Examination. THE COURT &
THE OHIO OIG were in fact informed of the Obvious Irrefutable Violations to
these United States Constitution and took no proper Action to Repair the
Damages Caused by those who WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW did
ALTER and CHANGE the Constitutional Requirements of Office for the US
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Article 1 Sec 2 Paragraph 2 of these United
States. No Corrective Action was taken by the COURT or THE OFFICE OF
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF OHIO to Affect the Arrest of THE SECRETARTY
OF STATE OF OHIO, FRANK LAROSE. Therefore, DUE PROCESS OF LAW
was NOT GRANTED by the COURT.

Moreover, the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE does Posses ELECTION FRAUD and MISBEHAVIORS EVEDENCE to include False Arrest under

COMPLAINT - 8

COMPLAINT - 9

NEW HAMPSHIRE TITLE XXXI MOTOR VEHICLES CHAPTER 261
SECTION 261: 141 VIII(a), thereby, Violating of FREE AND UNINIBITED
TRAVEL THROUGHT STATE BOUNDRIES, 14 AMENDMENT
SEC1.4.3.2.1 Interstate Travel while a CANDIDATE for PRESIDENT of these UNITED STATES.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, also prays that the Court will grant MAXIMUM financial compensation for each Individual Charge and from each Aforementioned Individual Sworn Elected or Appointed Federal or State Officer under the Color of Law 18 U.S. Code 282 and 33 U.S. Code for the maximum amount of fiscal funds allowed by the Law for a Citizen due to the Egregious Illegal Abuse of Powers inhibiting the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, from actively pursuing Life, Liberty the Pursuit of happiness Unobstructed by the Negligent Criminal Duty of the Executive Officers of the Attorney General's Office not Executing the Law Fair and Equally for THE PEOPLE of these United States.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISLTE, prays that this Court will without haste order the Elected and Appointed Officers of the EXECUTIVE BRANCH, immediately and without haste restore the *Fair end Equal Enforcement of the Law* and update all Policies, Documents, Publications, Internet and Website Postings to prevent any future harm to the Plaintiff or other Constitutionally qualified Citizens and to prevent misleading Educational understanding of the Law of the Constitution of the United States for The People and Citizens of the United States.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, also respectfully requests he Court to provide and extend Witness Protection to the Plaintiff and his family due to the nature of the High Political Federal and State Offices held by the Defendants.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, hereby requests the following relief to be the Biblical Relief Repayment of all Lost Items from the Unwarranted Illegal Arrest on VOTING DAY.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, hereby also requests that the Members of The Military Order of Foreign Wars of the United States be granted Federal Constabulary Rights by this Court equal to that of the Constables combined with the powers of the Justices of Peace of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts to prevent further Violations of the Constitution of these United States.

DEUS ET LIBERTAS,

Dated this day of 18 January 2022.

David John Thistle, Pro-Se

COMPLAINT - 10

Page I of 5

AO 239 (Rev. 01/15) Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Long Form)

United States District Court

for the

Jan 18 2022 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA **DEPUTY** s/ gloriav

Civil Action No.

'22 CV0065 TWR NLS

BY

APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN DISTRICT COURT WITHOUT PREPAYING FEES OR COSTS (Long Form)

Affidavit in Support of the Application

Sign

I am a plaintiff or petitioner in this case and declare that I am unable to pay the costs of these proceedings and that I am entitled to the relief requested. I declare under penalty of perjury that the information below is true and understand that a false statement may result in a dismissal of my claims.

Instructions

Complete all questions in this application and then sign it. Do not leave any blanks: if the answer to a question is "0," "none," or "not applicable (N/A)," write that response. If you need more space to answer a question or to explain your answer, attach a separate sheet of paper identified with your name, your case's docket number, and the question number.

1. For both you and your spouse estimate the average amount of money received from each of the following sources during the past 12 months. Adjust any amount that was received weekly, biweekly, quarterly, semiannually, or annually to show the monthly rate. Use gross amounts, that is, amounts before any deductions for taxes or otherwise.

Income source	amount d	monthly income uring the past 12 months		Income amount expected next month	
	You	Spouse	You	Spouse	
Employment	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Self-employment	\$ \	\$	\$	\$	
Income from real property (such as rental income)	s XV	\$	\$	\$	
Interest and dividends	s X-1	\$	\$	\$	
Gifts	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Alimony	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Child support	\$	\$	\$	\$	

AO 239 (Rev. 01/15) Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Long Form)

Retirement (such as social security, pensions, annuities, insurance)	53776.81	\$	\$	\$
Disability (such as social security, insurance payments)	\$/3700		\$	\$
Unemployment payments	\$.	\$	\$	\$
Public-assistance (such as welfare)	\$	\$	\$	\$
Other (specify):	\$	\$	\$	\$
Total monthly income:	\$5/47.26	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00

2. List your employment history for the past two years, most recent employer first. (Gross monthly pay is before taxes or other deductions.)

Employer	Address	Dates of employment	Gross monthly pay
N/I		•	\$
77	,		\$

3. List your spouse's employment history for the past two years, most recent employer first. (Gross monthly pay is before taxes or other deductions.)

Employer	Address		Dates of employment	Gross monthly pay
46				\$
1/4		,		\$
	·			\$

4.	How much cash do you and your spouse have? \$	20.=
	Below, state any money you or your spouse have i	n bank accounts or in any other financial institution.

Financial institution	Type of account	Amount you have	Amount your spouse has
USA	CHETTING	s 0.16	\$
CHAR	CHECKE	\$ 8.29	\$
NAM ROB	m checkar	\$ 1.00	\$

If you are a prisoner, you must attach a statement certified by the appropriate institutional officer showing all receipts, expenditures, and balances during the last six months in your institutional accounts. If you have multiple accounts, perhaps because you have been in multiple institutions, attach one certified statement of each account.

5.	List the assets, and their values,	which you own or your spouse owns. Do not list clothing and ordinary
	household furnishings.	

Assets owned by you or your spou	ise
Home (Value)	\$ 65
Other real estate (Value)	\$ \$
Motor vehicle #1 (Value)	\$
Make and year:	
Make and year: LA Model: SOSL Registration #: LA -	
Registration #: 🗸 🗘 —	
Motor vehicle #2 (Value)	\$
Make and year:	
Model:	
Registration #:	
Other assets (Value)	\$
Other assets (Value)	\$

6. State every person, business, or organization owing you or your spouse money, and the amount owed.

Person owing you or your spouse money	Amount owed to you	Amount owed to your spouse
NY har	\$	\$
	\$	s
	\$	S

7. State the persons who rely on you or your spouse for support.

Name (or, if under 18, initials only)	, ,	Relationship	Age
WANT TO STATE OF THE STATE OF T	The Species		

AO 239 (Rev. 01/15) Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Long Form)

8. Estimate the average monthly expenses of you and your family. Show separately the amounts paid by your spouse. Adjust any payments that are made weekly, biweekly, quarterly, semiannually, or annually to show the monthly rate.

		You	Your spouse
Rent or home-mortgage payment (including lot rented for mobile home)	\vdash	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Are real estate taxes included? Yes No	\$	A 20 nm	s
Is property insurance included? Yes No		3,000.00	ľ
	1_		_
Utilities (electricity, heating fuel, water, sewer, and telephone)	\$	502.80	\$
Home maintenance (repairs and upkeep)	\$	8	\$
Food	\$	900.00	\$
Clothing	\$	9.	s
Laundry and dry-cleaning	 		•
Laundry and dry-cleaning	\$	150 -	\$
Medical and dental expenses	\$	0	\$
Transportation (not including motor vehicle payments)	\$		s
Timespointion (not including motor venicle payments)			Ψ
Recreation, entertainment, newspapers, magazines, etc.	\$	Lo	s
Insurance (not deducted from wages or included in mortgage payments)	× .		
Homeowner's or renter's:	\$	140.00	s
Life:	\$		\$
Health:	\$	0	s
Motor vehicle:	\$	Æ.	\$
Other:	\$		\$
Taxes (not deducted from wages or included in mortgage payments) (specify):	\$		\$
Installment payments			
Motor vehicle:	\$	375=	\$
Credit card (name): PAULES	\$	705	S
Department store (name):	\$	⊕	\$
Other: PASWE CALL & MAKE BOOK	\$,	100-2	\$
Alimony, maintenance, and support paid to others	\$	9 7	\$

Page 5 of 5

AO 239 (Rev. 01/15) Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Long Form)

Regul stateme	ar expenses for operation of business, profession, or farm (attach detailed sunt)
Öther	(specify):
	Total monthly expenses: \$ \$ 735 0.00 \$ 0.
9.	Do you expect any major changes to your monthly income or expenses or in your assets or liabilities during the next 12 months?
	☐ Yes No If yes, describe on an attached sheet.
10.	Have you spent — or will you be spending — any money for expenses or attorney fees in conjunction with thi lawsuit? Yes No
	If yes, how much? \$
11.	Provide any other information that will help explain why you cannot pay the costs of these proceedings.
	THE VA ONLY PAGE 2/84 - PERDAY
12.	Identify the city and state of your legal residence.
V	Your daytime phone number: 619-603-2466 Your age: 51 Your years of schooling: 675
	Your age: S Your years of schooling:

DENTIFICATION PU	BE USED FOR RPOSES 3.22-CV-00065-P	THIS IS AN IM	PORTANT RECORD	NO Dog	 	ERABIOMSON	CUADE
	CKINICATE OF	RELEASE OR	DISCHARGE F	ROM A	TIVE DIL	RENDER FOR	RIM VOIL
THE DAY		2. DEPAR	TMENT, COMPONENT A	ND BRANCH	3.5	OCIAL SECUR	ILY NO
4.8 GRADE RATE C	P4	Y GRADE	5. DATE OF BIRTH (Y	YMMDD),	6. RESERVE O	2 23 18	9.78y
7.a. PLACE OF ENTR	Y INTO ACTIVE DUTY	The same of the sa	7.b. HOME OF RECOR	RD AT TIME O	Year ME N	ooth Mr In	
Ra LAST DUTYASSI	CAMBASNIT AND		address if known	1)	1	- 11210, 0, 0	e) siquio.
Town minute street	GNMENT AND MAJOR CO 超(LCC 19)版:	OKOETKY TYDAN	8.5. STATION WHERE	SEPARATED		·	
HAVRESPERSTER	HICH TRANSFERRED	7771.49	PERSUPPLET MA	TOTA SAN	10. SGLI COVE		None
11. PRIMARY SPECIAL Specialty, List add	LTY (List number, title a		12. RECORD OF SERVI	CE	Amount: \$	200,000	
periods of one or r	nore years.)	and actes involving	a. Date Entered AD b. Separation Date T	This Period		Month(s)	Day(s)
3	አ	*	c. Net Active Service	This Period			X
X	. X	X .	d. Total Prior Active : e. Total Prior Inactive				
ž. Ž	X V	X	f. Foreign Service	Service			W
¥	X X	X X	g. Sea Service h. Effective Date of P				
13. DECORATIONS, MI	EDALS, BADGES, CITATION TO MENT MENAL: 197 GE	NS AND CAMPAIGN RIB	BONS AWARDED OR AU	THORIZED (A	periods of serv	(ce)	44 (1)
DEFENSE SERVIC	HT HEDAL; LOT OR E HETAL; HAVY RI	an compact medal Ple expert nav	L FOR THRIOD ESS	dire 92,75	N23; NATION	IAL,	
	X	*	S. Y	~ X <u>X</u>		X	
14. MILITARY EDUCAT	ION (Course title, numbe	r of weeks, and month	and year completed)			Ă.	
NOME THIS PERI	OD OD	X.	3	X		**	
<u>*</u>	Ž.	X X	X	X		R N	
15.a. MEMBER CONTRIBUTED VETERANS' EDUCATIONAL	TO POST-VIETNAM ERX	No 15.b. HIGH	SCHOOL GRADUATE OR	Yes No	16. DAYS ACCRU	JED LEAVE PA	AID
	COMPLETE DENTAL EXAMINAT	ON AND ALL APPROPRIATE DEN	ALENT				
经支票 (1)					THE RESERVE TO	ON Yes	No
AL THEST WAS		a doctorios	CAR SCHOOLS				
FFETT OF DATE	ATTENDED ATTACK				CALLUM TUR		
	Tober 1		or design in	re sente			
PU CANADA ANTON	OPPHIENDING OD OPPHERMEN			NC-Chronic			
	CONTRACTOR DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY.	ALTON OF A STORY OF THE STORY O		77 E	Esces (A		
HALLKING ST	P PERSON FROM	troman "			MEST THE		
ga, WAILING ADDRES	AFTER SEPARATION (In	Nide Viovedia					
a vitaite en			196 NEAREST RELATED	IVE (Name an VEIX LEATE	d address inclue Dia	le Zip Code)	
MEMBER REQUESTS COPY 6	RESENT TO LEGISLE DE LA LIGITA	OF VET A HAUSE Ves 1 No	P. LUICE OF SEC.	TAKE 16	TO CHARLES		
I. SIGNATURE OF MEN	IGER BEING SEPARATED	To a serious a sale of the	22 OFFICIAL AUTHOR	ZED TO SIGN	Typed peme g	rade, titlé and	d
					reducinal t	YDIO AN	
	SPECIAL ADDI:	IONAC INFORMATION (F	or use by authorized an	encies onlia			T. CARDINATA
MURARY DISABILY	PEY REFERENCE LOOK	3	A CHARACIER OF SERV	CE (Include y	grades)	and the second s	2777444
SEPARATION AUTHO	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF	El-Carlotte (Carlotte (Car	NORABLE I SEPARATION CODE	**************************************	REENTRY COD		-
NARRATIVE REASON	2620042; NOV. 96			海 医动脉管 经基本	E-AD		on selection
SWITTER A LEGIS	MARY			24. A.		49.	7
L: NONE	FOURING THIS PERIOD			- 30	MEMBER REQU	ESTS COPY 4	
Form 214, NOV	88 S/N 0102-LF-006-	5500 Previous editions a	e obsoluto		# Y .	Init	ا جادا

United States District Court

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Г	avi	Ы	In	hn	Th	vistl	e

Civil Action No. 22-cv-0065-TWR-NLS

7

Joseph Robinette Biden, Jr., President of the United States; Merrick Brian Garland, The United States' Attourney General

Defendant

Plaintiff

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) - or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an office or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(2) or (3) - You must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

David John Thistle 5580 La Jolla Blvd, #360 San Diego, CA 92037 619-603-2466

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

Date: 2/4/22



John Morrill

CLERK OF COURT

S/

T. Hernandez

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 22-cv-0065-TWR-NLS

Date Issued:	2/4/22
--------------	--------

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(1))

ceived by me on (date)		_·	
I personally served th	e summons on the individual at	(place)	
		on (date)	; or
I left the summons at	the individual's residence or pla	ce of abode with (name)	
	, a person of	suitable age and discretion wh	no resides there,
on (date)	, and mailed a copy t	o the individual's last known a	ddress; or
I served the summons	s on (name of the individual)		, who is
	accept service of process on beh		
		on (date)	; or
I returned the summo	ns unexecuted because		
Other (specify):			
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total	of\$
I declare under penalt	ty of perjury that this information	is true.	
		Server's Signature	
		Printed name and title	·

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO CONSENT TO TRIAL BY A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISION OF 28 USC 636(C) YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT A U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE OF THIS DISTRICT MAY, UPON CONSENT OF ALL PARTIES, CONDUCT ANY OR ALL PROCEEDINGS, INCLUDING A JURY OR NON-JURY TRIAL, AND ORDER THE ENTRY OF A FINAL JUDGMENT.

YOU SHOULD BE AWARE THAT YOUR DECISION TO CONSENT OR NOT CONSENT IS ENTIRELY VOLUNTARY AND SHOULD BE COMMUNICATED SOLELY TO THE CLERK OF COURT. ONLY IF ALL PARTIES CONSENT WILL THE JUDGE OR MAGISTRATE JUDGE WHOM THE CASE HAS BEEN ASSIGNED BE INFORMED OF YOUR DECISION.

JUDGMENTS OF THE U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGES ARE APPEALABLE TO THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS STATUTE AND THE FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

TO THE CALENDAR OF THE HONORABLE RUTH BERMUDEZ

MONTENEGRO

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE CASES TO BE TRANSFERRED

ORDER OF TRANSFER

The following cases are transferred from the calendar of the Honorable Todd W. Robinson (TWR) to the calendar of the Honorable Ruth Bermudez Montenegro (RBM). All pending dates—whether before Judge Robinson or any magistrate judge—remain unchanged.

- United States of America et al v. GET Engineering Corporation et al., No. 1. 3:2019-cv-01249-TWR-AGS;
- Arellano v. Jones et al., No. 3:2020-cv-00228-TWR-BLM, together with 2. related case:
 - Arellano v. Guldseth et al., No. 3:2020-cv-01633-TWR-BGS; a.
- 3. Starline Windows Inc. v. Insurance Company of the State of Pennsylvania, No. 3:2021-cv-00578-TWR-DEB;
 - 4. LaBarge v. City of La Mesa et al., No. 3:2021-cv-01035-TWR-BGS;
 - 5. *In re Pourteymour*, No. 3:2021-cv-01611-TWR-MSB;

1	6. Romoff v. DPEP 7 Inc., No. 3:2021-cv-01775-TWR-BLM;
2	7 Lobbin et al v. Target Corporation et al., No. 3:2021-cv-01785-TWR-JLB
3	together with related case:
4	a. The Eclipse Group LLP v. Target Corporation et al., No. 3:15-cv-
5	01411-TWR-BLM;
6	8. In re Barrett, 3:2022-cv-00078-TWR-BGS, together with related cases:
7	a. Barrett v. Salton Sea Estates III, LLC (In re Barrett), No. 3:2022-cv-
8	221-TWR-BGS, and
9	b. Barrett v. Salton Sea Estates III, LLC (In re Barrett), No. 3:2022-cv-
10	222-TWR-BGS;
11	9. Thistle v. Biden et al., No. 3:2022-cv-00065-TWR-NLS;
12	10. Ruffin v. Dudek and Associates et al., No. 3:2022-cv-00191-TWR-BGS; and
13	11. Gross v. Stufflebean et al., No. 3:2022-cv-00195-TWR-DEB.
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.
15	Dated: April 7, 2022
16	Honorable Todd W. Robinson
17	United States District Court
18	
19	
20 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Undisputed Legal Inc. 590 Madison Ave 21st floor New York, NY 10022

APR 1 1 2022 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

INVOICE: 6756899 Issued: Feb 28, 2022

DAVID THISTLE

LA JOLLA, CA 92037

PAY TO: Undisputed Legal Inc. 590 Madison Ave 21st floor New York, NY 10022

5580 LA JOLLA BLVD #360

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 22-CV-0065-TWR-NLS

Plaintiff / Petitioner: DAVID JOHN THISTLE

Job: 6756899

Defendant / Respondent: JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES; MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL

ltem	Description	Cost	Quantity	Total
routine	MERRILL BRIAN GARLAND	\$100.00	1	\$100.00

Payment	Description	Amount Paid
Feb 28, 2022		(\$100.00)

Thanks for your business. Please pay the "Balance Due" within 10 days. Total:	\$100.00
Amount Paid:	(\$100.00)
Balance Due:	\$0.00

Undisputed Legal Inc. • 590 Madison Ave 21st floor, New York, NY 10022

Call: 212-203-8001 • Email: info@undisputedlegal.com • Visit: undisputedlegal.com

AFFIDAVIT OF NON-SERVICE

Case: CIVIL ACTION NO.: 22-CV-0065-TWR-NLS	Court: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	County:	Job: 6756899
Plaintiff / Petitioner: DAVID JOHN THISTLE		Defendant / Responder JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIC MERRICK BRIAN GARLA GENERAL	nt: DEN, JR., PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES; AND, THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
Received by: Undisputed Legal		For: DAVID THISTLE	
To be served upon: MERRILL BRIAN GARLA	ND		

I, ALLISON BERNARDO, being duly sworn, depose and say: I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action, and that within the boundaries of the state where service was effected, I was authorized by law to make service of the documents and informed said person of the contents herein

Recipient Name / Address: MERRILL BRIAN GARLAND, 555-4TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20530

Manner of Service:

Unsuccessful Attempt

Documents:

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION; PROOF OF SERVICE

Additional Comments:

1) Unsuccessful Attempt: Mar 4, 2022, 11:02 am EST at 555 4TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20530 I arrived at the address and was told this is the criminal division of the US DOJ by a US Marshal. He asked who I was looking for and I told him and showed him the paperwork. He said I needed to go to the civil division located at 501 3rd st NW

03/16/2022 ALLISON BERNARDO Date

Undisputed Legal 590 Madison Ave 21st floor New York, NY 10022

Subscribed and sworn to before me by the affiant who is personally known to me.

MBennas

Notary Public 03/16/2022

11/30/2023

Date

Commission Expires



AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

COUNTY OF CASE NO. CIVIL ACTION NO. 22-C4-0003-
Plaintiff / Petitioner: DAVID JOHN THISTLE
Defendant / Respondent: JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES; MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL
I Novine Delay to the day of a great design of a
I Nasim Dehghani being duly sworn deposes and says: that deponent is not a party to this action, is over 18 years of age.
That on Mar 10, 2022 deponent served the within: SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION; PROOF OF SERVICE on MERRILL BRIAN GARLAND at the address 555 4TH STREET NW,
WASHINGTON, DC 20530 by enclosing a copy of same in a postpaid wrapper properly addressed to the above recipient and deposited said wrapper in (a post office) official depository under exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Services,
Mailed on <u>03/10/2022</u> via:
First Class Mail Envelope marked "Personal and Confidential".
(check all that apply)
FIRST CLASS MAILING First Class Mail Envelope marked "Personal and Confidential"
CERTIFIED MAILING certified mail marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number ()
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number ()
OVERNIGHT MAILING marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number ()
Sworn to me on the 3 17 122
District of Columbia Signed and Burors to (or effermed) before me on 3/17/22 (Date)
(Name(a) of Individual(a) Making Statement)
Notary Psignature of Motorial Officer:
Title of Office:
UNDISPUTED LEGAL, INC., 590 MADISON AVENUE, 21ST FLOOR, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 10022
NOTAR RICE
UNDISPUTED LEGAL, INC., 590 MADISON AVENUE, 21ST FLOOR, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 10022

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE CASES TO BE TRANSFERRED TO THE CALENDAR OF THE HONORABLE ROBERT S. HUIE ORDER OF TRANSFER

The following cases are transferred from the calendar of the Honorable Ruth Bermudez Montenegro to the calendar of the Honorable Robert S. Huie. After this transfer, the case number should be changed to reflect the initials of Judge Huie. Therefore, in all case numbers, the "RBM" that appears after the case number should be changed to "RSH." The assigned magistrate judge will remain the same. This change should be made on future filings to ensure that documents are properly sent to Judge Huie. All pending dates—whether before Judge Montenegro or any magistrate judge—remain unchanged.

- 1. 17-cv-1436-RBM-DEB, Williams & Cochrane, LLP v. Quechan Tribe of the Fort Yuma Indian Reservation et al.
 - 2. 18-cv-1818-RBM-BLM, Grano v. Sodexo, Inc.
 - a. 19-cv-1903-RBM-BLM, Anderson v. Sodexo Management, Inc., et al.
 - b. 19-cv-1904-RBM-BLM, Baker v. Sodexo Management, Inc. et al.

1		c. 19-cv-1905-RBM-BLM, Browning v. Sodexo Management, Inc. et al.
2		d. 19-cv-1907-RBM-BLM, Evers v. Sodexo Management, Inc. et al.
3		e. 19-cv-1908-RBM-BLM, Lader v. Sodexo Management, Inc., et al.
4		f. 19-cv-1917-RBM-BLM, Abbott v. Sodexo Management, Inc. et al.
5	3.	19-cv-1249-RBM-AGS, United States of America et al. v. Get Engineering
6	Corporation	n et al.
7	4.	20-cv-694-RBM-DEB, Pitt v. Metropolitan Tower Life Insurance Company
8	5.	21-cv-516-RBM-AGS, DNA Genotek, Inc. v. Spectrum Solutions LLC
9	6.	21-cv-1427-RBM-AGS, Mascorro v. The City of San Diego et al.
10		a. 21-cv-1725-RBM-AGS, Mascorro v. The City of San Diego et al.
11		b. 21-cv-2012-RBM-AGS, Mascorro v. The County of San Diego et al.
12	7.	21-cv-1846-RBM-BLM, Perez v. The Geo Group, Inc. et al.
13	,	a. 22-cv-445-RBM-BLM, Castillo v. GEO Secure Services, LLC et al.
14	8.	21-cv-1970-RBM-BLM, Saveene Corp. v. Remo et al.
15	9.	21-cv-1979-RBM-MSB, Keeler v. County of San Diego et al.
16		a. 21-cv-1981-RBM-MSB, Keeler v. County of San Diego et al.
17	10.	22-cv-65-RBM-NLS, Thistle v. Biden et al.
18		
19		S SO ORDERED.
20	DATE: Jur	10 24, 2022 Del Bermuda Montanen
21		HON. RUTH BERMUDEZ MONTENEGRO
22		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case 3:22-cv-00065-RSH-NLS Document 8 Filed 06/24/22 PageID.32 Page 2 of 2

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY STRICT COURT OF CT OF CALIFORNIA RSH Case No.: 22CV0065 TWR NLS NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR OFFICIAL COURT DATE AND TIME AND
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY STRICT COURT OF CT OF CALIFORNIA RSH Case No.: 22CV0065 TWR NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY STRICT COURT OF CT OF CALIFORNIA RSH Case No.: 22CV0065 TWR NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR
STRICT COURT OF CT OF CALIFORNIA RSH Case No.: 22CV0065 TWR NLS NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR
STRICT COURT OF CT OF CALIFORNIA
CT OF CALIFORNIA RSH Case No.: 22CV0065 TWR NLS NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR
CT OF CALIFORNIA RSH Case No.: 22CV0065 TWR NLS NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR
RSH) Case No.: 22CV0065 TWR NLS) NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR
) Case No.: 22CV0065 TWR NLS) NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR
)) NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR
) OFFICIAL COURT DATE AND TIME AND
diqualification of judge
DATE: 09 AUGUST 2022
TIME: DEPT:
Judge:
Solution File
Trial Date:
prays for and requests an Official Court Calendar ATION of the CASE COMPLAINT within the LA
aint.
orays for and respectfully requests the attendance
fficial Court Approved Internet Video Link so as
vel. The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, does
1 -

6

10

11 12

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

not at this time request ORAL ARGUMENTS before the Court. However, the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, does hold ORAL ARGUMENT RIGHTS in reserve if needed for the future resolution of this Case Complaint.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, does at this time respectfully request a Disqualification of the Judge in accordance with 28 U.S.C.§ 455 (a)

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, also herby prays and respectfully requests the review of ALL Case Complaints brought before the UNITED STATES DISRICT COURT OF SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, for the expressed purpose of KEEPING the PEACE and MAINTAINING FAIR ELECTIONS. Whereby no less than SIX SEPARATE STATES, Ohio (Special Election of 11th District), New Hampshire, Alaska, Alabama, Arkansas and Colorado, did, at the outset of Congressional Elections, Alter on the "Official State Websites" the requirements set for the for the Office of United States Representative Article I Sec 2 Par 2 in direct Violation of Article V and the X & XIV Amendments of the Constitution of these United States without penalty of Arrest or Indictment of the United States Attorneys' Office or the Judicial Branch after notification of evidence filed within the aforementioned Case Complaints.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, a 100% Service Connected Combat Related Disabled Veteran SWORN to "... DEFEND the Constitution of these United States against ALL enemies both Foreign and Domestic...", has brought valid concrete evidentiary PROOF of the altering of Article I Sec 2 Par 2 before this Court in SIX CASES without the proper Public Action by SWORN Federal Officers to Maintain the PUBLIC TRUST in FAIR ELECTIONS.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, seeks an attempt at an Agreement by Mitigation by ALL Parties of the Case Complaint to RESTORE the PUBLIC TRUST and set an example of SOLIDARITY within these UNITED STATES.

DATED: July 5, 2022

Case 3:22-cv-00065-RSH-NLS Document 10 Filed 07/13/2 2 2 4 4 5 5 5 9 6 5 1 2 - N L S

JUL 1 3 2022

PICT OF CALIFORNIA

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CAUFOR

----- Original Message -----

Subject: Oaths or Affirmations of Office Request.

Date: 2022-05-02 11:49 From: david@thistle.world To: elections@sos.nh.gov Cc: administration@sos.nh.gov

Reply-To: spacerangeradm1@icloud.com

Dear NH Secretary of State Elections Division,

I respectfully request official copies of all Oaths or Affirmations of Office for the following Officers and former Officers of the State. I also respectfully request the corresponding NH RSA code for each individual Office held.

- 1. Governor Christopher Sununu (All Offices held and Previous Oaths or Affirmations)
- 2. Former Secretary of State William "Bill" Gardner (note: Every Election)
- 3. Secretary of State David Scanlan (All Offices held and previous Oaths or Affirmations)
- 4. Deputy Secretary of State Mr. Orville "Bud" Fitch (All Offices held and previous Oaths or Affirmations of Office)
- 5. Attorney General John Formella (All Offices held and Oaths or Affirmations of Office>)

Thank you for your time and efforts in this matter. Enjoy spring in New Hampshire. It is always beautiful in the mountains this time of year.

Regards,

David John Thistle

p.s. Remember: In New Hampshire the #1 rule is Dessert First!

Page 2

Station #549 Report from: NORTH TEXAS HCS

Health Summary TRISTLE, DAVID J

97.8 81 20 125/78

032-58-8775

JUN 30,1970 (33)

Printed: 01/20/2004 15:36

*** WORK COPY ONLY ***

(...continued) Today, pt arose from sitting and noted some stiffness in neck with bilateral upper extremity paresthesias and numbness. Pt currently c/o cervical spine pain with Lower Lumbar pain - no neuro deficits noted. No loss of bowel or bladder function noted.

PMH L4-5 (traumatic) fracture with chronic low back pain PSH appy

NAD, NCAT, cervical collar in place CTAB, no thoracic abrasions, no seatbelt sign RRR Abd soft, nt, nd; rectal tone normal, hemeoccult neg Pelvis stable no spine stepoffs or deformities, + cervical spine tenderness (approx C3-C5) with + thoracic spine tenderness (approx T1-T2) with + tumber spine tenderness (approx L3-L5) CN II-XII intact, A&O x 3, moves all ext, 5/5 strength except shoulders limited by pain. sensation intect throughout

Xadiology:

C3 anterior superior endplate fracture with prevertebral soft tissue swelling at C2. questionable c4-5 with decreased vert body height

A/P 33 yo male with traumatic cervical spine fx

1. Obtain peripheral IV access, bolus 1L LR now

- 2. Have spoken with Dr. Fleming, will transfer patient to PMH for trausa workup
- 3. Patient currently hemodynamically normal, neurologically normal, stable for transfer

Brandon Bruns

Signed by: /es/ BRANDON BRUNS, H.D. RESIDENT PHYSICIAN 11/16/2003 02:42

> Analog Pager: 214-786-1846 Digital Pager: 214-786-1846

Title: AMB MD/DO NOTE 11/16/2003 02:20

THISTLE, DAVID J 032-58-8775

Pt presents to the ER , ambulatory c a cane. States he was involved in an MVC yesterday. Specifically, he had to slam his breaks on to miss a jack-knifed 18 wheeler and drove off into the soft dirt. He wrenched his neck, denies hitting his head or collision. States he felt fine yesterday and so did not seek medical attention. He went to the movies today and when the movie was done, he could not get out of the seat. States he is having severe neck/back pain and b upper extremity and b leg numbness. Denies bowel or bladder incontinence. Pt placed on flat gurney and c-coliar placed.

On exam Vital Signs: 125/78 (11/15/2003 20:21) BP: 81 (11/15/2003 20:21) P: 20 (11/15/2003 20:21) R: 97.8 F [36.6 C] (11/15/2003 20:21) Υ: 7 (11/15/2003 20:21) Pain: 245 lb [111.4 kg] (11/15/2003 20:21) #17 = 71 in [180.3 cm] (11/15/2003 20:21) Ht:

HEENT: at/nc/mmm/op clear/midface stable/pain to palpation over the entire c-

spine, no stepoffs or crepitus felt/perrla

CA LLL lungs ctab

abd soft/obese/nt/nd

back: pain to palpation over the upper t-spine, no stepoffs of crepitus, l

spine tender to palpation over 1345. RECTAL WST, heme negative, no masses

DIR's intact all four extremities, MAEW

C-spine xray shows pervertebral swelling at the c-spine level. By radiology

read, there is a fx at of C3 c possible fx at C4,5. *** WORK-COPY-ONLY *** (continued...)

if you received the results please call the ordering provider at 1-800-892-8384 ext. 3199 so you are informed.

Did you know that you can view your medical records online, 24/7?

My HealtheVet, VA's patient portal, allows you to view your medical records, order prescription refills, and communicate securely with your providers, all with just a few clicks! Visit www.myhealth.va.gov and register today. Need help? Contact your My HealtheVet Coordinator at 1-800-892-8384 ext. 2291.

Page 2 of 2



Alabama Secretary of State



Alabama Votes Contact Us Public & Media Candidates **PACs** FAO

Minimum Qualifications for Public Office

Home > Alabama Votes > Minimum Qualifications for Public Office

Office	Minimum Age	State Resident		Registered Voter 1	Term of Office	of
US Senate 2	30	1 day	9 years	yes	6	no limit
US House of Representatives3	25		7 years	·	2	no limit
Governor 4, 6	30	7 years	10 years	yes	4	2
Lt. Governor 4, 6	30	7 years	10 years	yes	4	. 2
Secretary of State 5,6	25	5 years	7 years	yes	4	· 2
Attorney General 5,6	25	5 years	7 years	yes	4	2
State Auditor 5,6	25	5 years	7 years	yes	4	2
State Treasurer 5,6	25	5 years	7 years	yes	4	2

STATE OF ALASKA DIVISION OF ELECTIONS QUALIFICATIONS FOR HOLDING OFFICE

STATEWIDE CANDIDATES are those seeking the office of United States Senator, United States Representative, Governor or Lieutenant Governor. The qualifications for these offices are as follows:

United States Senator

- ♦ 30 years of age;
- citizen of the United States for 9 years; and
- an inhabitant of the state from which elected.

United States Representative

- ♦ 25 years of age;
- citizen of the United States for 7 years; and
- an inhabitant of the state from which elected.

Governor and Lieutenant Governor

- At least 30 years of age on the first Monday in December following the election;
- citizen of the United States for at least 7 years;
- qualified voter of the state;
- resident of Alaska for at least 7 years immediately preceding filing for office.

<u>DISTRICTWIDE CANDIDATES</u> are those seeking the office of State Senator and State Representative. The qualifications for these offices are as follows:

State Senator

- At least 25 years of age on the first scheduled day of the first regular session of the legislature convened after the election;
- 3 year resident of Alaska; and
- 1 year resident of the district from which elected immediately preceding filing for office.

State Representative

- At least 21 years of age on the first scheduled day of the first regular session of the legislature convened after the election;
- 3 year resident of Alaska; and
- 1 year resident of the district from which elected immediately preceding filing for office.

TERMS & QUALIFICATIONS

TERMS & QUALIFICATIONS

Federal Offices

President: [U. S. Constitution, Article II, Section 1, as amended by Amendment XXII]

- Four year term of office
- · Limited to two (2) four year terms
- Must be "a natural born" U. S. citizen
- Must be a U. S. resident for fourteen (14) years
- Must be a minimum of thirty-five (35) years of age

For additional information see:

- Presidential Electors A.C.A. §§7-8-301, 7-8-302
- Ballot access for Group Presidential Candidates on page 20 A.C.A. §7-8-302
- Ballot access for Independent Candidates on page 20 A.C.A. §7-8-302

U.S. Senate: [U. S. Constitution, Article I, §3]

- Six year term of office
- Must be a U. S. citizen for nine (9) years
- Must be an Arkansas resident
- Must be a minimum of thirty (30) years of age

U.S. House of Representatives: [U. S. Constitution, Article I, §2]

- Two year term of office
- Must be a U. S. citizen for seven (7) years
- Must be an Arkansas resident
- Must be a minimum of twenty-five (25) years of age

For exploratory and candidacy organization information contact:

- The Federal Election Commission (FEC) at 1-800-424-9530 or www.fec.gov
- Reference forms:

FEC Form 2, Statement of Candidacy

FEC Form 1, Statement of Organization

State Offices

Governor and Lieutenant Governor:

- Four year term of office Amendment 63, §1
- Limited to two (2) four year terms Amendment 73, §1
- Must possess the qualifications of an elector Article 19, §3
- Must be a U. S. citizen Article 6, §5
- Must be an Arkansas resident for seven (7) years Article 6, §5

Q

Menu

Candidate Qualifications

Federal

Office President			
Age 35 [<u>1</u>]			i .
State residence			
District residence n/a			
US citizen Natural born [<u>1</u>]	•		
Length of term 4 years [2]	;		
Number of terms 2 terms [3]			

Office US Representative	
Age 25	
State residence Yes	
District residence No	
US citizen 7 years [<u>4</u>]	

Length of term

OHIO INSPECTOR GENERAL COMPLAINT FORM



REPORT OF WRONGFUL ACT OR OMISSION BY STATE AGENCY OR EMPLOYEE

COMPLAINANT INFORMATION

YOUR NAME: David John Thistle

Requesting Confidentiality? Yes 🗌 No 🗹

	If yes, Why?	
PERSONAL DATA	dt. Filt of T - T-	11. CA 02027
Address: 5580 La Jolla Blvd. #360	City, State, Zip: La Jo	Cell Phone: 619-603-2466
Email Address: david@thistle.world	Phone:	Cell Prione: 019-003-2400
WORK DATA	th District Position: Candidate	for TIC Congress
Name of Employer: Thistle for Congress 11		Cell Phone: 619-603-2466
Email Address: david@thistle.world	Phone:	Cell Phone: 019-003-2-100
	COMPLAINT DETAILS	
	COMPLAINT DETAILS	
NAME OF STATE AGENCY INVOLVE	D. SECRETARY OF STATE	•
MINE OF STATE AGENCE INVOLVE	D. Moderniter of Street	
State Employee(s) or Individual(s)	Involved	
Name:Frank LaRose	Position: Secretar	y of State, OHIO
Contact Information:		
Name:	Position:	
Contact Information:		
Name:	· Position:	
Contact Information:	_	
Name:	Position:	
Contact Information:		
Witnesses		
• .	Phone: work home	
Name:	Address work - homes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•		
Position:	Employer:	
	Phone: work 🗆 home 🖸	
Name:	Address: work [] home [0
Position:	Employer:	
	Phone: work 🗆 home 🖽	
Name:	Address: work □ home	Π
_	•	
Position:	Employer:	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Have you reported this information to any other agency? Yes M No
What is the status of the matter?
is there any civil, criminal, or administrative litigation pending in this matter? Yes 🗌 No 🗹
If yes, what is the current status?
How do you know about the information you are disclosing in this complaint?
Personal or direct knowledge? Personal Knowledge
Other (please explain)?
Please provide a narrative of the alleged wrongdoing or omission (add additional pages as needed) 3 counts of Violations of 10th Amendment of The United States Constitution by creating unconstitutional changes to Artice 1 of The United States Constitution on Official Ballot Candidate Forms for OHIO 11th Congressional District Special Election 2021. Please see attached e-mail complaint.
The Part of the Pa

I understand that by submitting this statement, I represent that the information contained herein is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge. (Click to check the box)

Pursuant to §121.42 of the Ohlo Revised Code, the Office of the Inspector General has authority to Investigate wrongful acts or omissions that were committed by a state employee, state efficer or by a person (including but not limited to, an individual, corporation, business, or association) doing business with the State of Ohlo. The Office does not have authority to Investigate the general assembly; any court; the Secretary of State, Auditor of State, Treasurer of State, Attorney General and their respective employees.

IMPORTANT NOTE: Simply completing this form will not automatically submit it to our Office. You must send the completed form via email, fax, or regular mail once you have filled in the required information.

Complete and return this form to:
Office of the Ohio Inspector General, Attention: Intake Committee
30 East Broad Street, Suite 2940, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3414
Telephone: 614-644-9110 or 800-686-1525 FAX: 614-644-9504
Website Address: watchdog,ohio.gov Email Address: watchdog@olg.ohio.gov

Form No. 13-C Prescribed by Secretary of State (09-17)

Declaration of Intent to be a Write-In Candidate

print clearly

Office of United States Senator or United States Representative

\mathbf{n}	^	25	10	041

To be completed, signed by the candidate named herein, and filed with the appropriate county board of elections or, if the office is to be voted on statewide, the Secretary of State's Elections Division, not later than 4 p.m. of the 72nd day before the primary or general election, whichever is appropriate.

, the undersigned	d, declare under penalty of election falsification that my
Name of Candidate	
esidence address is	, Whio
Street Number and Address (or Rural Route and Number)	City or Village Zip Code
possess the necessary qualifications to be a candidate for	r the office of for
(Check one box and fill in the appropriate date) 🔲 Full Te	rm commencing,
or unexpired term_ending	, in the (Identify the state or district of the office)
I further declare if elected, I qualify therefor.	(Identify the state or district of the office)
•	
I desire to be a write-in candidate for the office stated abov	/e for:
(complete one of the following two options):	
Nomination of the	Party at the primary election to be held
(Name of Political Party)	
on the day of (Month)	
- (Month)	(Year)
Election at the general election to be held on the	he day of
	(Month) (Year)
•	
•	Χ
	(Signature of Candidate)

Case 3:22-cv-00065-RSH-NLS Document 10 Filed 07/13/22 PageID.45 Page 11 of 20

Form No. 13-C Prescribed by Secretary of State (08-21)

Declaration of Intent to be a Write-In CandidateOffice of United States Senator or United States Representative

print clearly

R.C. 3513.041

To be completed, signed by the candidate named herein, and filed with the appropriate county board of elections or, if the office is to be voted on statewide, the Secretary of State's Elections Division, not later than 4 p.m. of the 72nd day before the primary or general election, whichever is appropriate.

Filing mu	st be accompani	ed by the fees in	dicated in R.C. 351	3.10.		
Ι,	Name of Cand	idate	, the undersigned,	declare under penal	ty of election falsification	on that my
residence	e address is			·		
		Street Number (or Rural Route		City or Village	State	Zp Code
l possess	s the necessary o	qualifications to b	e a candidate for th	ne office of		for
•					,	
or 🔲 un	expired term en	ding		, in the	(Identify the state or district	
l further o	declare if elected	l, I qualify therefo	or.		(Identify the state or district	of the office)
l desire t	to be a write-in ca	andidate for the c	office stated above t	for:		
(complete	one of the following t	wo options):				
		f the		Party at the p	rimary election to be he	əld
		(Na	me of Political Party)	 		
	on the	day of	(Month)	(Year)		
	Election at th	e general electio	n to be held on the	day of _	(Month)	(Year)
					v	
					^	(Signature of Candidate)

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE TO CONGRESS

11th Congressional District Special Election

August 3, 2021 – Primary Election November 2, 2021 – General Election

PARTY CANDIDATE FOR PRIMARY

PETITION NUMBER:

4-2E

SIGNATURES:

50 (Major Party)

25 (Recognized Minor Party)

FILING DEADLINE:

May 5, 2021 - 4:00 P.M. (90 days before the primary)

FILING FEE:

\$50.00 + \$35.00 O.E.C. Fee = Total Fee \$85.00

**INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE FOR GENERAL

PETITION NUMBER:

4-3F

SIGNATURES:

SEE BELOW

FILING DEADLINE:

August 2, 2021 - 4:00 P.M., (day before primary)

FILING FEE:

\$50.00 + \$35.00 O.E.C. Fee = Total Fee \$85.00

For Representative	NO.	ELECT 2021	TERM LENGTH	DISTRICT & CURRENT OFFICE HOLDER	SIGNATURES INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE
to Congress	4	1	1 Year	U. S. REPRESENTATIVE	1% OF 2018 GOVERNOR VOTE
(Unexpired Term Ending 1/3/2023)	}	les in Cu Coun laps Sum	-	11th Vacant (Marcia L. Fudge)	Min. – 2,566 Max. – 7,698

Term commences the fourth day of January (US Const, Am. XX §1) 47 AGEN 310 PM & Jan

Requirements:

Must be an inhabitant of the state <u>from which</u> elected. The Must be a U.S. citizen for seven (7) years prior to election.

Minimum age: twenty-five (25) years.

Candidate does not have to live in the district to run.

APTICLE 1.

A person holding an elective office for which candidates are nominated at a party primary may become a candidate for a different political party if the person completes and files the prescribed form 10-Y, Declaration of Intent to Change Political Party Affiliation, by 4 p.m. on April 5, 2021, the 30th day before the filing deadline for the August 3, 2021 Election. No person filing this form shall file another such form for a period of ten years. (ORC 3513.04, 3513.052, 3513.191).

Designation of party for independent candidates refer to ORC 3505.03

DO NOT GIVE CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES ANY CAMPAIGN FINANCE INFORMATION OR FORMS. THEY MUST CONTACT THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION (FEC) at 1-800-424-9530 or http://www.fec.gov/.

Reminder: Candidate Contact Information Sheet is Required When Filing

Case 3:22-cv-00065-RSH-NLS Document 10 Filed 07/13/22 PageID.47 Page 13 of 20 Form No. 13-C Prescribed by Secretary of State (06-21)

Declaration of Intent to be a Write-In Candidate Office of United States Senator or United States Representative

print clearly

R.C. 3513.041

To be completed, signed by the candidate named herein, and filed with the appropriate county board of elections or, if the office is to be voted on statewide, the Secretary of State's Elections Division, not later than 4 p.m. of the 72nd day before the primary or general election, whichever is appropriate.

Filling must be accompanied by the fees indicated in R.C. 3513.10. the undersigned, declare under penalty of election falsification that my residence address is wind BUD , Ch Dild Street Number and Address ** 340 (or Rural Route and Number) I possess the necessary qualifications to be a candidate for the office of unified (Check one box and fill in the appropriate date) Term commencing or unexpired term ending 61 03 2023 , in the Office / CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT.

(Identify the state or district of the office) I further declare if elected, I qualify therefor. I desire to be a write-in candidate for the office stated above for: (complete one of the following two options): Nomination of the Party at the primary election to be held (Name of Political Party) Election at the general election to be held on the

. .

Case 3:22-cy 00065 RSH-Nis Document 10 Filed 07/13/22 Page D.48 Page 14 of 20

State of California	.,	the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.
State of California County of	San Diego	ss.
· -		
On 22 July 2021	before	e me, Sharik Ali Sayed (here insert name and title of the officer)
personally appeared	David John Thistle	(here insert name and title of the officer)
to the within instrume thorized capacity(ies	ent and acknowledged to m	dence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed he that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their au- signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity uted the instrument.
I certify under PENA paragraph is true and		he laws of the State of California that the foregoing
	Seal	WITNESS my hand and official seal.
OHO	SHARIK ALI SAYED COMM. #2356731 Notary Public - California San Diego County My Comm. Expires May 8, 2025	Signature of Notary
	——— Option	al Information
To he	elp prevent fraud, it is recommended that	al Information you provide information about the attached document below. der California State notary public law.***
	elp prevent fraud, it is recommended that ***This is <u>not</u> required un	you provide information about the attached document below. der California State notary public law.***
	elp prevent fraud, it is recommended that	you provide information about the attached document below. der California State notary public law.***
Document Title: _	elp prevent fraud, it is recommended that ***This is <u>not</u> required un	you provide information about the attached document below. der California State notary public law.*** to be a Write-In Candidate # of Pages: 1
Document Title: _	elp prevent fraud, it is recommended that ***This is <u>not</u> required un- Form 13-C: Declaration of Intent i	you provide information about the attached document below. der California State notary public law.*** to be a Write-In Candidate # of Pages: 1
Document Title: _	elp prevent fraud, it is recommended that ***This is <u>not</u> required un- Form 13-C: Declaration of Intent i	you provide information about the attached document below. der California State notary public law.*** to be a Write-In Candidate # of Pages: 1
Document Title: _	elp prevent fraud, it is recommended that ***This is <u>not</u> required un- Form 13-C: Declaration of Intent i	you provide information about the attached document below. der California State notary public law.*** to be a Write-In Candidate # of Pages: 1
Document Title: _	elp prevent fraud, it is recommended that ***This is <u>not</u> required un- Form 13-C: Declaration of Intent i	you provide information about the attached document below. der California State notary public law.*** to be a Write-In Candidate # of Pages: 1
Document Title: _	elp prevent fraud, it is recommended that ***This is <u>not</u> required un- Form 13-C: Declaration of Intent i	you provide information about the attached document below. der California State notary public law.*** to be a Write-In Candidate # of Pages: 1

Form No. 13-C Prescribed by Secretary of State (08-21)

Declaration of Intent to be a Write-In Candidate Office of United States Senator or United States Representative

print clearly

R.C. 3513.041

To be completed, signed by the candidate named herein, and filed with the appropriate county board of elections or, if the office is to be voted on statewide, the Secretary of State's Elections Division, not later than 4 p.m. of the 72nd day before the primary or general election, whichever is appropriate.

Filing must be accompanied by the fees indicated in R.C. 3513.10.
I, DANID LOUIS the undersigned, declare under penalty of election falsification that my
residence address is Street Number and Address City or Village State Zip Code (or Rural Route and Number)
I possess the necessary qualifications to be a candidate for the office of the office
(Check one box and fill in the appropriate date)
or unexpired term ending 61 03 2023 , in the office (Identify the state or district of the office)
I further declare if elected, I qualify therefor.
I desire to be a write-in candidate for the office stated above for:
(complete one of the following two options):
Nomination of the Party at the primary election to be held (Name of Political Party)
on the day of (Month) (Year)
Election at the general election to be held on the day of day of Move with (Month) (Year)

FEC FORM 2 STATEMENT OF CANDIDACY

1. (a) Name of Candidate (in full)				•					
(b) Address (number and street)	☐ Check if address change	d	2. F	EC Cand	idate Ide	entifica	tion Nur	nber	
5580 LA JOLLA BLVD. #360			<u> </u>	i- m-t-		N			A
(c) City, State, and ZIP Code SAN DIEGO, CA 92037			3.	ls This Statemer	nt 🔯	New (N)	OR		Amended (A)
4. Party Affiliation UNAFFILIATED	5. Office Sought U.S. REP. TO CONGRESS	6. State & Distr OHIO, 11TH						•	
									
DI	ESIGNATION OF PRINCIPA	L CAMPAIGN	1 CC	TIMMC	TEE				
7. I hereby designate the following na	med political committee as my Principa	l Campaign Comn	nittee	101 110 _	021		electio	n(s).	
NOTE: This designation should be	filed with the appropriate office listed in	the instructions.		0	ear of e	election	1)		
(a) Name of Committee (in full)									
DAVID JOHN T	HISTLE								
(b) Address (number and street)									······································
5580 LA JOLLA	ABLVD #360	•					-		
(c) City, State, and ZIP Code									
LA JOLLA, CA	92037					,			

D	ESIGNATION OF OTHER AL			MMITT	EES				•
	(Including Joint Fundrais	sing Representativ	es)						
8. I hereby authorize the following na	med committee, which is NOT my princ	ipal campaign con	nmitte	ee, to rece	eive and	I exper	d funds	on bel	nalf of my
candidacy.	Flad with the pulsal assessment assessment	144.0							
NOTE: This designation should be	filed with the principal campaign comm	iillee.							
(a) Name of Committee (in full)					•				
	•							•	
(b) Address (number and street)			•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			··		
	·								
(c) City, State, and ZIP Code		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
tsertifuthat I have ex	mined this Statement and to the best	of my knowledge a	and b	elief it is t	rue. cor	rect an	d comp	lete.	
Signature of Capdidate		\\	Dat			, 001 a			
6)		-		1111	V	၁ ၉	2	\cap	1
- showed			. J	UL	T A	20	, \angle	UZ	. I
NOTE: Submission of false, erroneou	us or incomplete information may subje	ct the person sign	ing th	is Statem	ent to p	enaltie	s of 52 (J.S.C.	§30109.
9-00068			L				F	EC FOR	M 2 (REV. 02/2008


FEC Form 2S (Revised 02/2017)

Optional Supplemental Page for Designation of Additional Authorized Committees

Page	of	

	DESIGNATION	OF OTHER	RAUTHORIZED	COMMITTEES
--	-------------	----------	-------------	------------

	(including Joint Fundraising Representatives)			
8,	ereby authorize the following named committee, which is NOT my principal campaign committee, to receive and expend funds on behalf of indidacy. NOTE: This designation should be filed with the principal campaign committee.			
	(a) Name of Committee (in full)			
	(b) Address (number and street)			
	(c) City, State, and ZIP Code			
8.	I hereby authorize the following named committee, which is NOT my principal campaign committee, to receive and expend funds on behalf of my candidacy. NOTE: This designation should be filed with the principal campaign committee.			
	(a) Name of Committee (in full)			
	(b) Address (number and street)			
	(c) City, State, and ZIP Code			
8.	I hereby authorize the following named committee, which is NOT my principal campaign committee, to receive and expend funds on behalf of my candidacy. NOTE: This designation should be filed with the principal campaign committee.			
	(a) Name of Committee (in full)			
	(b) Address (number and street)			
	(c) City, State, and ZIP Code			
8.	I hereby authorize the following named committee, which is NOT my principal campaign committee, to receive and expend funds on behalf of my candidacy. NOTE: This designation should be filed with the principal campaign committee.			
	(a) Name of Committee (in full)			
	(b) Address (number and street)			
	(c) City, State, and ZIP Code			



Term, Two Ferromson Page D 53 Page 19 of 20

ATHORNEY GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

gss CAPITOL STREET CONCORD NEW HAMPSHIRE 0330126897

COHNEM RORMEDIA



JANE E. YOUNG DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

Rebruary 9, 2022

Devid This de

\$580(la)(d]bvBlyd(\$60) Daviolatic(\$(92037)

Reco Claims of Election Franciand Tampening

Mis Thistle

We have reviewed your correspondence and you have described no actionable claim against the State of New Hampshire morare critizens empowered to prosecute criminal charges.

The Automoy Ceneral's Office will take not further action on your correspondences

Sincerely

Myse & Mateson Logar Gament Laused Line non-Lausen

THE SHADOW ROLL OF THE PARTY AND ASSESSED.

Mr. Thistle,

The Secretary of State's Website has been revised

NH-SOS - Qualifications for Office [1]

Orville B. Fitch II

Elections Legal Counsel, Assistant Secretary or State

Secretary of State's Office

State House Room 20

Concolid New Hermisenie 0330

Budkitich@aosinh.oi

((603)):27/1=53355

Links

DI helps://sos.nhignox/elexediene/itummane/sex militare/aramane/sex edition/aparthile accurate actual.

«Ilhistran inexaltamposhime allocae

	Case 3:22-cv-00065-RSH-NLS Document 11	Filed 07/25/22 PageID.55 Page 1 of 1	
1			
2 3			
4			
5			
6		•	
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	DAVID JOHN THISTLE,	Case No.: 22-cv-65-RSH-NLS	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S	
13	v.	MOTION FOR OFFICIAL COURT DATE AND DISQUALIFICATION	
14	JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., President of the United States, and	OF JUDGE	
15	MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, United		
16	States Attorney General,		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	On July 5, 2022, Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, filed a Motion For Official		
20	Court Date And Time And Disqualification Of Judge ("Motion"). ECF No. 9. Plaintiff		
21	seeks a videoconference and requests Defendants' attendance. <i>Id.</i> at 1. Plaintiff, however,		
22	has not at this time properly served Defendants, and there is no basis to hold a conference		
23	at this time. Plaintiff also seeks the disqualification of the undersigned, pursuant to 28		
24	U.S.C. § 455(a), but the Motion provides no factual basis to justify disqualification.		
25	Plaintiff's Motion is therefore DENIED . IT IS SO ORDERED.		
26	Dated: July 25, 2022	Robert & Him	
27		Hon. Robert S. Huie United States District Judge	
28		Onited States District vauge	

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

v.

17

18

19

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff.

JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., President of the United States, and MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, United States Attorney General,

DAVID JOHN THISTLE,

Defendants.

Case No.: 22-CV-65-RSH-NLS

ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINE FOR PLAINTIFF TO EFFECTUATE **SERVICE**

Plaintiff filed the Complaint on January 18, 2022, ECF No. 1, but he has failed to properly serve Defendants. To serve United States officers or employees in their official capacity, Plaintiff "must serve the United States and also send a copy of the summons and of the complaint by registered or certified mail to the agency, corporation, officer, or employee." Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(i)(2); see also id. 4(i)(1) (describing method of serving United States). On March 10, 2022, Plaintiff mailed copies of the summons and Complaint to the U.S. Attorney's Office—not the Attorney General's office—in Washington, DC, ECF No. 6 at 3, and President Biden at the White House, ECF No. 7 at 3, via first-class mail. Plaintiff has failed to serve the United States in the manner prescribed by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(i)(1). Additionally, with respect to

Defendant Merrick Brian Garland, Plaintiff delivered the Complaint and summons to the incorrect office. Plaintiff has therefore failed to properly serve Defendants within 90 days of the filing of the Complaint, in violation of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m). If a complaint is not served within 90 days, the Court may "order that service be made within a specified time," and if there is "good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period." Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Here, Plaintiff at least attempted to properly serve Defendants. Additionally, *pro se* litigants are entitled to leniency with respect to technical procedural matters. *See Draper v. Coombs*, 792 F.2d 915, 924 (9th Cir. 1986) ("[P]laintiff represented himself and therefore, in evaluating his compliance with the technical rules of civil procedure, we treat him with great leniency."). The Court thereby **ORDERS** that Plaintiff shall have an additional sixty (60) days after the date of this Order to properly effectuate service of the summons and Complaint. If Plaintiff fails to properly serve the Complaint by that time, the Court may dismiss this action for failure to prosecute.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 1, 2022

Hon. Robert S. Huie

Robert & Home

United States District Judge

_,

Case 3:22-cv-00065-RSH-NLS Document 13 Filed 08/12/22 PageID.58 Page 1 of \P

David J. Thistle, Pro Se 5580 LA Jolla Blvd. Suite 360 La Jolla, CA 92037 619-603-2466 david@thistle.world spacerangeradm1@icloud.com

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23


24

25

26

27

28



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID JOHN THISTLE,

Case No.: 22-CV-0065

-. . . .

Plaintiff,

S. .

MOTION TO MODIFY ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR.

PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, &

MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND,

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL

Defendants

The Plaintiff filed the original Complaint 22-CV-0065 on January 18, 2022. The proper Jurisdiction for this Case Complaint is the United States Court Southern District of California as the Plaintiff is seeking a mitigation agreement suit with the Defendants due to their negligence in their sworm or affirmed Federal Duties "...to protect and DEFEND the Constitution of the United States against ALL enemies, both foreign and DOMESTIC..." (XIV Amendment.) The Defendants Offices have a DUTY to DEFEND the Constitution and in the light of the evidence within the 6 mentioned case complaints against the States, the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, brings a BREACH of the Defendants' DUTY before the COURT.

However, Plaintiff also filed 6 other related original Case Complaints on December 13, 2021:

THISTLE v. OHIO 21-CV-2071

THISTLE v. NEW HAMPSHIRE 21-CV-2072

THISTLE v. ALABAMA 21-CV-2073

THISTLE v. ALASKA 21-CV-2074

THISTLE v. ARKANSAS 21-CV-2075

THISTLE v. COLORADO 21-CV-2076

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE is a 100% Combat Related Service-Connected Disabled Veteran and requested, due to the enormous amount of fees required as a combination of the Case Complaints, a waiver of fees. That waiver was systematically DENIED, and the Plaintiff's motions were DISMISSED, "without prejudice." By HON. LINDA LOPEZ. However, after a review of Constitutional Jurisdictional Law, it is noted that Jurisdiction of the Aforementioned 6 Case Complaints is within the scope, responsibility, and Original Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of the United States. (Article III § 2)

In addition to the 6 Case Complaints filed, another precedent Case Complaint was filed months before containing the evidence of ELECTION TAMPERING within the State of OHIO for the SPECIAL ELECTION for the 11th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT of OHIO. This SPECIAL ELECTION had a "TRUNKATED TIMEFRAME" for FILING as a CANDIDATE. This Case is now going to be filed at the SUPREME COURT of the UNITED STATES.

Because the Plaintiff's, DAVID JOHN THISTLE'S, Case Complaints contained within them

CONCRETE IRREFUTABLE EVIDENCE of ELECTION TAMPERING. This illegal ELECTION TAMPERING

VIOLATED the Defendant's XIV AMNEDEMNT RIGHTS of "EQUAL PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW" to

become a Candidate for US REPRESENTATIVE. The ILLEGAL ALTERING of the CONSTITUTION of the

UNITED STATES also MISLEADS the GENERAL PUBLIC and purposefully WRONGLY EDUCATES the

PUBLIC with regard to the PROPER LAW and RIGHTS of CITIZENS found within the CONSTITUTION of the

UNITED STATES. "The ILLEGAL ALTERING of ARTICLE I § 2 ¶ 2 of the CONSTITUTION of the UNITED

STATES by adding illegal residency requirements in a malicious attempt to abridge and or disenfranchise a select

group or groups (See New Hampshire's Evidence of ARTICLE V Violation Changes to ARTILE I, requiring both

"DOMICILED and REGESTERED to VOTE.." whereby disenfranchising HOMELESS CITIZENS and others.) of

OTHERWISE QULAIFIED BALLOT CANDIDATES before and during the UNITED STATES

REPRESENTATIVES' ELECTIONS by changing the REQUIREMENTS OF RESIDENCY for the

QULALIFICATIONS of BALLOT CANDIDATES (See Evidentiary Forms from all 6 STATES Submitted for Case

Complaint 22-CV-0065 on JULY 12, 2022) without the IMMEDIATE ACTIONS of a WRIT of CEASE and

MOTION TO MODIFY ORIGINAL COMPLAINT - 2

DESIST ORDERS and WRTIS of INDITMENTS and or WARRANTS for ARREST for the GOVERNORS and 1 2 ELECTIONS OFFICERS of those 6 STATES, (at minimum ARTICLE V Violations to control and or alter the 3 outcome of FEDERAL ELECTIONS within 6 STATES by excluding qualified Ballot Candidates and possibly INCITEMENT of INSURRECTIONS.) in order to MAINTAIN FAIR ELECTIONS for the US 5 REPRESENTATIVES 2022 ELECTIONS. THIS COURT, along with the DEFENDANTS are GUILTY of NEGLIGENCE to DEFEND the Constitution of the UNITED STATES and NEGLIGENCE in the DUTY to 6 7 DEFEND and MAINTAIN FAIR ELECTIONS (XIV AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS). Therefore, the Plaintiff, 8 DAVID JOHN THISTLE is also bringing before the SUPREME COURT, ABUSE of DISCRETION CHARGES for The Honorable LINDA LOPEZ and The Honorable SAMARTINO because they allowed the TAMPERED 10 ELCETIONS to CONTINUE in 6 STATES WITHOUT PROPER ACTION in DEFENSE of the INTEGRITY of 11 ARTICLE I against DOMESTIC ENEMIES of the CONSTITUTION of the UNITED STATES whereby ALTERING and PROHIBITING the INTEGRITY of a FAIR ELECTION OUTCOME for the UNITED STATES 12 13 HOUSE of REPRESENTATIVES' PRIMARY ELECTIONS. When EVIDENCE of ELECTION FRAUD and 14 TAMPERING is brought before the COURT and the DEFENDANTS' Offices with zero PUBLIC 15 ACCOUNTABILITY and/or TRANSPARENCY it provides for an increase in the LOSS of the PUBLIC TRUST 16 and a LOSS in the PEOPLE'S ABILITY to MAINTAIN FAIR ELECTIONS.

17

18

19

20

I will once again remind the COURT of the DANGER it is placing the CITIZENS and THE

PEOPLE in by NOT ACTING APPROPRIATLY and IMMEDIATELY ADDRESSING this PROOF of

ELECTION TAMPERING PUBLICLY. Only three elements existed for the JUSTIFICATION of the WRIT of the

DECLARATION of INDEPENDENCE.:

The INABILITY of THE PEOPLE to MAINTAIN FAIR ELECTIONS.

2122

1. The ABUSE of the SEPARATION of POWERS.

2324

3. The ABUSE of the DUE PROCESS of LAW. (These cases present ARTICLE V Violations.)

25

26

27

28

The Negligence per se, is clear (1) there is definitely a violation of multiple Federal and States'
Regulations. (2) Article I of the Constitution is Clear with residency within the Right of Citizens to become US
Representatives it stipulates "...must be an inhabitant of the State WHEN Elected..." It does NOT specify
MOTION TO MODIFY ORIGINAL COMPLAINT - 3

 "inhabitant when a Candidate running for Office of US Representative." Moreover, the Constitution doesn't require Domiciled and Registered to VOTE in the State" as a Requirement for Candidates for US Representative according to ARTICLE I. Therefore, (3) these additional illegal requirements disenfranchise the homeless population, anyone not registered to vote, and anyone who is not a current resident of the State. "...must be an inhabitant WHEN elected." Doesn't require a domiciled resident and/or registered voter requirement as a Candidate for Office. (See New Hampshire's Attached Evidence.) Therefore, this would definitely "injure," most especially psychologically injure a homeless Veteran who is sworn to "...Support and DEFEND the Constitution of the United States against all enemies both foreign and DOMESTIC." This is a XIV AMENDEMNT Sec 1 Violation of the Plaintiff', DAVID JOHN THISTLE'S RIGHTS to become a Ballot Candidate for US REPRESENTATIVE in the same timely manner as all of the other Candidates. It is also a XIV AMENDEMNT Sec. 3 disqualification of Official Office for anyone who is aware and did NOT taking the Proper Legal Action to Remove the STATES' Governors and Elections Officers and Publicly Address these ARTICLE V, ARTICLE X, and XIV AMENDMENT VIOLATION of the Officers of 6 STATES. (In addition to other, not so obvious, Violations not listed.) Especially after the January 6th RIOT deemed INSURRECTION.

The inaction of this COURT and the DEFENDANTS' OFFICES has PERPETUATED UNFAIR ELECTIONS within the UNITED STATES, thereby, REENFORCING THE LOSS OF THE PUBLIC TRUST.

The PLAINTIFF respectfully requests the trial to have the first immediate date available for a MITIGATION of these EGREGIOUS CRIMINAL and CIVIL ACTS against both THE PEOPLE and the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, seeks the maximum fiscal amount of damages for NEGLIGENT behaviors and DERELICTION of DUTIES from the DEFENDANTS as allowed by FEDERAL LAW SATUTES. The amount of time and the personal domestic physical and political attacks upon the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE are completely unacceptable. The DEFENSE of the CONSTITUTION and the INTEGRITY of the FEDERAL ELECTIONS should be made PRIORITY NUMBER 1 for the Defendants' Official Offices.

Case 3:22-cv-00065-RSH-NLS Document 13 Filed 08/12/22 PageID.62 Page 5 of 5


The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, prays the DEFENDANTS or the COURT will create FEDERAL ELECTIONS OFFICERS or FEDERAL CONSTABLES to DEFEND the ELECTIONS PROPERLY as the INTEGRITY of the ELECTIONS is in question by THE PEOPLE for good reason. The TAMPERING of FEDERAL ELECTIONS by the illegal ALTERING of ARTICLE I at the outset of the US REPRESENTATIVES' ELECTIONS is a CLEAR AND PRESENT DANGER to THE PEOPLE of the UNITED STATES of AMERICA.

Dated this 11 day of AUGUST, 2022.

Attorney Name

Case 3:22-cv-00065-RSH-NLS Document 13 Filed 08/12/22 PageID.58 Page 1 of \$

David J. Thistle, Pro Se 5580 LA Jolla Blvd. Suite 360 La Jolla, CA 92037 619-603-2466 david@thistle.world spacerangeradm1@icloud.com



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID JOHN THISTLE,

Case No.: 22-CV-0065

Plaintiff,

VR

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MOTION TO MODIFY ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR.

PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, &

MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND,

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL

Defendants

The Plaintiff filed the original Complaint 22-CV-0065 on January 18, 2022. The proper Jurisdiction for this Case Complaint is the United States Court Southern District of California as the Plaintiff is seeking a mitigation agreement suit with the Defendants due to their negligence in their sworn or affirmed Federal Duties "...to protect and DEFEND the Constitution of the United States against ALL enemies, both foreign and DOMESTIC..." (XIV Amendment.) The Defendants Offices have a DUTY to DEFEND the Constitution and in the light of the evidence within the 6 mentioned case complaints against the States, the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, brings a BREACH of the Defendants' DUTY before the COURT.

However, Plaintiff also filed 6 other related original Case Complaints on December 13, 2021:

THISTLE v. OHIO 21-CV-2071

THISTLE v. NEW HAMPSHIRE 21-CV-2072

THISTLE v. ALABAMA 21-CV-2073

THISTLE v. ALASKA 21-CV-2074

THISTLE v. ARKANSAS 21-CV-2075

THISTLE v. COLORADO 21-CV-2076

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE is a 100% Combat Related Service-Connected Disabled Veteran and requested, due to the enormous amount of fees required as a combination of the Case Complaints, a waiver of fees. That waiver was systematically DENIED, and the Plaintiff's motions were DISMISSED, "without prejudice." By HON. LINDA LOPEZ. However, after a review of Constitutional Jurisdictional Law, it is noted that Jurisdiction of the Aforementioned 6 Case Complaints is within the scope, responsibility, and Original Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of the United States. (Article III § 2)

In addition to the 6 Case Complaints filed, another precedent Case Complaint was filed months before containing the evidence of ELECTION TAMPERING within the State of OHIO for the SPECIAL ELECTION for the 11th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT of OHIO. This SPECIAL ELECTION had a "TRUNKATED TIMEFRAME" for FILING as a CANDIDATE. This Case is now going to be filed at the SUPREME COURT of the UNITED STATES.

Because the Plaintiff's, DAVID JOHN THISTLE'S, Case Complaints contained within them

CONCRETE IRREFUTABLE EVIDENCE of ELECTION TAMPERING. This illegal ELECTION TAMPERING

VIOLATED the Defendant's XIV AMNEDEMNT RIGHTS of "EQUAL PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW" to

become a Candidate for US REPRESENTATIVE. The ILLEGAL ALTERING of the CONSTITUTION of the

UNITED STATES also MISLEADS the GENERAL PUBLIC and purposefully WRONGLY EDUCATES the

PUBLIC with regard to the PROPER LAW and RIGHTS of CITIZENS found within the CONSTITUTION of the

UNITED STATES. "The ILLEGAL ALTERING of ARTICLE I § 2 ¶ 2 of the CONSTITUTION of the UNITED

STATES by adding illegal residency requirements in a malicious attempt to abridge and or disenfranchise a select

group or groups (See New Hampshire's Evidence of ARTICLE V Violation Changes to ARTILE I, requiring both

"DOMICILED and REGESTERED to VOTE.." whereby disenfranchising HOMELESS CITIZENS and others.) of

OTHERWISE QULAIFIED BALLOT CANDIDATES before and during the UNITED STATES

REPRESENTATIVES' ELECTIONS by changing the REQUIREMENTS OF RESIDENCY for the

QULALIFICATIONS of BALLOT CANDIDATES (See Evidentiary Forms from all 6 STATES Submitted for Case

Complaint 22-CV-0065 on JULY 12, 2022) without the IMMEDIATE ACTIONS of a WRIT of CEASE and

MOTION TO MODIFY ORIGINAL COMPLAINT - 2

DESIST ORDERS and WRTIS of INDITMENTS and or WARRANTS for ARREST for the GOVERNORS and 2 ELECTIONS OFFICERS of those 6 STATES, (at minimum ARTICLE V Violations to control and or alter the outcome of FEDERAL ELECTIONS within 6 STATES by excluding qualified Ballot Candidates and possibly 3 INCITEMENT of INSURRECTIONS.) in order to MAINTAIN FAIR ELECTIONS for the US 5 REPRESENTATIVES 2022 ELECTIONS. THIS COURT, along with the DEFENDANTS are GUILTY of NEGLIGENCE to DEFEND the Constitution of the UNITED STATES and NEGLIGENCE in the DUTY to 6 DEFEND and MAINTAIN FAIR ELECTIONS (XIV AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS). Therefore, the Plaintiff, 8 DAVID JOHN THISTLE is also bringing before the SUPREME COURT, ABUSE of DISCRETION CHARGES 9 for The Honorable LINDA LOPEZ and The Honorable SAMARTINO because they allowed the TAMPERED 10 ELCETIONS to CONTINUE in 6 STATES WITHOUT PROPER ACTION in DEFENSE of the INTEGRITY of ARTICLE I against DOMESTIC ENEMIES of the CONSTITUTION of the UNITED STATES whereby 11 ALTERING and PROHIBITING the INTEGRITY of a FAIR ELECTION OUTCOME for the UNITED STATES 12 13 HOUSE of REPRESENTATIVES' PRIMARY ELECTIONS. When EVIDENCE of ELECTION FRAUD and 14 TAMPERING is brought before the COURT and the DEFENDANTS' Offices with zero PUBLIC 15 ACCOUNTABILITY and/or TRANSPARENCY it provides for an increase in the LOSS of the PUBLIC TRUST 16 and a LOSS in the PEOPLE'S ABILITY to MAINTAIN FAIR ELECTIONS.

17

18

19

20

I will once again remind the COURT of the DANGER it is placing the CITIZENS and THE

PEOPLE in by NOT ACTING APPROPRIATLY and IMMEDIATELY ADDRESSING this PROOF of

ELECTION TAMPERING PUBLICLY. Only three elements existed for the JUSTIFICATION of the WRIT of the

DECLARATION of INDEPENDENCE.:

The INABILITY of THE PEOPLE to MAINTAIN FAIR ELECTIONS.

21 22

1. The ABUSE of the SEPARATION of POWERS.

2324

3. The ABUSE of the DUE PROCESS of LAW. (These cases present ARTICLE V Violations.)

25

26

27

28

The Negligence per se, is clear (1) there is definitely a violation of multiple Federal and States'
Regulations. (2) Article I of the Constitution is Clear with residency within the Right of Citizens to become US
Representatives it stipulates "...must be an inhabitant of the State WHEN Elected..." It does NOT specify
MOTION TO MODIFY ORIGINAL COMPLAINT - 3

1 "inl
2 Don
3 to A
4 not
5 elec
6 Nev
7 inju
8 all 6
9 JOH
10 as a

"inhabitant when a Candidate running for Office of US Representative." Moreover, the Constitution doesn't require Domiciled and Registered to VOTE in the State" as a Requirement for Candidates for US Representative according to ARTICLE I. Therefore, (3) these additional illegal requirements disenfranchise the homeless population, anyone not registered to vote, and anyone who is not a current resident of the State. "...must be an inhabitant WHEN elected." Doesn't require a domiciled resident and/or registered voter requirement as a Candidate for Office. (See New Hampshire's Attached Evidence.) Therefore, this would definitely "injure," most especially psychologically injure a homeless Veteran who is sworn to "... Support and DEFEND the Constitution of the United States against all enemies both foreign and DOMESTIC." This is a XIV AMENDEMNT Sec 1 Violation of the Plaintiff', DAVID JOHN THISTLE'S RIGHTS to become a Ballot Candidate for US REPRESENTATIVE in the same timely manner as all of the other Candidates. It is also a XIV AMENDEMNT Sec. 3 disqualification of Official Office for anyone who is aware and did NOT taking the Proper Legal Action to Remove the STATES' Governors and Elections Officers and Publicly Address these ARTICLE V, ARTICLE X, and XIV AMENDMENT VIOLATION of the Officers of 6 STATES. (In addition to other, not so obvious, Violations not listed.) Especially after the January 6th RIOT deemed INSURRECTION.

The inaction of this COURT and the DEFENDANTS' OFFICES has PERPETUATED UNFAIR ELECTIONS within the UNITED STATES, thereby, REENFORCING THE LOSS OF THE PUBLIC TRUST.

The PLAINTIFF respectfully requests the trial to have the first immediate date available for a MITIGATION of these EGREGIOUS CRIMINAL and CIVIL ACTS against both THE PEOPLE and the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, seeks the maximum fiscal amount of damages for NEGLIGENT behaviors and DERELICTION of DUTIES from the DEFENDANTS as allowed by FEDERAL LAW SATUTES. The amount of time and the personal domestic physical and political attacks upon the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE are completely unacceptable. The DEFENSE of the CONSTITUTION and the INTEGRITY of the FEDERAL ELECTIONS should be made PRIORITY NUMBER 1 for the Defendants' Official Offices.

Case 3:22-cv-00065-RSH-NLS Document 13 Filed 08/12/22 PageID.62 Page 5 of \$

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, prays the DEFENDANTS or the COURT will create FEDERAL ELECTIONS OFFICERS or FEDERAL CONSTABLES to DEFEND the ELECTIONS PROPERLY as the INTEGRITY of the ELECTIONS is in question by THE PEOPLE for good reason. The TAMPERING of FEDERAL ELECTIONS by the illegal ALTERING of ARTICLE I at the outset of the US REPRESENTATIVES' ELECTIONS is a CLEAR AND PRESENT DANGER to THE PEOPLE of the UNITED STATES of AMERICA.

Dated this 11 day of AUGUST, 2022.

Attorney Name

AUG 12 2022 David J. Thistle, Pro Se 1 5580 LA Jolla Blvd. Suite 360 La Jolla, CA 92037 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT 2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 619-603-2466 BY DEPUTY david@thistle.world 3 spacerangeradm1@icloud.com 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 Case No.: 22-CV-0065 - PSH · N LS DAVID JOHN THISTLE. 7 Plaintiff, 8 MOTION TO MODIFY ORIGINAL COMPLAINT vs. 9 JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR. 10 PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, & 11 MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, 12 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL 13 Defendants 14 The Plaintiff filed the original Complaint 22-CV-0065 on January 18, 2022. The proper 15 Jurisdiction for this Case Complaint is the United States Court Southern District of California as the Plaintiff is 16 seeking a mitigation agreement suit with the Defendants due to their negligence in their sworn or affirmed Federal 17 Duties "...to protect and DEFEND the Constitution of the United States against ALL enemies, both foreign and 18 DOMESTIC..." (XIV Amendment.) The Defendants Offices have a DUTY to DEFEND the Constitution and in 19 the light of the evidence within the 6 mentioned case complaints against the States, the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN 20 THISTLE, brings a BREACH of the Defendants' DUTY before the COURT. 21 However, Plaintiff also filed 6 other related original Case Complaints on December 13, 2021: 22 THISTLE v. OHIO 21-CV-2071 23 THISTLE v. NEW HAMPSHIRE 21-CV-2072 24 THISTLE v. ALABAMA 21-CV-2073 25 THISTLE v. ALASKA 21-CV-2074 26 THISTLE v. ARKANSAS 21-CV-2075 27 THISTLE v. COLORADO 21-CV-2076 28 MOTION TO MODIFY ORIGINAL COMPLAINT - 1

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE is a 100% Combat Related Service-Connected Disabled Veteran and requested, due to the enormous amount of fees required as a combination of the Case Complaints, a waiver of fees. That waiver was systematically DENIED, and the Plaintiff's motions were DISMISSED, "without prejudice." By HON. LINDA LOPEZ. However, after a review of Constitutional Jurisdictional Law, it is noted that Jurisdiction of the Aforementioned 6 Case Complaints is within the scope, responsibility, and Original Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of the United States. (Article III § 2)

In addition to the 6 Case Complaints filed, another precedent Case Complaint was filed months before containing the evidence of ELECTION TAMPERING within the State of OHIO for the SPECIAL ELECTION for the 11th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT of OHIO. This Case went before The Honorable JANIS L. SAMMARTINO. This SPECIAL ELECTION had a "TRUNKATED TIMEFRAME" for FILING as a CANDIDATE. This Case is now going to be filed at the SUPREME COURT of the UNITED STATES.

Because the Plaintiff's, DAVID JOHN THISTLE'S, Case Complaints contained within them

CONCRETE IRREFUTABLE EVIDENCE of ELECTION TAMPERING. This illegal ELECTION TAMPERING

VIOLATED the Defendant's XIV AMNEDEMNT RIGHTS of "EQUAL PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW" to

become a Candidate for US REPRESENTATIVE. The ILLEGAL ALTERING of the CONSTITUTION of the

UNITED STATES also MISLEADS the GENERAL PUBLIC and purposefully WRONGLY EDUCATES the

PUBLIC with regard to the LAW and RIGHTS of CITIZENS found within the CONSTITUTION of the UNITED

STATES." The ILLEGAL ALTERING of ARTICLE I § 2 ¶ 2 of the CONSTITUTION of the UNITED STATES

by adding illegal residency requirements and voter registration requirements is a malicious attempt to abridge and or

disenfranchise a select group or groups (See New Hampshire's Evidence of ARTICLE V Violation Changes to

ARTILE I, requiring both "DOMICILED and REGESTERED to VOTE." (See: New Hampshire Secretary of States'

website Qualifications) The purposefully illegal altering of Article I is a direct attempt at disenfranchising

HOMELESS CITIZENS and others. TO ILLEGALLY LIMIT OTHERWISE QULAIFIED BALLOT

CANDIDATES before and during the UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVES' ELECTIONS by changing the

REQUIREMENTS OF RESIDENCY and the QULALIFICATIONS of BALLOT CANDIDATES (See Evidentiary

MOTION TO MODIFY ORIGINAL COMPLAINT - 2

Forms from all 6 STATES Submitted for Case Complaint 22-CV-0065 on JULY 12, 2022) without the IMMEDIATE ACTIONS of a WRIT of CEASE and DESIST ORDERS and WRTIS of INDITMENTS and or WARRANTS for ARREST for the GOVERNORS and ELECTIONS OFFICERS of those 6 STATES, (at minimum ARTICLE V Violations to control and or alter the outcome of FEDERAL ELECTIONS within 6 STATES by excluding qualified Ballot Candidates and possibly INCITEMENT of INSURRECTIONS.) in order to MAINTAIN FAIR ELECTIONS for the US REPRESENTATIVES 2022 ELECTIONS is to be in collusion or in agreement with the CRIME. THIS COURT, along with the DEFENDANTS are GUILTY of NEGLIGENCE to DEFEND the Constitution of the UNITED STATES and NEGLIGENCE in the DUTY to DEFEND and MAINTAIN FAIR ELECTIONS (XIV AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS of OATHS or AFFIRMATIONS of OFFICE). Therefore, the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE is also bringing before the SUPREME COURT, ABUSE of DISCRETION CHARGES for The Honorable LINDA LOPEZ and The Honorable Janis L. SAMMARTINO because they allowed the TAMPERED ELCETIONS to CONTINUE in 6 STATES WITHOUT PROPER ACTION in DEFENSE of the INTEGRITY of ARTICLE I against DOMESTIC ENEMIES of the CONSTITUTION of the UNITED STATES whereby ALTERING and PROHIBITING the INTEGRITY of a FAIR ELECTION OUTCOME for the UNITED STATES HOUSE of REPRESENTATIVES' PRIMARY and FINAL ELECTIONS (XIV AMENDMENT VIOLATION.) When EVIDENCE of ELECTION FRAUD and TAMPERING is brought before the COURT and the DEFENDANTS' OFFICES with ZERO PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY and/or TRANSPARENCY it provides for an increase in the LOSS of the PUBLIC TRUST and a LOSS in the PEOPLE'S ABILITY to MAINTAIN FAIR ELECTIONS and becomes a CLEAR and PRESENT DANGER to THE PEOPLE of THE UNITED STATES.

20

22

23

24

25

18

19

I will once again remind the COURT of the DANGER it is placing the CITIZENS and THE

PEOPLE in by NOT ACTING APPROPRIATLY and IMMEDIATELY ADDRESSING this PROOF of

ELECTION TAMPERING PUBLICLY is UNACCEPTABLE. THIS COURT IS ENCOURAGING

INSURRECTION! Only three elements existed for the JUSTIFICATION of the WRIT of the DECLARATION of
INDEPENDENCE.:

26

27

28

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

10 11

13 14

12

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

25

26

2728

MOTION TO MODIFY ORIGINAL COMPLAINT - 4

The ABUSE of the SEPARATION of POWERS.

- 2. The INABILITY of THE PEOPLE to MAINTAIN FAIR ELECTIONS.
- 3. The ABUSE of the DUE PROCESS of LAW. (These cases present ARTICLE V Violations.)

The Negligence per se, is clear (1) there is definitely a violation of multiple Federal and States' Regulations. (2) Article I of the Constitution is Clear with residency within the Right of Citizens to become US Representatives it stipulates "...must be an inhabitant of the State WHEN Elected..." It does NOT specify "inhabitant when a Candidate running for Office of US Representative." Moreover, the Constitution doesn't require Domiciled and Registered to VOTE in the State" as a Requirement for Candidates for US Representative according to ARTICLE I. Therefore, (3) these additional illegal requirements disenfranchise the homeless population, anyone not registered to vote, and anyone who is not a current resident of the State. "...must be an inhabitant WHEN elected." Doesn't require a domiciled resident and/ or registered voter requirement as a Candidate for Office. (See New Hampshire's Attached Evidence.) Therefore, this would definitely "injure," most especially psychologically injure a homeless Veteran who is sworn to "... Support and DEFEND the Constitution of the United States against all enemies both foreign and DOMESTIC." This is a XIV AMENDEMNT Sec 1 Violation of the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE'S RIGHTS to become a Ballot Candidate for US REPRESENTATIVE in the same timely manner as all of the other Candidates. (XIV AMENDEMNT Sec. 3) To have the proof, evidence, and knowledge of these illegal Disqualification of Official Ballot Candidates by States ALTERING THE US CONSTITUTION ARTLICE I (ARTICLE V Violation) by ANY and ALL FEDERAL OFFICERS, ELECTED or APPOINTED, who are aware and did NOT take the Proper Legal Action to Remove the STATES' Governors and Elections Officers and Publicly Address these ARTICLE V, X AMENDEMNT, and XIV AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS of the Officers of 6 STATES. (In addition to other, not so obvious, Violations not listed. Especially after the January 6th RIOT deemed INSURRECTION.) are to be deemed in agreement or collusion with the crime and or civil attacks upon the Constitution of the United States, The People, and the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE.

The inaction of this COURT and the DEFENDANTS' OFFICES has PERPETUATED UNFAIR ELECTIONS within the UNITED STATES. Thereby, REENFORCING THE LOSS OF THE PUBLIC TRUST.

The ILLEGAL ALTERING of ARTICLE I by requiring "residency, domiciled and registered to vote." (See: New Hampshire's Secretary of State's Official Website) of A CANDIDATE" NOT "INHABITING THE STATE "WHEN ELECTED." (ARTICLE I SEC 2 PAR2.) made it impossible for the Plaintiff. DAVID JOHN THISTLE, a Qualified Ballot Candidate to raise the Election Funding needed for a timely positive Campaign outcome. This altering by addition of qualifications to disenfranchise an individual, a group, or groups of qualified Candidates is an EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE VIOLATION as well. (XIV AMENDEMNT.)

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, requests the COURT to immediately remove ALL the Public ELCTIONS OFFICERS from the OFFICES of the 6 STATES in question and immediately write orders for a CEASE and DESIST of the UNFAIR ELECTIONS to MAINTAIN THE PUBLIC TRUST.

The PLAINTIFF respectfully requests the trial to have the first immediate date available for a MITIGATION of these EGREGIOUS CRIMINAL and CIVIL ACTS against THE CONSTITUTION of the UNITED STATES, THE PEOPLE, and the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, seeks the maximum fiscal amount of damages for NEGLIGENT behaviors and DERELICTION of DUTIES and obvious BREACH of DUTIES from the DEFENDANTS and OFFICERS of the COURT as allowed by FEDERAL LAW SATUTES. This BREACH of DUTY by FEDERAL OFFICERS in POSITIONS of PUBLIC TRUST has caused the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, serious fiscal harm by NOT protecting the Plaintiff's, DAVID JOHN THISTLE'S RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS OF LAW. (XIV AMENDEMNT.) The amount of time and the personal domestic physical and political attacks upon the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE are completely unacceptable. The DEFENSE of the CONSTITUTION and the INTEGRITY of the FEDERAL ELECTIONS should be made PRIORITY NUMBER 1 for the Defendants' Official Offices.

Case 3:22-cv-00065-RSH-NLS Document 14 Filed 08/12/22 PageID.68 Page 6 of $\mathfrak b$

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, prays the DEFENDANTS, the COURT, or the CONGRESS, will create FEDERAL ELECTIONS OFFICERS or FEDERAL CONSTABLES to DEFEND the ELECTIONS PROPERLY as the INTEGRITY of the ELECTIONS is in question by THE PEOPLE for good reason. The TAMPERING of FEDERAL ELECTIONS by the illegal ALTERING of ARTICLE I at the outset of the US REPRESENTATIVES' ELECTIONS is a CLEAR AND PRESENT DANGER to THE PEOPLE of the UNITED STATES of AMERICA. MAY GOD HELP US TO MAINTAIN THE PEACE.

Dated this 12 day of AUGUST, 2022.

DAVID JOHN THISTLE, PROS

	Case 3:22-cv-00065-RSH-NLS Document 16	Filed 08/24/22 PageID.72 Page 1 of 2			
1					
2					
3		•			
4					
5					
6					
7	,				
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT			
9	SOUTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA			
10					
11	DAVID JOHN THISTLE,	Case No.: 22-CV-65-RSH-NLS			
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING MOTIONS TO			
13	v.	AMEND COMPLAINT			
14	JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR.,	[ECF Nos. 13, 14]			
15	President of the United States, and MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, United				
16	States Attorney General,				
17	Defendants.				
18					
19	On August 12, 2022, Plaintiff filed tw	o "Motion[s] To Modify Original			
20	Complaint" ("Motions"). ECF Nos. 13, 14.	The Court construes the Motions as motions			
21	to amend Plaintiff's Complaint, ECF No. 1. Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure				
22	15(a)(1)(A), Plaintiff "may amend [his] pleading once as a matter of course within[] 21				
23	days after serving it." Plaintiff has not yet properly served the Complaint and therefore				
24	may amend the Complaint once as a matter of	of right.			
25	The Court therefore GRANTS the Mo	otions. Plaintiff is hereby ORDERED to file			
26	his amended complaint within twenty-one (2	21) days of the date of this Order. The			
27	amended complaint Plaintiff files shall supersede rather than supplement the original				

Complaint. Consistent with the Court's August 1, 2022 Order, Plaintiff must properly

i i i	serve the operative complaint					
	complaint, the amended comp	plaint—b	y Septembe			
	IT IS SO ORDERED.			Robert	3	Hma
- 1	Dated: August 24, 2022			Hon. Robert		
5				United State		
6						
7						
8						
9						
10				V		
11	•					:
12		,				
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
			,			
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25			•			
26						
27						
28						

Case 3:22-cv-00065-RSH-NLS Document 16 Filed 08/24/22 PageID.73 Page 2 of 2




NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCY

CASE NUMBER: 3:22-cv-00065-RSH-NLS					
TITLE: Thistle v. Biden et al					
FILED DATE: 8/23/2022 DOCUMENT NO.: N/A					
		DIATE COURT DATE			
CUMENT FILED BY: Da	wid John Thistle				
n the electronic filing of the	e above referenced docume	ent(s), the following discrepancies are noted:			
HEREBY ORDERED:					
•	•	•			
The Clerk is directed to Mo	ODIFY the docket text of	the filed document to the following:			
		Clerk STRIKE the document from the			
Rejected documents will be	e returned to pro se filer.				
August 23, 2022	CHAMBERS OF:	The Honorable Robert S. Huie			
Il Parties	Ву:	Robert & Him			
	E: Thistle v. Biden et al ED DATE: 8/23/2022 CUMENT TITLE: SECONDENT FILED BY: Date of the electronic filing of the court has received from Plant Date." The document is munications. HEREBY ORDERED: The document will remain compliant documents may The Clerk is directed to Market and Serve a copy of Rejected documents will be curther failure to comply with rocedures Manual may lead August 23, 2022	DOCUMENT TITLE: SECOND MOTION FOR IMMERUMENT FILED BY: David John Thistle In the electronic filing of the above referenced document Date." The document is not properly filed and violamunications. HEREBY ORDERED: The document will remain as filed despite the discreptompliant documents may be stricken from the record The Clerk is directed to MODIFY the docket text of the document is REJECTED. It is ordered that the Corecord, and serve a copy of this order on all parties. Rejected documents will be returned to prose filer. For a construction of the constructio			

U Case 3:22-cv-00065-RSH-NLS Document 18 Filed 08/26/22 PageID.85 Page 1 of 10

David J. Thistle, Pro Se 5580 LA Jolla Blvd. Suite 360 La Jolla, CA 92037 619-603-2466 david@thistle.world spacerangeradm1@icloud.com



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID JOHN THISTLE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR.

PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, &

MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND,

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL

Defendants

Case No.: 22-CV-0065

SECOND MOTION FOR IMMEDIATE COURT

DATE 35 DAY RULE- 27 SEPTEMBER 2022

PER CHAMBERS RULES, NO ORAL ARGUMENT UNLESS SEPARATLY ORDERED BY THE COURT

The Plaintiff filed the original Complaint 22-CV-0065 on January 18, 2022. This Court required a 35 Day wait time for a Calendar date for the Case Complaint to be heard. This the second 35 day wait time and the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, respectfully requests the COURT CONSIDER an earlier COURT CALENDAR DATE. However, if the First available appropriate date is deemed 27 September 2022 by the COURT, the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE gladly accepts the date. Jurisdiction for this Case Complaint is the United States Court Southern District of California as the Plaintiff is seeking a mitigation agreement suit with the Defendants due to their negligence in their sworn or affirmed Federal Duties "...to protect and DEFEND the Constitution of the United States against ALL enemies, both foreign and DOMESTIC..." (XIV Amendment.) The Defendants Offices have a DUTY to DEFEND the Constitution and in the light of the evidence within the following 6 mentioned case complaints against the States, the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, brings a BREACH of the Defendants' DUTY before the COURT that has caused the Plaintiff the inability to become a Ballot Candidate for US Representative in the same timely manner as the competition. This BREACH of DUTY caused a serious inability to FUNDRAISE properly for OFFICE due to the MISINFORMATION or DISINFORMATION of the "OFFICIAL WEBSITES of the 6 (SIX) STATES' SECRETARY OF STATES' WEBSITES." As a reminder, this "DOMESTIC SECOND MOTION FOR IMMEDIATE COURT DATE 35 DAY RULE- 27 SEPTEMBER 2022PER CHAMBERS RULES, NO ORAL ARGUMENT UNLESS SEPARATLY ORDERED BY THE COURT - 1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20-

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

TERROERISM," allowed to occur under the EXECUTIVE BRANCH without immediate response places AMERICAN LIVES in DANGER.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, filed these 6 related original Case Complaints on December 13, 2021:

THISTLE v. OHIO 21-CV-2071

THISTLE v. NEW HAMPSHIRE 21-CV-2072

THISTLE v. ALABAMA 21-CV-2073

THISTLE v. ALASKA 21-CV-2074

THISTLE v. ARKANSAS 21-CV-2075

THISTLE v. COLORADO 21-CV-2076

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE is a 100% Combat Related Service-Connected Disabled Veteran and requested, due to the enormous amount of fees required as a combination of the Case Complaints, a waiver of fees. That waiver was systematically DENIED, and the Plaintiff's motions were DISMISSED, "without prejudice." By HON. LINDA LOPEZ. Moreover, within the Case Response, the Hon. Linda Lopez did understand that the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE This was a purposeful psychological attack upon the Plaintiff, a 100% Combat Related Disabled Veteran who while attached to The Naval Special Warfare Command, US NAVY SEAL Training, was in fact, injured for life. This unwarranted psychological attack due to a respectful request for a waiver of fees to Protect and Defend the Constitution of the United States was not just unprofessional on behalf of the Court but an unwarranted provocation to induce a negative psychological response by the Plaintiff;

In addition to the 6 Case Complaints filed, another precedent Case Complaint was filed months before containing the evidence of ELECTION TAMPERING within the State of OHIO for the SPECIAL ELECTION for the 11th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT of OHIO. This Case went before The Honorable JANIS L SAMMARTINO. This SPECIAL ELECTION had a "TRUNKATED TIMEFRAME" for FILING as a CANDIDATE. This Case is now going to be filed at the SUPREME COURT of the UNITED STATES.

The following elements of fact exist before the Court in this case complaint.

- 1. As of today, there are 77 CALENDAR DAYS to the 2022 MIDTERM ELECTIONS.
- 2. As of December 13, 2021, there were 330 CALENDAR DAYS to the 2022 MIDTERM ELECTIONS. Therefore, the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, gave this COURT and the EXECUTIVE BRANCH ample time to provide a FAIR MIDTERM ELECTION to THE PEOPLE of the UNITED STATES and provide the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, the ability to MITIGATE the COM PLAINT and become a proper CANDIDATE for US REPRESENTATIVES' OFFICE with minimal personal and professional Damages.
- 3. The United States Constitution is the Supreme Law of the Land. Supremacy Clause (ARTICLE VI, Par 2)
- 4. Every Judge within the United States has a DUTY, obligation, and privilege to DEFEND the Constitution of the United States. Supremacy Clause: (Article 1 Section 2 Paragraph 2)
 Clearly Defines the requirements for United States Representatives. "...when elected, be an Inhabitant of that State in which he{she} shall be chosen."
- 5. The X Amendment stipulates: "The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to The People."
- 6. Article V of the Constitution Clearly defines how the Construction or Laws of the

 Constitution may be Legally Altered: "... two thirds of Both Houses, or on the application of

 two thirds of the Several State, [Congress] shall call a Convention."
- 7. The President of the United States, The Defendant, JOSESPH ROBINETTE BIDEN JR., and The Attorney General of the United States, the Defendant, MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND have a SWORN Duty to "DEFEND the Constitution of the United States against ALL ENEMIES to the best of their ability." This includes "DOMESTIC ENENMIES." Oath of Office POTUS January 20, 2021. Oath for AG, March 11, 2021
- 8. Official Elected and or Appointed Officers of a total of 6 (SIX) States of the United States did illegally alter ARTICLE 1 to limit and disenfranchise otherwise qualified Ballot Candidates in

an attempt to control or alter the outcome of the US Representatives' Elections without arrests or proper indictments by both this Court and the Executive Branch after repetitive notifications and concrete irrefutable evidence of the Election Tampering on the Official State Websites was presented to both branches of Government.

- 9. The loss of the PUBLIC TRUST in FAIR ELECTIONS caused an INSURRECTIOUS RIOT on JANUARY 6, 2021, without concrete irrefutable evidence of election fraud or tampering. Therefore, this case complaint and the 6 States' Case Complaints herein, provide a looking glass perspective for the views of THE PEOPLE and proper treatment and attention of this case complaint will serve as a toll to RESTORE the PUBLIC TRUST in FAIR ELECTIONS.
- 10. This Court is well aware the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, presents these cases before the Court PRO SE and is NOT A LICENCED ATTOURNEY.
- 11. The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, did officially request a LICEN ATTOURNEY to be appointed by the COURT for this DANGEROUS CASE.
- 12. Because the Plaintiff's, DAVID JOHN THISTLE'S, Case Complaints contained within them CONCRETE IRREFUTABLE EVIDENCE of ELECTION TAMPERING. This illegal ELECTION TAMPERING VIOLATED the Defendant'S XIV AMNEDEMNT RIGHTS of "EQUAL PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW" to become a Candidate for US REPRESENTATIVE. The ILLEGAL ALTERING of the CONSTITUTION of the UNITED STATES also MISLEADS the GENERAL PUBLIC and purposefully WRONGLY—EDUGATES-the-PUBLIC with-regard-to-the-LAW-and-RIGHTS-of-CITIZENS-found within the CONSTITUTION of the UNITED STATES." The ILLEGAL ALTERING of ARTICLE I § 2 ¶ 2 of the CONSTITUTION of the UNITED STATES by adding illegal residency requirements and voter registration requirements is a malicious attempt to abridge and or disenfranchise a select group or groups (See New Hampshire's Evidence of ARTICLE V Violation Changes to ARTILE I, requiring both "DOMICILED and REGESTERED to VOTE." (See: New Hampshire Secretary of States' website Qualifications) The purposefully illegal altering of Article I is a direct attempt at disenfranchising HOMELESS CITIZENS and

27

28

others. TO ILLEGALLY LIMIT OTHERWISE QULAIFIED BALLOT CANDIDATES before and during the UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVES' ELECTIONS by changing the REQUIREMENTS OF RESIDENCY and the QULALIFICATIONS of BALLOT CANDIDATES (See Evidentiary Forms from all 6 STATES Submitted for Case Complaint 22-CV-0065 on JULY 12, 2022) without the IMMEDIATE ACTIONS of a WRIT of CEASE and DESIST ORDERS and WRTIS of INDITMENTS and or WARRANTS for ARREST for the GOVERNORS and ELECTIONS OFFICERS of those 6 STATES, (at minimum ARTICLE V Violations to control and or alter the outcome of FEDERAL ELECTIONS within 6 STATES by excluding qualified Ballot Candidates and possibly INCITEMENT of INSURRECTIONS.) in order to MAINTAIN FAIR ELECTIONS for the US REPRESENTATIVES 2022 ELECTIONS is to be in collusion or in agreement with the CRIME. THIS COURT, along with the DEFENDANTS are GUILTY of NEGLIGENCE to DEFEND the Constitution of the UNITED STATES and NEGLIGENCE in the DUTY to DEFEND and MAINTAIN FAIR ELECTIONS (XIV AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS of OATHS or AFFIRMATIONS of OFFICE). Therefore, the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE is also bringing before the SUPREME COURT, ABUSE of DISCRETION CHARGES for The Honorable LINDA LOPEZ and The Honorable Janis L. SAMMARTINO because they allowed the TAMPERED ELCETIONS to CONTINUE in 6 STATES WITHOUT PROPER ACTION in DEFENSE of the INTEGRITY of ARTICLE I against -DOMESTIC-ENEMIES-of the CONSTITUTION-of the UNITED STATES whereby ALTERING and PROHIBITING the INTEGRITY of a FAIR ELECTION OUTCOME for the UNITED STATES HOUSE of REPRESENTATIVES' PRIMARY and FINAL ELECTIONS (XIV AMENDMENT VIOLATION.) When EVIDENCE of ELECTION FRAUD and TAMPERING is brought before the COURT and the DEFENDANTS' OFFICES with ZERO PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY and/or TRANSPARENCY it provides for an increase in the LOSS of the PUBLIC TRUST and a LOSS in the PEOPLE'S ABILITY

to MAINTAIN FAIR ELECTIONS and becomes a CLEAR and PRESENT DANGER to THE PEOPLE of THE UNITED STATES.

I will once again remind the COURT of the DANGER it is placing the CITIZENS and THE

PEOPLE in by NOT ACTING APPROPRIATLY WITHOUT HASTE and IMMEDIATELY ADDRESSING this

PROOF of ELECTION TAMPERING PUBLICLY is UNACCEPTABLE. THIS COURT IS ENCOURAGING

INSURRECTION! Only three elements existed for the JUSTIFICATION of the WRIT of the DECLARATION of INDEPENDENCE.:

- 1. The ABUSE of the SEPARATION of POWERS.
- 2. The INABILITY of THE PEOPLE to MAINTAIN FAIR ELECTIONS.
- 3. The ABUSE of the DUE PROCESS of LAW. (These cases present ARTICLE V Violations.)

The Negligence per se, is clear (1) there is definitely a violation of multiple Federal and States'

Regulations. (2) Article I of the Constitution is Clear with residency within the Right of Citizens to become US

Representatives it stipulates "...must be an inhabitant of the State WHEN Elected..." It does NOT specify

"inhabitant when a Candidate running for Office of US Representative." Moreover, the Constitution doesn't require

Domiciled and Registered to VOTE in the State" as a Requirement for Candidates for US Representative according

to ARTIGLE-I.—Therefore, (3) these additional illegal requirements disenfranchise the homeless population, anyone

not registered to vote, and anyone who is not a current resident of the State. "...must be an inhabitant WHEN

elected." Doesn't require a domiciled resident and/ or registered voter requirement as a Candidate for Office. (See

New Hampshire's Attached Evidence.) Therefore, this would definitely "injure," most especially psychologically

injure a homeless Veteran who is sworn to "...Support and DEFEND the Constitution of the United States against

all enemies both foreign and DOMESTIC." This is a XIV AMENDEMNT Sec I Violation of the Plaintiff', DAVID

JOHN THISTLE'S RIGHTS to become a Ballot Candidate for US REPRESENTATIVE in THE SAME TIMELY

manner as all of the other Candidates. (XIV AMENDEMNT Sec. 3) To illegally ALTER or DELAY a

SECOND MOTION FOR IMMEDIATE COURT DATE 35 DAY RULE- 27 SEPTEMBER 2022PER

CHAMBERS RULES, NO ORAL ARGUMENT UNLESS SEPARATLY ORDERED BY THE COURT - 6

1 CANDIDATES' TIMEFRAME and ILLEGALLY ALTER the PUBLIC'S PERCEPTION of the LEGALITY of 2 CANDIDACY inhibits the ability to PROPERLY FUND RAISE as a CANDIDATE for OFFICE of United States 3 REPRESENTATIVE. To have the proof, evidence, and knowledge of these illegal Disqualification of Official 4 Ballot Candidates by States ALTERING THE US CONSTITUTION ARTLICE I (ARTICLE V Violation) by ANY 5 and ALL FEDERAL OFFICERS, ELECTED or APPOINTED, who are aware and did NOT take the Proper Legal Action to Remove the STATES' Governors and Elections Officers and Publicly Address these ARTICLE V, X AMENDEMNT, and XIV AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS of the Officers of 6 STATES. (In addition to other, not so obvious, Violations not listed. Especially after the January 6th RIOT deemed INSURRECTION.) are to be deemed in agreement or collusion with the crime and or civil attacks upon the Constitution of the United States, The People, and the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

10

6

7

8

9

The inaction of this COURT and the DEFENDANTS' OFFICES has PERPETUATED UNFAIR ELECTIONS within the UNITED STATES. Thereby, REENFORCING THE LOSS OF THE PUBLIC TRUST. The ILLEGAL ALTERING of ARTICLE I by requiring "residency, domiciled and registered to vote." (See: New Hampshire's Secretary of State's Official Website) of A CANDIDATE" NOT "INHABITING THE STATE "WHEN ELECTED." (ARTICLE I SEC 2 PAR2.) made it impossible for the Plaintiff. DAVID JOHN THISTLE, a Qualified Ballot Candidate to raise the Election Funding needed for a timely positive Campaign outcome. This altering by addition of qualifications to disenfranchise an individual, a group, or groups of qualified Candidates is an EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE VIOLATION as well. (XIV AMENDEMNT.)

-20

21

22

23

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, requests the COURT to immediately remove ALL the Public ELCTIONS OFFICERS from the OFFICES of the 6 STATES in question and immediately write orders for a CEASE and DESIST of the UNFAIR ELECTIONS to MAINTAIN THE PUBLIC TRUST.

24

25

26

The PLAINTIFF respectfully requests the trial to have the first immediate date available for a MITIGATION of these EGREGIOUS CRIMINAL and CIVIL ACTS against THE CONSTITUTION of the UNITED STATES, THE PEOPLE, and the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE.

27 28

Case 3:22-cv-00065-RSH-NLS Document 18 Filed 08/26/22 PageID.92 Page 8 of 10 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 MITIGATED DAMAGES REQUEST 8 9 The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, seeks the following fiscal amount for damages due to 10 BREACH of DUTY and NEGLIGENT behaviors of the FEDERAL OFFICERS of the EXECUTIVE BRANCH and THIS COURT, THE JUDICIAL BRACH. 11 12 The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, seeks the immediate surrender of ALL PAY 13 and ALLOWANCES for 2 years of SERVICE to the PLAINTIFF for BREACH of DUTY from, 14 The DEFENDANT, JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN JR., PRESIDENT of the UNITED STATES. 15 & The DEFENDANT, MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, UNITED STATES' ATTORNEY GENERAL. 16 17 2. The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE prays that the Executive Branch will immediately 18 and without haste arrest and detain the Officers of the 6 (SIX) States that knowingly illegally 19 altered the Constitution of the United States to limit or truncate the time for a successful campaign -20for otherwise qualified Ballot Candidates for US Representative. This filegal altering of ARTICLE 1 is considered "Domestic Terrorism." Therefore, without arrests for the Crime, it will 21 22 continue at the highest levels of the States. These types of crimes by Public Servants Sworn to 23 Defend the Constitution seriously cause Domestic Unrest, Insurrection, Riots, and Psychological 24 Damage to the Citizens. Most especially Veterans like the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE. 25 3. The DEFENDANTS to jointly provide immediate Housing to the Plaintiff, DAVID 26 JOHN THISTLE equal in Real Estate VALUE and all SERVICES of THE WHITE HOUSE 27 including STAFF SALARIES in CALIFORNIA and the 6 STATES who illegally ALTERED 28 SECOND MOTION FOR IMMEDIATE COURT DATE 35 DAY RULE- 27 SEPTEMBER 2022PER

CHAMBERS RULES, NO ORAL ARGUMENT UNLESS SEPARATLY ORDERED BY THE COURT - 8

ARTICLE 1 without a PROPER RESPONSE from the EXECUTIVE BRANCH or THE COURTS. Those STATES are OHIO, NEW HAMPSHIRE, ALASKA, ARIZONA, ARKANSAS, and COLORADO.

4. The Plaintiff requests an immediate Official United States Naval Commission to FLEET ADMIRAL of the UNITED STATES NAVY with all pay and benefits inclusive of DOMESTIC FEDERAL OFFICER ARREST POWERS due to the "DOMESTIC TERRORISM" within the NATION. The seriousness of this DOMESTIC TERRORISM is not being properly addressed by the EXECUTIVE BRANCH, the JUDICIAL BRANCH, or the LEGISLATIVE BRANCH and what is being referred to as "Non-Jurisdictional Issues" by local and State Police. Basically, this case complaint has become a "HOT POTATO ISSUE."


FEDERAL OFFICERS in POSITIONS of PUBLIC TRUST have caused the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, serious fiscal harm by NOT protecting the Plaintiff's, DAVID JOHN THISTLE'S RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS OF LAW. (XIV AMENDEMNT.) The amount of time, the personal domestic physical, and political attacks upon the Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE are completely unacceptable. The DEFENSE of the CONSTITUTION and the INTEGRITY of the FEDERAL ELECTIONS should be made PRIORITY NUMBER 1 for the Defendants' Official Offices.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, prays the DEFENDANTS, the COURT, or the GONGRESS, will-create FEDERAL ELECTIONS OFFICERS or FEDERAL CONSTABLES to DEFEND the ELECTIONS PROPERLY as the INTEGRITY of the ELECTIONS is in question by THE PEOPLE for good reason. The TAMPERING of FEDERAL ELECTIONS by the illegal ALTERING of ARTICLE I at the outset of the US REPRESENTATIVES' ELECTIONS is a CLEAR AND PRESENT DANGER to THE PEOPLE of the UNITED STATES of AMERICA. MAY GOD HELP US TO MAINTAIN THE PEACE.

Dated this 23rd day of AUGUST

ELECTRONICLY SIGNED SSN XXX-58-8775

DAVID JOHN PHISTLE, PRO SE



Case 3:22-cv-00065-RSH-NLS Document 18-1 Filed 08/26/22

22 PageID 95 Page 1 of 1 AUG 2 6 2022 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

From

RefDesk

david@thistle.world

Sent:

Friday, August 26, 2022 3:24 PM

To:

RefDesk

Subject:

Fwd: CASE COMPLAINT NUMBER 22-CV-0065 THISTLE v. BIDEN & GARLAND - MOTION

FOR COURT DATE

Attachments:

COURT DATE-2 .docx

----- Original Message ------

Subject: Fwd: CASE COMPLAINT NUMBER 22-CV-0065 THISTLE v. BIDEN & GARLAND - MOTION FOR COURT DATE

Date: 2022-08-23 12:04 From: david@thistle.world

To: spacerangeradm1@icloud.com

----- Original Message ------

Subject: CASE COMPLAINT NUMBER 22-CV-0065 THISTLE v. BIDEN & GARLAND - MOTION FOR COURT DATE

Date: 2022-08-23 12:04 From: david@thistle.world

To: efile_Huie@casd.uscourts.gov

Dear Hon. Judge Huie,

Your Honor, I pray that an e-file signature with my last digits of my Social Security Number are sufficient. I am currently Transient and have no access to a printer locally nor do I have the monies to travel downtown today. Also, I am a 100% Service Connected Combat Veteran and I am not doing well after my shattered vertebrae of my neck have been bothering me.

. N. J/.

(619)603-2466

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

v.

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

23

22

24 25

26

27 28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff,

JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., President of the United States, and MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, United

DAVID JOHN THISTLE,

States Attorney General,

Defendants.

Case No.: 22-CV-65-RSH-NLS

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO **EXPEDITE**

[ECF No. 18]

On August 26, 2022, Plaintiff David John Thistle filed a motion for an immediate court date, asking for "a Calendar date for the Case Complaint to be heard." ECF No. 18. The allegations contained in a civil complaint are typically heard not at a motion hearing date that is provided before the defendants appear, but at a trial that happens at the end of the case, where both sides present their evidence and arguments. In contrast, Plaintiff's case is at its earliest stages. Plaintiff filed his Complaint on January 18, 2022 against the defendants, President Joseph R. Biden, Jr. and Attorney General Merrick Garland. After filing a complaint, the next step in a civil case is to serve process on the defendants.

Rule 4(i), which addresses service of process on the United States and its officers, provides:

- (i) Serving the United States and Its Agencies, Corporations, Officers, or Employees.
 - (1) United States. To serve the United States, a party must:

(A)

- (i) deliver a copy of the summons and of the complaint to the United States attorney for the district where the action is brought—or to an assistant United States attorney or clerical employee whom the United States attorney designates in a writing filed with the court clerk—or
- (ii) send a copy of each by registered or certified mail to the civil-process clerk at the United States attorney's office;
- (B) send a copy of each by registered or certified mail to the Attorney General of the United States at Washington, D.C.; and
- (C) if the action challenges an order of a nonparty agency or officer of the United States, send a copy of each by registered or certified mail to the agency or officer.
- (2) Agency; Corporation; Officer or Employee Sued in an Official Capacity. To serve a United States agency or corporation, or a United States officer or employee sued only in an official capacity, a party must serve the United States and also send a copy of the summons and of the complaint by registered or certified mail to the agency, corporation, officer, or employee.

 (3) Officer or Employee Sued Individually. To serve a United States officer or employee sued in an individual capacity for an act or omission occurring
- in connection with duties performed on the United States' behalf (whether or not the officer or employee is also sued in an official capacity), a party must serve the United States and also serve the officer or employee under Rule 4(e), (f), or (g).

- (4) Extending Time. The court must allow a party a reasonable time to cure its failure to:
 - (A) serve a person required to be served under Rule 4(i)(2), if the party has served either the United States attorney or the Attorney General of the United States; or
 - (B) serve the United States under Rule 4(i)(3), if the party has served the United States officer or employee.

Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that "[i]f a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court — on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff — must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period." On July 25, 2022 — over 180 days after Plaintiff filed his Complaint — the Court advised Plaintiff that he "has not at this time properly served Defendants." ECF No. 11.

On August 1, 2022, the Court – rather than dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint – provided Plaintiff with an additional 60 days (until September 30, 2022) to properly effectuate service. ECF No. 12. The Court advised Plaintiff that he has failed to serve the United States in the manner prescribed by Rule 4(i)(1), and that with respect to defendant Merrick Garland, Plaintiff delivered the Complaint and summons to the incorrect office. Plaintiff thereafter caused a copy of the summons to be mailed to the U.S. Department of Justice in Washington. On August 24, 2022, in granting Plaintiff's motion for leave to amend his Complaint, the Court advised Plaintiff again that he "has not yet properly served the Complaint." ECF No. 16. The Court reiterated its earlier deadline, that Plaintiff must serve either the original Complaint, or (if he chooses to file one) an A'mended Complaint, by September 30, 2022. *Id*.

Although Plaintiff would like an immediate court date so that he can argue his case, he must follow the same rules as all other parties before this Court. Unless Plaintiff has effectuated service of process consistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or

Case 3:22-cv-00065-RSH-NLS	Document 19	Filed 09/06/22	PageID.99	Page 4 of 4

unless the Defendants waive service or voluntarily appear, the Court lacks personal jurisdiction over the Defendants and the case cannot proceed.

Finally, Plaintiff is advised that there is no legitimate reason for his repeated phone calls to chambers. Chambers staff is not allowed to give him or other parties legal advice, accept documents for filing (these must be filed with the Clerk of Court), or speak with him about the merits of his case or the merits of motions he might file. Harassing, abusive, or threatening communications will not be tolerated.

Plaintiff's failure to effect service of process consistent with the rules is the reason his lawsuit is not moving forward. Plaintiff's motion to expedite is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 6, 2022

Hon. Robert S. Huie

United States District Judge

Robert & Hma

Case 3:21-cv-02072-LL-BGS Document 1 Filed 12/13/21 PageID.1 Page 1 of 7 Dec 13 2021 12:46 pm 1 David John Thistle, Pro-Se CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 2 5580 La Jolla Blvd. #360 s/ shellyy La Jolla, California 92037 3 4 david@thistle.world UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 6 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA '21CV2072 JLS BGS Case No .: 7 DAVID JOHN THISTLE, Plaintiff. 8 COMPLAINT 9 vs. THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, 10 Defendant 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 When throughout the course of events the very Law of the Land, the Constitution of these United 19 States, ratified and signed by 39 Colonial Delegates of all 13 colonies on June 21, 1788, is in need of the defense 20 from attack by illegal altering of said document by domestic members of a State or multiple States' Executive 21 Branches, that State's sworn Officers, Elected or Appointed, it is the duty, through due process of Law of the 22 United States' Justice Department to shore up the Constitution of these United States by way of any and all legal 23 measures to prevent the dilution or division of the Union of these United States. When, during these past few years 24 the Executive Officers of the individual States utilize natural and man-made disasters to veil their illegal actions of 25 altering the Constitution of these United States, especially in the performance of Official Duties within the 26

Elections Office of these States, after a very recent justifiable argument questioned the possible altering of legal

election of Federal Officers by "The People", it is the clear duty, obligation, responsibility and privilege of the

27

28

COMPLAINT - 1

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

United States Justice Department, when presented irrefutable concrete empirical evidence of the tampering with and illegal altering of Article 1 of the Constitution of the United States, to immediately and without haste, publicly issue cease and desist orders against those States and effect the arrests of the perpetrators of the crime to maintain the Public's Trust. These illegal actions of tampering with Elections by Sworn Officers of the State rightly provides the revocation of the Public Trust and, as we have seen, may incite deadly public Riots. It is, therefore, the duty of the Justice Department to act without haste to prevent Public Unrest and Violence. The illegal altering of the Constitution of these United States is an egregious and unforgivable criminal act viewed by most Citizens, especially Military Veterans, as nothing short of Treason and Sedition to wit pen. The use of an otherwise trusted Public Office to commit crimes against "The :People and Citizens" by an Officer of the State when in a position of authority does attack and assault the integrity of the Constitutional requirements set forth and given to any and all qualified Citizens to become Ballot Candidates of any Federal Office as set forth in Article I of the Constitution in Iron Pen by the Founding Fathers is not and never be considered a "simple housekeeping mistake." Rather, it is an egregious crime against not just the potential candidate but the people as a nation. The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, may have thought this crime to just be "a coincidental mis-step" if it had only occurred in one State. However, upon review of multiple Secretary of State's Official Websites. No less than 6 grievous acts of the illegal altering of Article 1 exist. Therefore, Conspiracy and or RICO Act charges as well as charges of the illegal altering of the Constitution warrant examination.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, a 100% Service-Connected Disabled Veteran of the United Sates Navy, acting under oath and in order to DEFEND the Constitution of the United States against DOMESTIC enemies, presents a case before the Court under Title 18, U.S.C. Section 242 the Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law. The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, also brings forth criminal information with reference to the Illegal Altering of Article 1 Sec.2. Paragraph 2 of the Constitution of the United States (1 U.S. Code 106b & 18 U.S. Code Sec 1001) by Officers of the Secretary of State of New Hampshire with intent to eliminate otherwise Constitutionally Qualified Ballot Candidates for the seat of U.S. House of Representatives.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, while researching The New Hampshire Secretary of State's Official Website to become an "Official Ballot Candidate for the Office of U.S. Representative", did become aware that the "Requirements for United States Representative" that are secured for every qualified United States Citizen in Article 1 Section 2 Paragraph 2 of the Constitution of the United States were egregiously and illegally altered by COMPLAINT - 2

the Elected and Appointed Officers of Election's Office of the State of New Hampshire to limit and prevent otherwise fully qualified Ballot Candidates in accordance to the Constitution of the United States. It is the civic sworn obligation of the Secretary of State to provide good stewardship of the Laws, Rights and Privileges of The People and Citizens of the United States by Preserving and Defending the unaltered Laws of the Constitution of the United States. While in the Elections Office of said State the Constitution of the United States was irrefutably illegally altered enclosed empirical evidence. This egregious illegal act of altering the Constitution of these United States cannot go unpunished or unaddressed by the due process of Law.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, prays that the Court sees fit to immediately remove from Office, either elected or appointed Officers of the State to limit evidence tampering and further harm to the wellbeing of The People and the Public Trust. The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, respectfully requests and immediate assignment of a Federal Prosecuting Attorney from the Office of the U.S. Attorney to thoroughly examine the State of New Hampshire's Official Election Division and Website for any and all possible violations of altering of the Constitution of these United States. If when in and in Examination with Article 1, Section 2

Paragraph 2 what other Laws of the Constitution of these United States were or are altered by the Office of the Secretary of State in direct conflict with the Xth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States. I pray that the Court will find in favor for the Plaintiff, the due process of LAW under Title 18, U.S.C. 242. for the aforementioned grievances.

It is furthermore requested of the Court to find in favor of Criminal Indictment Charges under Title 18, U.S.C. 242, for those members of the Secretary of State's Elections' Office, the Executive Branch and Legislature of the State of New Hampshire be held directly responsible for the assault on and illegal altering of the Constitution of the United States in accordance with the Xth Amendment 1 U.S. Code 106b and 18 U.S. Code 1001 and any other unmentioned appropriate U.S. Code to prevent qualified Ballot Candidates from application to become Official Ballot Candidate for the Office of U.S. Representative in accordance with Article 1, Section 2, Paragraph 2 of the Constitution of the United States.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, also prays that the Court will grant financial compensation at the maximum amount of fiscal funds allowed by law as a Citizen or the amount of the allowed total payroll for the term of Office of U.S. Representative due to the egregious illegal altering, of Article 1 Section 2

Paragraph 2 of the Constitution of these United States on the "Official New Hampshire Secretary of State's website, COMPLAINT - 3

inhibiting the Plaintiff from actively pursuing Campaign Finance Funds from others due to the illegally altered "Requirements for Office for U.S. Representative" that stipulate wrongly that this Plaintiff is not qualified for said Ballot. The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISLTE, prays that the Court will without haste order the Elected and Appointed Officers of the State of New Hampshire to immediately and without haste restore the Law of Article 1 Section 2 Paragraph 2 of the Constitution of the United States in Accordance to the Xth Amendment and Title 18 U.S.C. Section 242 on ALL New Hampshire Legal Documents, Publications, Internet and Website Postings to prevent any future harm to the Plaintiff or other Constitutionally qualified Ballot Candidates and to prevent misleading Educational understanding of the Law of the Constitution of the United States for The People and Citizens of the United States. The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, also respectfully requests he Court to provide and extend Witness Protection to the Plaintiff and his family due to the nature of the High Political and Sate Offices held by the Defendants. Dated this day of 10, December 2021, David John Thistle, Pro-Se COMPLAINT - 4

Case 3:21-cv-02072-LL-BGS Document 1 Filed 12/13/21 PageID.4 Page 4 of 7

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE TO CONGRESS

11th Congressional District Special Election

August 3, 2021 – Primary Election November 2, 2021 – General Election

PARTY CANDIDATE FOR PRIMARY

PETITION NUMBER:

4-2E

SIGNATURES:

50 (Major Party)

25 (Recognized Minor Party)

FILING DEADLINE:

May 5, 2021 - 4:00 P.M. (90 days before the primary)

FILING FEE:

\$50.00 + \$35.00 O.E.C. Fee = Total Fee \$85.00

**INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE FOR GENERAL

PETITION NUMBER:

4-3F

SIGNATURES:

SEE BELOW

FILING DEADLINE:

August 2, 2021 - 4:00 P.M. (day before primary)

FILING FEE:

\$50.00 + \$35.00 O.E.C. Fee = Total Fee \$85.00

For Representative	NO.	ELECT 2021	TERM LENGTH	DISTRICT & CURRENT OFFICE HOLDER	SIGNATURES INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE	
to Congress	4	1	1 Year	U. S. REPRESENTATIVE	1% OF 2018 GOVERNOR VOTE	
(Unexpired Term Ending 1/3/2023)		les in Cu Coun laps Sum		11th Vacant (Marcia L. Fudge)	Min. – 2,566 Max. – 7,698	

Term commences the fourth day of January (US Const, Am. XX §1) 47 HOSEL 3 TOTAL TOTAL

Requirements:

Must be an inhabitant of the state from which elected. ->

Must be a U.S. citizen for seven (7) years prior to election.

Minimum age: twenty-five (25) years.

Candidate does not have to live in the district to run.

A person holding an elective office for which candidates are nominated at a party primary may become a candidate for a different political party if the person completes and files the prescribed form 10-Y, Declaration of Intent to Change Political Party Affiliation, by 4 p.m. on April 5, 2021, the 30th day before the filing deadline for the August 3, 2021 Election. No person filing this form shall file another such form for a period of ten years. (ORC 3513.04, 3513.052, 3513.191).

Designation of party for independent candidates refer to ORC 3505.03

DO NOT GIVE CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES ANY CAMPAIGN FINANCE INFORMATION OR FORMS. THEY MUST CONTACT THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION (FEC) at 1-800-424-9530 or http://www.fec.gov/

Reminder: Candidate Contact Information Sheet is Required When Filing

(Signature of Candidate)

Declaration of Intent to be a Write-In Candidate Office of United States Senator or United States Representative

R.C. 3513,041

To be completed, signed by the candidate named herein, and filed with the appropriate county board of elections or, if the office is to be voted on statewide, the Secretary of State's Elections Division, not later than 4 p.m. of the 72nd day before the primary or general election, whichever is appropriate. Filing must be accompanied by the fees indicated in R.C. 3513.10. , the undersigned, declare under penalty of election falsification that my Name of Candidate residence address is City or Village Street Number and Address (or Rural Route and Number) I possess the necessary qualifications to be a candidate for the office of or unexpired term ending I further declare if elected. I qualify therefor. I desire to be a write-in candidate for the office stated above for: (complete one of the following two options): Party at the primary election to be held Election at the general election to be held on the _____ day of _ (Year)

print clearly

Declaration of Intent to be a Write-In Candidate

Office of United States Senator or United States Representative

Filing must be accompanied by the fees indicated in R.C. 3513.10.

R C 3513 041

To be completed, signed by the candidate named herein, and filed with the appropriate county board of elections or, if the office is to be voted on statewide, the Secretary of State's Elections Division, not later than 4 p.m. of the 72nd day before the primary or general election, whichever is appropriate.

1		, the undersigned, de	eclare under pena	lty of election falsificat	ion that my
Name of Candid	late			Λ	
esidence address is		,			
	Street Number (or Rural Route		City or Village	State	Pp Code
possess the necessary qu	ualifications to t	oe a candidate for the	office of		fo
(Check one box and fill in t	the appropriate	date) 🗌 Full Term	commencing		
or unexpired term end	ing		in the		
ப் .I further declare if elected,				(Identify the state or distric	t of the office)
l desire to be a write-in car	ndidate for the o	office stated above fo	r:		
(complete one of the following tw	o options):				
Nomination of	the(Na	me of Political Party)	Party at the p	rimary election to be h	neld
on the	day of	(Month)	(Year)	. •	
☐ Election at the	general electio	n to be held on the	day of	(Month)	(Year)
				•	
				X	
					(Signature of Candidate

NOTICES

Special Election - Derry

Notice Re. E-Notarization Law

Statement of Secretary of State Bill Gardner regarding the Impact of H.R. I on New Hampshire Elections

Windham Election Audit

Press Release-Windham Forensic Audit Team's Report

View All

How can we help you today?

SEARCH



Running For Office / Qualifications for Office

Running For Office

Qualifications for Office

GOVERNOR - Must be 30 years of age, a registered voter and domiciled in New Hampshire for at least 7 years.

UNITED STATES SENATOR - Must be 30 years of age, a registered voter in New Hampshire and a United States Citizen for at least 9 years.

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE - Must be 25 years of age and a United States Citizen for at least 7 years. Candidate does not have to live in same district they are a candidate for; however, must be domiciled and a registered voter in New Hampshire.

EXECUTIVE COUNCILOR – Must be 30 years of age, a registered voter and domiciled in New Hampshire for at least 7 years. Must live in executive council district they are a candidate for.

STATE SENATOR – Must be 30 years of age, a registered voter and domiciled in New Hampshire for at least 7 years. Must live in senate district they are a candidate for.

STATE REPRESENTATIVE - Must be 18 years of age, a registered voter and domiciled in New Hampshire for at least 2 years. Must live in town or ward they are a candidate for.

COUNTY OFFICER - Must be 18 years of age and a registered voter in the county where you are domiciled. Must also be aware of other provisions of law regarding qualifications for particular county offices.

GO BACK

(ewcl 2.)

NEW HAMPSHIRE DEPARTMENT OF STATE



Erin T. Hennessey

Deputy Secretary of State

David M. Scanlan Secretary of State

Patricia Lovejoy
Senior Deputy Secretary of State

October 6, 2022

David John Thistle
Via e-mail: david@thistle.world
spacerangeradml@icloud.com

Dear Mr. Thistle:

The Secretary of State's Office has received your request for:

"[C]opies of all Oaths or Affirmations of Office for the following Officers and former Officers of the State. I also respectfully request the corresponding NH RSA code for each individual Office held.

- 1. Governor Christopher Sununu (All Offices held and Previous Oaths or Affirmations)
- 2. Former Secretary of State William "Bill" Gardner (note: Every Election)
- 3. Secretary of State David Scanlan (All Offices held and previous Oaths or Affirmations) 4. Deputy Secretary of State Mr. Orville "Bud" Fitch (All Offices held and previous Oaths or Affirmations of Office) 5. Attorney General John Formella (All Offices held and Oaths or Affirmations of Office>)"

Please find attached copies of oath forms for Governor Christopher Sununu and Attorney General John Formella,

The Secretary of State and Deputy Secretary of State take the oath orally, typically at a session of the Legilature. We possess no written oath forms for Secretary Scanlan or Secretary Gardner.

Orville "Bud" Fitch is an at will employee of the Department of State, currently assigned as Election Legal Counsel in an Assistant Secretary of State position. As such he does not hold an office in the Department of State that requires an oath of office.

This completes the Secretary of State's office response to your request.

Orville B. Fitch II

Elections Legal Counsel, Assistant Secretary of State

Secretary of State's Office

State House Room 204

107 N. Main St.

Concord, New Hampshire 03301

Bud.Fitch@sos.nh.gov

(603) 271-5335

Ι

of **Portsmouth**

do solemnly swear, that I will bear faith and true allegiance to the United States of America and the state of New Hampshire, and will support the constitutions thereof. So help me God.

Signature......

John M. Formella do solemnly and sincerely swear and affirm that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent on me as

Attorney General

according to the best of my abilities, agreeably to the rules and regulations of this constitution and laws of the state of New Hampshire. So felp me God.

State of New Hampshire

County of Merrimach ...ss.

On the....22 April two thousand and twenty-one, the said .. John .M. Formella took and subscribed the above oaths.

ce of the Peace or Notary Public

Justice of the Peace or Notary Public

To be returned to the Office of the Secretary of State State House, Room 204, 107 North Main St, Concord, NH 03301

March 24, 2021

I Christopher T. Sununu

of Newfields

do solemnly swear, that I will bear faith and true allegiance to the United States of America and the state of New Hampshire, and will support the constitutions thereof. So help me God.

Signature Cliff Summ

I, Christopher T. Sununu

do solemnly and sincerely swear and affirm that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent on me as

GOVERNOR

according to the best of my abilities, agreeably to the rules and regulations of this constitution and laws of the state of New Hampshire. So help me God.

Signature

Concord, New Hampshire

Date January 5, 2017



Voters

FAQ



Alabama Votes Candidates **PACs** Public & Media Contact Us

Minimum Qualifications for Public Office

Home > Alabama Votes > Minimum Qualifications for Public Office

Office	Minimum Age	State Resident		Registered Voter 1	Term of Office	of
US Senate 2	30	1 day	9 years	yes	6	no limit
US House of Representatives3	25	1 day	7 years	yes	2	no limit
Governor 4, 6	30	7 years	10 years	yes	4	2
Lt. Governor 4, 6	. 30	7 years	10 years	yes	4	2
Secretary of State 5,6	25	5 years	7 years	yes	4	2
Attorney General 5,6	25	5 years	7 years	yes	4	2
State Auditor 5,6	25	5 years	7 years	yes	4	2
State Treasurer 5,6	25	5 years	7 years	yes	4	2

STATE OF ALASKA DIVISION OF ELECTIONS QUALIFICATIONS FOR HOLDING OFFICE

STATEWIDE CANDIDATES are those seeking the office of United States Senator, United States Representative, Governor or Lieutenant Governor. The qualifications for these offices are as follows:

United States Senator

- ♦ 30 years of age;
- citizen of the United States for 9 years; and
- an inhabitant of the state from which elected.

United States Representative

- ♦ 25 years of age;
- citizen of the United States for 7 years; and
- an inhabitant of the state from which elected.

Governor and Lieutenant Governor

- At least 30 years of age on the first Monday in December following the election:
- citizen of the United States for at least 7 years;
- qualified voter of the state;
- resident of Alaska for at least 7 years immediately preceding filing for office.

<u>DISTRICTWIDE CANDIDATES</u> are those seeking the office of State Senator and State Representative. The qualifications for these offices are as follows:

State Senator

- At least 25 years of age on the first scheduled day of the first regular session of the legislature convened after the election;
- ♦ 3 year resident of Alaska; and
- ◆ 1 year resident of the district from which elected immediately preceding filing for office.

State Representative

- At least 21 years of age on the first scheduled day of the first regular session of the legislature convened after the election;
- ♦ 3 year resident of Alaska; and
- ◆ 1 year resident of the district from which elected immediately preceding filing for office.

TERMS & QUALIFICATIONS

Federal Offices

President: [U. S. Constitution, Article II, Section 1, as amended by Amendment XXII]

- Four year term of office
- Limited to two (2) four year terms
- Must be "a natural born" U. S. citizen
- Must be a U. S. resident for fourteen (14) years
- Must be a minimum of thirty-five (35) years of age

For additional information see:

- Presidential Electors A.C.A. §§7-8-301, 7-8-302
- Ballot access for Group Presidential Candidates on page 20 A.C.A. §7-8-302
- Ballot access for Independent Candidates on page 20 A.C.A. §7-8-302

U.S. Senate: [U. S. Constitution, Article I, §3]

- Six year term of office
- Must be a U. S. citizen for nine (9) years
- Must be an Arkansas resident
- Must be a minimum of thirty (30) years of age

U.S. House of Representatives: [U. S. Constitution, Article I, §2]

- Two year term of office
- Must be a U. S. citizen for seven (7) years
- Must be an Arkansas resident
- Must be a minimum of twenty-five (25) years of age

For exploratory and candidacy organization information contact:

- The Federal Election Commission (FEC) at 1-800-424-9530 or www.fec.gov
- Reference forms:

FEC Form 2, Statement of Candidacy

FEC Form 1, Statement of Organization

State Offices

Governor and Lieutenant Governor:

- Four year term of office Amendment 63, §1
- Limited to two (2) four year terms Amendment 73, §1
- Must possess the qualifications of an elector Article 19, §3
- Must be a U. S. citizen Article 6, §5
- Must be an Arkansas resident for seven (7) years Article 6, §5





Candidate Qualifications

Federal

Office President		
Age 35 [<u>1]</u>	_	
State residence		
District residence n/a		
US citizen Natural born [1]		
Length of term 4 years [2]	•	
Number of terms 2 terms [3]		

^ Top

Length of term

OHIO INSPECTOR GENERAL COMPLAINT FORM



REPORT OF WRONGFUL ACT OR OMISSION BY STATE AGENCY OR EMPLOYEE

COMPLAINANT INFORMATION

If yes, Why?

YOUR NAME: David John Thistle

Position:

Requesting Confidentiality? Yes 🗌 No 🗹

PERSONAL DATA	
Address: 5580 La Jolla Blvd. #360	City, State, Zip: La Jolla, CA 92037
Email Address: david@thistle.world	Phone: Cell Phone: 619-603-2466
WORK DATA	
Name of Employer: Thistle for Congress 11th	District Position: Candidate for US Congress
Email Address: david@thistle.world	Phone: Cell Phone: 619-603-2466
	COMPLAINT DETAILS
NAME OF STATE AGENCY INVOLVED:	SECRETARY OF STATE
<u> </u>	
State Employee(s) or Individual(s) In	volved
Name:Frank LaRose	Position: Secretary of State, OHIO
Contact Information:	·
Name:	Position:
Contact Information:	·
Name:	Position:
Contact Information:	·-
Name:	Position:
Contact Information:	
Witnesses	
·	
Name:	Phone: work home
	Address: work home
Position:	Employer:
Losidoti.	
Name	Phone: work home
Name:	Address: work home
Position:	Employer:
	Phone: work home
Name:	
	Address: work home
Position:	Employer:

Have you reported this information to any other agency? Yes No L. If yes, which one(s)? Federal Bureau of Investigation and Federal Elections Commission What is the status of the matter?
Is there any civil, criminal, or administrative litigation pending in this matter? Yes \(\sime\) No \(\overline{\ove
How do you know about the information you are disclosing in this complaint? Personal or direct knowledge? Personal Knowledge Other (please explain)?
Please provide a narrative of the alleged wrongdoing or omission (add additional pages as needed) 3 counts of Violations of 10th Amendment of The United States Constitution by creating unconstitutional changes to Artice 1 of The United Sates Constitution on Official Ballot Candidate Forms for OHIO 11th Congressional District Special Election 2021. Please see attached e-mail complaint.
The Table of the Control of the Cont

I understand that by submitting this statement, I represent that the information contained herein is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge. (Click to check the box)

Pursuant to §121.42 of the Ohio Revised Code, the Office of the Inspector General has authority to investigate wrongful acts or omissions that were committed by a state employee, state officer or by a person (including but not limited to, an individual, corporation, business, or association) doing business with the State of Ohio. The Office does not have authority to investigate the general assembly; any court; the Secretary of State, Auditor of State, Treasurer of State, Attorney General and their respective employees.

IMPORTANT NOTE: Simply completing this form will not automatically submit it to our Office. You must send the completed form via email, fax, or regular mail once you have filled in the required information.

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

28

27

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID JOHN THISTLE,

Plaintiff.

JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., President of the United States, and MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, United States Attorney General,

Defendants.

Case No.: 22-CV-65-RSH-NLS

ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINE FOR PLAINTIFF TO EFFECTUATE **SERVICE**

Plaintiff filed the Complaint on January 18, 2022, ECF No. 1, but he has failed to properly serve Defendants. To serve United States officers or employees in their official capacity, Plaintiff "must serve the United States and also send a copy of the summons and of the complaint by registered or certified mail to the agency, corporation, officer, or employee." Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(i)(2); see also id. 4(i)(1) (describing method of serving United States). On March 10, 2022, Plaintiff mailed copies of the summons and Complaint to the U.S. Attorney's Office—not the Attorney General's office—in Washington, DC, ECF No. 6 at 3, and President Biden at the White House, ECF No. 7 at 3, via first-class mail. Plaintiff has failed to serve the United States in the manner prescribed by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(i)(1). Additionally, with respect to

Defendant Merrick Brian Garland, Plaintiff delivered the Complaint and summons to the incorrect office. Plaintiff has therefore failed to properly serve Defendants within 90 days of the filing of the Complaint, in violation of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m). If a complaint is not served within 90 days, the Court may "order that service be made within a specified time," and if there is "good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period." Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Here, Plaintiff at least attempted to properly serve Defendants. Additionally, pro se litigants are entitled to leniency with respect to technical procedural matters. See Draper v. Coombs, 792 F.2d 915, 924 (9th Cir. 1986) ("[P]laintiff represented himself and therefore, in evaluating his compliance with the technical rules of civil procedure, we treat him with great leniency."). The Court thereby ORDERS that Plaintiff shall have an additional sixty (60) days after the date of this Order to properly effectuate service of the summons and Complaint. If Plaintiff fails to properly serve the Complaint by that time, the Court may dismiss this action for failure to prosecute.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 1, 2022

Robert & Hmis

Hon. Robert S. Huie United States District Judge

RefDesk

From:

david@thistle.world

Sent:

Friday, August 26, 2022 3:24 PM

To:

RefDesk

Subject:

Fwd: CASE COMPLAINT NUMBER 22-CV-0065 THISTLE v. BIDEN & GARLAND - MOTION

FOR COURT DATE

Attachments:

COURT DATE-2 .docx

----- Original Message -----

Subject: Fwd: CASE COMPLAINT NUMBER 22-CV-0065 THISTLE v. BIDEN & GARLAND - MOTION FOR COURT DATE

Date: 2022-08-23 12:04 From: david@thistle.world

To: spacerangeradm1@icloud.com

----- Original Message -----

Subject: CASE COMPLAINT NUMBER 22-CV-0065 THISTLE v. BIDEN & GARLAND - MOTION FOR COURT DATE

Date: 2022-08-23 12:04 From: david@thistle.world

To: efile_Huie@casd.uscourts.gov

Dear Hon. Judge Huie,

Your Honor, I pray that an e-file signature with my last digits of my Social Security Number are sufficient. I am currently Transient and have no access to a printer locally nor do I have the monies to travel downtown today. Also, I am a 100% Service Connected Combat Veteran and I am not doing well after my shattered vertebrae of my neck have been bothering me.

(619)603-2466

RECEIVED

AUG 2 6 2022

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

print clearly

(Signatuce of Candidate)

Declaration of Intent to be a Write-In Candidate

Office of United States Senator or United States Representative

R C 3513 041

To be completed, signed by the candidate named herein, and filed with the appropriate county board of elections or, if the office is to be voted on statewide, the Secretary of State's Elections Division, not later than 4 p.m. of the 72nd day before the primary or general election, whichever is appropriate.

Filing must be accompanied by the fees indicated in R.C. 3513.10. , the undersigned, declare under penalty of election falsification that my RANGERT VETERAN residence address is 2 wind BEVD , CA DULA Street Number and Address 🏕 😘 (or Rural Route and Number) I possess the necessary qualifications to be a candidate for the office of writer STATES WERESOUTH TO EDUCATES (Check one box and fill in the appropriate date)

Full Term commencing or unexpired term ending 61 03 2023 , in the office (Identify the state or district of the office) I further declare if elected, I qualify therefor. I desire to be a write-in candidate for the office stated above for: (complete one of the following two options): Nomination of the Party at the primary election to be held (Name of Political Party) Election at the general election to be held on the ________ _ day of _ NOVENBER (Month)

California Acknowledgment Form

			fies only the identity of the individual who signed the fulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.
State of California County of	San Diego	ss.	
On 22 July 2021	befo	ore me,	Sharik Ali Sayed (here insert name and title of the officer)
personally appeared	David John Thistle		there insert fame and the of the office, i
to the within instrument thorized capacity(ies),	nt and acknowledged to r	ne that signatu	to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their aure(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity the instrument.
I certify under PENAL paragraph is true and		the law	s of the State of California that the foregoing
	Seal		WITNESS my hand and official seal.
NAO	SHARIK ALI SAYED COMM. #2356731 Notary Public - California San Diego County Ay Comm. Expires May 8, 2025		Signature of Notary
_	prevent fraud, it is recommended tha	t you provi nder Califor	Ormation de information about the attached document below. rnia State notary public law.*** Vrite-In Candidate # of Pages: 1
		Note	es
ice of United States Sena	ator or United States Repre	sentative	е
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
-	,		
			

print clearly

(Signature of Candidate)

Declaration of Intent to be a Write-In Candidate

Office of United States Senator or United States Representative

R C 3513 041

To be completed, signed by the candidate named herein, and filed with the appropriate county board of elections or, if the office is to be voted on statewide, the Secretary of State's Elections Division, not later than 4 p.m. of the 72nd day before the primary or general election, whichever is appropriate.

Filing must be accompanied by the fees indicated in R.C. 3513.10. , the undersigned, declare under penalty of election falsification that my I possess the necessary qualifications to be a candidate for the office of white STATES per RESOURTIVE (Check one box and fill in the appropriate date) | Full Term commencing or unexpired term ending 61 03 2023, in the other 13 concrets in AL DISTRICT, (Identify the state or district of the office) I further declare if elected, I qualify therefor. I desire to be a write-in candidate for the office stated above for: (complete one of the following two options): Nomination of the Party at the primary election to be held (Name of Political Party) (Year)

FEC FORM 2 STATEMENT OF CANDIDACY

1. (a) Name of Candidate (in full)								_			
DAVID JOHN THISTLE (b) Address (number and street) 5580 LA JOLLA BLVD. #360	☐ Check if add	ress changed		2.	FEC Ca	ndidat	e Idei	ntificat	tion Nur	mber	
(c) City, State, and ZIP Code SAN DIEGO, CA 92037				3.	Is This Statem		N 21	New (N)	OR		Amended (A)
4. Party Affiliation UNAFFILIATED	5. Office Sought U.S. REP. TO CONO	GRESS	6. State & Dis OHIO, 11T				ICT				
DE	ESIGNATION OF PI	RINCIPAL	CAMPAIG	N C	ОММІ	TTE	E				
7. I hereby designate the following na	med political committee as	my Principal C	Campaign Com	mitte	e for the				electio	n(s).	
NOTE: This designation should be	filed with the appropriate o	ffice listed in th	e instructions.			(year	ot ele	ection))		
(a) Name of Committee (in full)											
DAVID JOHN T	HISTLE										
(b) Address (number and street)	DI.) /D //0/						,			.,	
5580 LA JOLLA	BLVD #36	50									
(c) City, State, and ZIP Code	2007										
LA JOLLA, CA	92037										
		TUED AUG	ODIZED	~~		7	<u></u>				
Di	ESIGNATION OF O' (Including Jo	oint Fundraising			PIVEIVII I	166	5				
I hereby authorize the following nar cándidacy. NOTE: This designation should be				mmitt	tee, to re	eceive	and e	expend	d funds	on beł	alf of my
(a) Name of Committee (in full)							•				
(b) Address (number and street)		, i,									
(c) City, State, and ZIP Code											
sertify that I have ex	mined this Statement and	to the best of r	ny knowledge	and b	elief it is	true,	corre	ct ano	compl	ete.	
Signature of Capdidate		2)	Da	ite IUL	_Y	2	26,	, 2	02	!1
NOTE: Submission of false, e roneous	or incomplete information	n may subject t	he person sigr	ing th	nis State	ment t	o pen	alties	of 52 U	I.S.C. §	30109.
9-00068		_l		1					FE	C FORM	2 (REV. 02/2009)

Undisputed Legal Inc. 590 Madison Ave 21st floor New York, NY 10022

INVOICE: 6756899 Issued: Feb 28, 2022



DAVID THISTLE

5580 LA JOLLA BLVD #360 LA JOLLA, CA 92037 PAYTO: Undisputed Legal Inc. 590 Madison Ave 21st floor New York, NY 10022

Case: CIVIL ACTION NO.: 22CV-0065-TWR-NLS Defendant / Respondent: JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES;
MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL

ltem	Description	Cost	Quantity	Total
routine	MERRILL BRIAN GARLAND	\$100.00	1	\$100.00

- 1	Payment	Description	Amount Paid	
	Feb 28, 2022		(\$100.00)	

1	Thanks for your business. Please pay the "Balance Due" within 10 days. Total:	\$100.00
1	Thomas for your passings in the passing page.	
1	Amount Paid:	(\$100.00)
	Balance Due:	\$0.00

Undisputed Legal Inc. • 590 Madison Ave 21st floor, New York, NY 10022

Call: 212-203-8001 · Email: info@undisputedlegal.com · Visit: undisputedlegal.com

AFFIDAVIT OF NON-SERVICE

Case: CIVIL ACTION NO.: 22-CV-0065-TWR-NLS	Court: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	County:	Job: 6756899
Plaintiff / Petitioner: DAVID JOHN THISTLE		Defendant / Respondent: JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., P MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, THE I GENERAL	RESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES; UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
Received by: Undisputed Legal		For: DAVID THISTLE	
To be served upon: MERRILL BRIAN GARLA	ND		

I, ALLISON BERNARDO, being duly swom, depose and say: I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action, and that within the boundaries of the state where service was effected, I was authorized by law to make service of the documents and informed said person of the contents herein

Recipient Name / Address: MERRILL BRIAN GARLAND, 555 4TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20530

Manner of Service:

Unsuccessful Attempt

Documents:

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION; PROOF OF SERVICE

Additional Comments:

1) Unsuccessful Attempt: Mar 4, 2022, 11:02 am EST at 555 4TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20530 I arrived at the address and was told this is the criminal division of the US DOJ by a US Marshal. He asked who I was looking for and I told him and showed him the paperwork. He said I needed to go to the civil division located at 501 3rd st NW


Othurado.	03/16/2022
ALLISON BERNARDO	Date

Undisputed Legal 590 Madison Ave 21st floor New York, NY 10022

Subscribed and sworn to before me by the affiant who is personally known to me.

MBennad		
Notary Public		
03/16/2022	11/30/2023	

Date **Commission Expires**



AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, CASE NO: CIVIL ACTION NO.: 22-CV-006 COUNTY OF TWR-NI
Plaintiff / Petitioner: DAVID JOHN THISTLE
vs. Defendant / Respondent: JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES; MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL
I Nasim Dehghani being duly sworn deposes and says: that deponent is not a party to this action, is over 18 years of age.
That on Mar 10, 2022 deponent served the within: SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION; PROOF OF SERVICE on MERRILL BRIAN GARLAND at the address 555 4TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20530 by enclosing a copy of same in a postpaid wrapper properly addressed to the above recipient and deposited said wrapper in (a post office) official depository under exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service: Mailed on 03/10/2022 via:
First Class Mail Envelope marked "Personal and Confidential".
(check all that apply)
X FIRST CLASS MAILING First Class Mail Envelope marked "Personal and Confidential" CERTIFIED MAILING certified mail marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number (
Sworn to me on the3 17 27
District of Columbia Signed and Sworm to (or affirmed) before me on 3/17/22 (Date)
Nasim Dehghani (Name(s) of Individual(s) Making Statement) Notary Psignature of Notarial Officer: Title of Office: My Commission Expires:
UNDISPUTED LEGAL, INC., 590 MADISON AVENUE, 21ST FLOOR, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 10022
NOTARY B RIC

Undisputed Legal Inc. 590 Madison Ave 21st floor New York, NY 10022

INVOICE: 6756987 Issued: Mar 2, 2022

DAVID THISTLE

5580 LA JOLLA BLVD #360 LA JOLLA, CA 92037 PAY TO: Undisputed Legal Inc. 590 Madison Ave 21st floor New York, NY 10022

Case: CIVIL ACTION NO.: 22- Plaintiff / Petitioner: DAVID JOHN THISTLE
CV-0065-TWR-NLS Defendant / Respondent: JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES;
Job: 6756987 MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL

Item	Description	. Cost	Quantity	Total	
routine	JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR.	\$100.00	1	\$100.00	

. Payment	Description	Amount Paid
Mar 2, 2022		(\$100.00)

Thanks for your business. Please pay the "Balance Due" within 10 days. Total:	\$100.00
Amount Paid:	(\$100.00)
Balance Due:	\$0.00

Undisputed Legal Inc. • 590 Madison Ave 21st floor, New York, NY 10022

Call: 212-203-8001 • Email: info@undisputedlegal.com • Visit: undisputedlegal.com

AFFIDAVIT OF NON-SERVICE

Case: CIVIL ACTION NO.: 22-CV-0065-TWR-NLS	Court: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	County:	job: 6756987	
Plaintiff / Petitioner: DAVID JOHN THISTLE		Defendant / Respondent: JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES; MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL		
Received by: Undisputed Legal		For: DAVID THISTLE		
To be served upon: JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIL	DEN, JR.			

I, Mark Hagood, being duly sworn, depose and say: I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action, and that within the boundaries of the state where service was effected, I was authorized by law to make service of the documents and informed said person of the contents herein

Recipient Name / Address: JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., 1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20500

Manner of Service:

Unsuccessful Attempt

Documents:

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION; PROOF OF SERVICE

Additional Comments:

1) Unsuccessful Attempt: Mar 4, 2022, 9:26 am EST at 1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20500 Lapproached the gate on 17th street and told a secret service uniformed officer who I was and what I had to deliver. I was told that I was not allowed to enter nor leave the documents with anyone. I asked where I should deliver the documents to and another officer told me to leave.

03/16/2022

Date

Undisputed Legal 590 Madison Ave 21st floor New York, NY 10022

Subscribed and sworn to before me by the affiant who is personally known to me.

MBesinocodo

Notary Public

03/16/2022

11/30/2023

Date

Commission Expires

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

11/20/2023

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF	CASE NO: CIVIL ACTION NO.: 22-CV-0065- TWR-NLS
Plaintiff / Petitioner: DAVID JOHN THISTLE	
vs. Defendant / Respondent: JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL	STATES; MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, THE
I Nasim Dehghani being duly sworn deposes and says: that deponent is not a party to t	this action, is over 18 years of age.
That on Mar 10, 2022 deponent served the within: SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION; PROOF OF SERVICE on JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR. AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20500 by enclosing a copy of same in a postpaid wra recipient and deposited said wrapper in (a post office) official depository under exclusive Postal Services, Mailed on 03/10/2022 via:	pper properly addressed to the above
First Class Mail Envelope marked "Personal and Confidential".	
(check all that apply)	
X FIRST CLASS MAILING First Class Mail Envelope marked "Personal and Confidential" CERTIFIED MAILING certified mail marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number TREQUESTED marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number OVERNIGHT MAILING marked "Personal and Confidential" Receipt Number (mber ()
Sworn to me on the	
District of Columbia Signed and Swom to (or affirmed) before me on the statement of the statement of Notarry Psychiature of Notarial Officer: Title of Office:	3/17/22
My Commission Expires: UNDISPUTED LEGAL, INC., 590 MADISON AVENUE, 21ST FLOOR, NEV	V YORK, NEW YORK, 10022



NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCY

CASE NUMBER: 3:22-cv-00 0	65-RSH-NLS				
TITLE: Thistle v. Biden et al					
FILED DATE: 10/21/2022	FILED DATE: 10/21/2022 DOCUMENT NO.: 21				
DOCUMENT TITLE: EX PARTE MOTION For Order Revoking or Setting Aside Prior Order On A					
Renewed Motion or Motion To Reco		Order To Continue the Case			
DOCUMENT FILED BY: Davi	id John Thistle				
Upon the electronic filing of the a	bove referenced docume	ent(s), the following discrepancies are noted:			
FRCvP 41 – Case Closed/Dismis	sed				
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:					
The document will remain as compliant documents may be		bancy noted above. Any further non-d.			
The Clerk is directed to MO	DIFY the docket text of	the filed document to the following:			
The document is REJECTED record, and serve a copy of the		Clerk STRIKE the document from the			
Rejected documents will be	returned to pro se filer.	•			
		ronic Case Filing Administrative Policies Eivil Local Rule 83.1 or Criminal Local Rule			
Date: October 24, 2022	CHAMBERS OF:	The Honorable Robert S. Huie			
cc: All Parties	Ву:	Robert & Him			

Plaintiff / Petitioner:	
DAVID JOHN THISTLE	
Defendant / Respondent:	CIV
JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES: MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, THE UNITED STATES	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AFFIDAVIT OF DUE DILIGENCE

Index No:

/IL ACTION NO.: 22-CV-0065-TWR-NLS

The undersigned being duly sworn, deposes and says; deponent is not a party herein, is over 18 years of age.

That on Sep 30, 2022 AT 1:55 pm AT 950 Pennsylvania Ave NW, Washington, DC 20530 I attempted serving the following SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION, COMPLAINT, MOTION TO MODIFY ORIGINAL COMPLAINT, ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINE FOR PLAINTIFF TO EFFECTUATE SERVICE on MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND.

I attempted service on this servee on the following dates and times:

1) Sep 30, 2022 AT 1:55 pm EDT: A Federal Protective Police Officer, who was stationed outside at the front door, radioed inside and was told to have me call the mailroom. I did and was told that due to COVID-19, the Department of Justice is on a varied schedule and that they are only accepting service via mail at this time. I was told to mall the documents via USPS certified mail.

After due and diligent effort I have been unable to serve said person for the following reason: A Federal Protective Police Officer, who was stationed outside at the front door, radioed inside and was told to have me call the mailroom. I did and was told that due to COVID-19, the Department of Justice is on a varied schedule and that they are only accepting service via mail at this time. I was told to mail the documents via USPS certified mail.

Sworn to before me on

ATTORNEY GENERAL

REG. #78-9726
MY COMMISSICS
EXP.RES
UNDISPUTED LEGAL, INC., 590 MADISON AVENUE, 21ST FLOOR, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 10022, 11/30/2C23

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

Case: CIVIL ACTION NO.: 22-CV-0065-TWR-NLS	Court: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	County:	job: 7484177	
Plaintiff / Petitioner: DAVID JOHN THISTLE		Defendant / Respondent JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR., PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES; MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL		
Received by: Undisputed Legal		For: DAVID THISTLE		
To be served upon: MERRICK BRIAN GARL	AND; US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE			

I, Mark Hagood, being duly swom, depose and say: I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action, and that within the boundaries of the state where service was effected, I was authorized by law to make service of the documents and informed said person of the contents herein

Recipient Name / Address: MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND; US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, 950 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N.W., WASHINGTON,

D.C. 20530

Manner of Service:

Substitute Service - Abode, Aug 12, 2022, 10:55 am EDT

Documents:

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION; ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINE FOR PLAINTIFF TO EFFECTUATE SERVICE

Additional Comments:

1) Successful Attempt: Aug 12, 2022, 10:55 am EDT at 950 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530 received by MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND; US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.

Federal Protective Police Officer stationed outside front door radioed inside and was told to have me call the mailroom. I did and was told due to Covid 19 the Department of Justice is on a varied schedule and they are only accepting service via the mail at this time. I was told to certify mail the documents via USPS.

Mark Hagood

08/16/2022

Date

Undisputed Legal 590 Madison Ave 21st floor New York, NY 10022 Subscribed and sworn to before me by the affiant who is personally known to me.

MROMMO


Notary Public

08/16/2022

11/30/2023

Date

Commission Expires





AUG 17 2022

IEW YORK).E0022U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY DEPUTY

	1	·
	Case 3:22-cv-00065-RSH-NLS Document 20	Filed 10/04/22 PageID.100 Page 1 of 3
		•
1		
2	·	
3		
4		
5	·	,
6		-
7	,	
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	SOUTHERN DISTRI	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	DAVID JOHN THISTLE,	Case No.: 22-CV-65-RSH-NLS
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DISMISSING CASE
13	v	WITHOUT PREJUDICE
14	JOSEPH ROBINETTE BIDEN, JR.,	
15	President of the United States, and MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND, United	
16	States Attorney General,	
17	Defendants.	·
18		
19	Over eight months after Plaintiff file	ed the Complaint, he has not properly served
20	Defendants, despite the Court's repeated ren	ninders. The Court must therefore dismiss this
21	action without prejudice, pursuant to Federa	l Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m).
22	Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed the	Complaint on January 18, 2022. ECF No. 1.
23	On March 10, 2022, Plaintiff sent copies o	f the summons and Complaint, by first-class
24	mail, to the U.S. Attorney's Office in Wash	ington, DC and President Biden at the White
25	House, ECF Nos. 6 at 3; 7 at 3.	·
26	On July 25, 2022, in denying Pl	aintiff's request for a court date and for
27	disqualification of the assigned district judg	e, the Court advised Plaintiff that he "has not
28	at this time properly served Defendants." EC	CF No. 11 at 1.

//

On August 1, 2022, instead of dismissing the Complaint for failure to effect service, the Court on its own extended Plaintiff's deadline to serve Defendants until September 30, 2022. ECF No. 12. In its Order, the Court informed Plaintiff that he had failed to make service in the manner prescribed by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(i). *Id.* at 1-2. The Court also told Plaintiff that if he failed to properly serve Defendants by September 30, 2022, "the Court may dismiss this action for failure to prosecute." *Id.* at 2.

On August 12, 2022, Plaintiff filed two motions to amend the Complaint. ECF Nos. 13, 14. On August 24, 2022, the Court granted both motions and directed Plaintiff to file an amended Complaint by September 14, 2022. ECF No. 16. The Court again told Plaintiff about the impending deadline to serve Defendants. *Id.* at 1-2 ("Plaintiff must properly serve the operative complaint – the original Complaint, or if he file an amended complaint, the amended complaint – by September 30, 2022."). Plaintiff did not file an amended complaint.

On August 15, 2022, Plaintiff sent to Defendant Garland, by certified mail, the summons and the Court's August 1, 2022 Order extending Plaintiff's deadline to effectuate service.

On August 26, 2022, Plaintiff filed a "Motion To Expedite," seeking an immediate court date to address the merits of his case. ECF No. 18. In denying the motion, the Court explained that Plaintiff's case could not proceed until he properly served Defendants. ECF No. 19 at 3-4. The Court's Order set forth the complete, relevant text of Rule 4(i). *Id.* at 2-3. The Court also reminded Plaintiff of the September 30, 2022 deadline. *Id.* at 3.

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m), the Court "must dismiss the action without prejudice" if a defendant has not been timely served. The Court has extended Plaintiff's deadline to effectuate service by more than five months. Plaintiff has not properly served Defendants or shown good cause for his failure to do so.

The Court therefore **DISMISSES** this action without prejudice. The Clerk of Court is directed to terminate the case. Robert & Hmis

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 4, 2022

Hon. Robert S. Huie United States District Judge ----- Original Message -----

Subject: Oaths or Affirmations of Office Request.

Date: 2022-05-02 11:49 From: david@thistle.world To: elections@sos.nh.gov Cc: administration@sos.nh.gov

Reply-To: spacerangeradm1@icloud.com

Dear NH Secretary of State Elections Division,

I respectfully request official copies of all Oaths or Affirmations of Office for the following Officers and former Officers of the State. I also respectfully request the corresponding NH RSA code for each individual Office held.

- 1. Governor Christopher Sununu (All Offices held and Previous Oaths or Affirmations)
- 2. Former Secretary of State William "Bill" Gardner (note: Every Election)
- 3. Secretary of State David Scanlan (All Offices held and previous Oaths or Affirmations)
- 4. Deputy Secretary of State Mr. Orville "Bud" Fitch (All Offices held and previous Oaths or Affirmations of Office)
- 5. Attorney General John Formella (All Offices held and Oaths or Affirmations of Office>)

Thank you for your time and efforts in this matter. Enjoy spring in New Hampshire. It is always beautiful in the mountains this time of year.

Regards,

David John Thistle

p.s. Remember: In New Hampshire the #1 rule is Dessert First!

alle is Dessert First!

And I was a common see a common s

RECEIVED

JUL 1 2 2022

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY DEPUTY

	Case 3:21-cv-02072-LL-BGS Document 1 Filed 12/13/21 PageID.1 Page 1 of 7
	FILED
	Dec 13 2021
1	David John Thistle, Pro-Se 12:46 pm CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
2	SS80 La Jolla Blvd. #360 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY s/ shellyy DEPUTY
3	La Jolla, California 92037
4	david@thistle.world
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	DAVID JOHN THISTLE, Case No.: 21CV2072 JLS BGS
8	Plaintiff,
9	vs. COMPLAINT
10	THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE,
11	Defendant .
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	,
18	
19	When throughout the course of events the very Law of the Land, the Constitution of these United
20	States, ratified and signed by 39 Colonial Delegates of all 13 colonies on June 21, 1788, is in need of the defense
21	from attack by illegal altering of said document by domestic members of a State or multiple States' Executive
22	Branches, that State's sworn Officers, Elected or Appointed, it is the duty, through due process of Law of the
23	United States' Justice Department to shore up the Constitution of these United States by way of any and all legal
24	measures to prevent the dilution or division of the Union of these United States. When, during these past few years
25	the Executive Officers of the individual States utilize natural and man-made disasters to veil their illegal actions of
26	altering the Constitution of these United States, especially in the performance of Official Duties within the
27	Elections Office of these States, after a very recent justifiable argument questioned the possible altering of legal
28	election of Federal Officers by "The People", it is the clear duty, obligation, responsibility and privilege of the

COMPLAINT - 1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

United States Justice Department, when presented irrefutable concrete empirical evidence of the tampering with and illegal altering of Article 1 of the Constitution of the United States, to immediately and without haste, publicly issue cease and desist orders against those States and effect the arrests of the perpetrators of the crime to maintain the Public's Trust. These illegal actions of tampering with Elections by Sworn Officers of the State rightly provides the revocation of the Public Trust and, as we have seen, may incite deadly public Riots. It is, therefore, the duty of the Justice Department to act without haste to prevent Public Unrest and Violence. The illegal altering of the Constitution of these United States is an egregious and unforgivable criminal act viewed by most Citizens, especially Military Veterans, as nothing short of Treason and Sedition to wit pen. The use of an otherwise trusted Public Office to commit crimes against "The :People and Citizens" by an Officer of the State when in a position of authority does attack and assault the integrity of the Constitutional requirements set forth and given to any and all qualified Citizens to become Ballot Candidates of any Federal Office as set forth in Article 1 of the Constitution in Iron Pen by the Founding Fathers is not and never be considered a "simple housekeeping mistake." Rather, it is an egregious crime against not just the potential candidate but the people as a nation. The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, may have thought this crime to just be "a coincidental mis-step" if it had only occurred in one State. However, upon review of multiple Secretary of State's Official Websites. No less than 6 grievous acts of the illegal altering of Article 1 exist. Therefore, Conspiracy and or RICO Act charges as well as charges of the illegal altering of the Constitution warrant examination. The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, a 100% Service-Connected Disabled Veteran of the

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, a 100% Service-Connected Disabled Veteran of the United Sates Navy, acting under oath and in order to DEFEND the Constitution of the United States against DOMESTIC enemies, presents a case before the Court under Title 18, U.S.C. Section 242 the Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law. The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, also brings forth criminal information with reference to the Illegal Altering of Article 1 Sec. 2. Paragraph 2 of the Constitution of the United States (1 U.S. Code 106b & 18 U.S. Code Sec 1001) by Officers of the Secretary of State of New Hampshire with intent to eliminate otherwise Constitutionally Qualified Ballot Candidates for the seat of U.S. House of Representatives.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, while researching The New Hampshire Secretary of State's

Official Website to become an "Official Ballot Candidate for the Office of U.S. Representative", did become aware
that the "Requirements for United States Representative" that are secured for every qualified United States Citizen
in Article 1 Section 2 Paragraph 2 of the Constitution of the United States were egregiously and illegally altered by
COMPLAINT - 2

the Elected and Appointed Officers of Election's Office of the State of New Hampshire to limit and prevent otherwise fully qualified Ballot Candidates in accordance to the Constitution of the United States. It is the civic sworn obligation of the Secretary of State to provide good stewardship of the Laws, Rights and Privileges of The People and Citizens of the United States by Preserving and Defending the unaltered Laws of the Constitution of the United States. While in the Elections Office of said State the Constitution of the United States was irrefutably illegally altered enclosed empirical evidence. This egregious illegal act of altering the Constitution of these United States cannot go unpunished or unaddressed by the due process of Law.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, prays that the Court sees fit to immediately remove from Office, either elected or appointed Officers of the State to limit evidence tampering and further harm to the wellbeing of The People and the Public Trust. The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, respectfully requests and immediate assignment of a Federal Prosecuting Attorney from the Office of the U.S. Attorney to thoroughly examine the State of New Hampshire's Official Election Division and Website for any and all possible violations of altering of the Constitution of these United Sates. If when in and in Examination with Article 1, Section 2

Paragraph 2 what other Laws of the Constitution of these United States were or are altered by the Office of the Secretary of State in direct conflict with the Xth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States. I pray that the Court will find in favor for the Plaintiff, the due process of LAW under Title 18, U.S.C. 242. for the aforementioned grievances.

It is furthermore requested of the Court to find in favor of Criminal Indictment Charges under

Title 18, U.S.C. 242, for those members of the Secretary of State's Elections' Office, the Executive Branch and

Legislature of the State of New Hampshire be held directly responsible for the assault on and illegal altering of the

Constitution of the United States in accordance with the Xth Amendment 1 U.S. Code 106b and 18 U.S. Code 1001

and any other unmentioned appropriate U.S. Code to prevent qualified Ballot Candidates from application to

become Official Ballot Candidate for the Office of U.S. Representative in accordance with Article 1, Section 2,

Paragraph 2 of the Constitution of the United States.

The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, also prays that the Court will grant financial compensation at the maximum amount of fiscal funds allowed by law as a Citizen or the amount of the allowed total payroll for the term of Office of U.S. Representative due to the egregious illegal altering, of Article 1 Section 2

Paragraph 2 of the Constitution of these United States on the "Official New Hampshire Secretary of State's website, COMPLAINT - 3

inhibiting the Plaintiff from actively pursuing Campaign Finance Funds from others due to the illegally altered "Requirements for Office for U.S. Representative" that stipulate wrongly that this Plaintiff is not qualified for said Ballot, The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISLTE, prays that the Court will without haste order the Elected and Appointed Officers of the State of New Hampshire to immediately and without haste restore the Law of Article 1 Section 2 Paragraph 2 of the Constitution of the United States in Accordance to the Xth Amendment and Title 18 U.S.C. Section 242 on ALL New Hampshire Legal Documents, Publications, Internet and Website Postings to prevent any future harm to the Plaintiff or other Constitutionally qualified Ballot Candidates and to prevent misleading Educational understanding of the Law of the Constitution of the United States for The People and Citizens of the United States. The Plaintiff, DAVID JOHN THISTLE, also respectfully requests he Court to provide and extend Witness Protection to the Plaintiff and his family due to the nature of the High Political and Sate Offices held by the Defendants. Dated this day of 10, December 2021, David John Thistle, Pro-Se COMPLAINT - 4

INITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE TO CONGRESS

11th Congressional District Special Election

August 3, 2021 – Primary Election November 2, 2021 - General Election

PARTY CANDIDATE FOR PRIMARY

PETITION NUMBER:

SIGNATURES:

50 (Major Party)

25 (Recognized Minor Party)

FILING DEADLINE:

May 5, 2021 - 4:00 P.M. (90 days before the primary)

FILING FEE:

\$50.00 + \$35.00 O.E.C. Fee = Total Fee \$85.00

**INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE FOR GENERAL

PETITION NUMBER:

4-3F

SIGNATURES:

SEE BELOW

FILING DEADLINE:

August 2, 2021 - 4:00 P.M. (day before primary)

FILING FEE:

\$50.00 + \$35.00 O.E.C. Fee = Total Fee \$85.00

For Representative	NO.	ELECT 2021	TERM LENGTH	DISTRICT & CURRENT OFFICE HOLDER	SIGNATURES INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE
to Congress	4	1	1 Year	U. S. REPRESENTATIVE	1% OF 2018 GOVERNOR VOTE
(Unexpired Term Ending 1/3/2023)		les in Cu Coun laps Sum	-	11th Vacant (Marcia L. Fudge)	Min. – 2,566 Max. – 7,698

Term commences the fourth day of January (US Const, Am. XX §1) 47 April 3 7 pm 4 Jan

Must be an inhabitant of the state from which elected.

Must be a U.S. citizen for seven (7) years prior to election.

Minimum age: twenty-five (25) years.

Candidate does not have to live in the district to run.

A person holding an elective office for which candidates are nominated at a party primary may become a candidate for a different political party if the person completes and files the prescribed form 10-Y, Declaration of Intent to Change Political Party Affiliation, by 4 p.m. on April 5, 2021, the 30th day before the filing deadline for the August 3, 2021 Election. No person filing this form shall file another such form for a period of ten years. (ORC 3513.04, 3513.052, 3513.191).

Designation of party for independent candidates refer to ORC 3505.03

DO NOT GIVE CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES ANY CAMPAIGN FINANCE INFORMATION OR FORMS. THEY MUST CONTACT THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION (FEC) at 1-800-424-9530 or http://www.fec.gov/.

Reminder: Candidate Contact Information Sheet is Required When Filing

Declaration of Intent to be a Write-In Candidate

Office of United States Senator or United States Representative

R C 3513.041

To be completed, signed by the candidate named herein, and filed with the appropriate county board of elections or, if the office is to be voted on statewide, the Secretary of State's Elections Division, not later than 4 p.m. of the 72nd day before the primary or general election, whichever is appropriate.

Filing must be accompanied by the fees indicated in R.C. 3513.10.


'9		the undersigned, dea	clare under penalty o	f election falsification	that my
Name of Candidate					
residence address is		1		, phio	*
	Street Number a or Rural Route :		City or Village	Zip C	code
l possess the necessary qualif	fications to b	e a candidate for the	office of		for:
(Check one box and fill in the					
or unexpired term ending		· , i	n the		
I further declare if elected, I qu	ualify therefo	or.	(lde	entify the state or district o	f the office)
I desire to be a write-in candic	date for the c	office stated above for	:		,
(complete one of the following two op	otions):		·		,
☐ Nomination of the	,		Party at the prim	ary election to be he	d
	(Na	me of Political Party)			•
	1				
on the	_ day of	- (Month)	(Year)		
		(Month) n to be held on the		(Month)	(Year)
		. ,		(Month)	(Year)
		. ,		(Month)	(Year)
		. ,		(Month)	(Year)

Declaration of Intent to be a Write-In Candidate Office of United States Senator or United States Representative

R.C. 3513.041

To be completed, signed by the candidate named herein, and filed with the appropriate county board of elections or, if the office is to be voted on statewide, the Secretary of State's Elections Division, not later than 4 p.m. of the 72nd day before the primary or general election, whichever is appropriate.

Filing must be accompanied by the fees ind	icated in R.C. 3513.	10.		
	the undersigned, de	eclare under penal	ty of election falsification	on that my
Name of Candidate)
residence address is			<u></u>	
Street Number a (or Rural Route a		City or Village	State	ZIp Code
I possess the necessary qualifications to be	a candidate for the	office of		for:
(Check one box and fill in the appropriate d				
or unexpired term ending		in the		
I further declare if elected, I qualify therefor	;		(Identify the state or district	of the office)
I desire to be a write-in candidate for the of	fice stated above fo	r:		
(complete one of the following two options):				
Nomination of the		Party at the p	rimary election to be he	əld
(Nam	ne of Political Party)			
on the day of	(Month)	(Year)		
Election at the general election	to be held on the	day of _	(Month)	(Year)
•		٠.	Çu vu,	, ,
		•		
			X	
				(Signature of Candidate)



NOTICES

Special Election - Derry

Notice Re. E-Notarization Law

Statement of Secretary of State Bill Gardner regarding the Impact of H.R. 1 on New Hampshire Elections

Windham Election Audit

Press Release-Windham Forensic Audit Team's Report

View All

How can we help you today?

SEARCH



Running For Office / Qualifications for Office

Running For Office

Qualifications for Office

GOVERNOR - Must be 30 years of age, a registered voter and domiciled in New Hampshire for at least 7 years.

UNITED STATES SENATOR – Must be 30 years of age, a registered voter in New Hampshire and a United States Citizen for at least 9 years.

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE - Must be 25 years of age and a United States Citizen for at least 7 years. Candidate does not have to live in same district they are a candidate for; however, must be domiciled and a registered voter in New Hampshire.

EXECUTIVE COUNCILOR - Must be 30 years of age, a registered voter and domicited in New Hampshire for at least 7 years. Must live in executive council district they are a candidate for.

STATE SENATOR - Must be 30 years of age, a registered voter and domiciled in New Hampshire for at least 7 years. Must live in senate district they are a candidate for.

STATE REPRESENTATIVE - Must be 18 years of age, a registered voter and domiciled in New Hampshire for at least 2 years. Must live in town or ward they are a candidate for.

COUNTY OFFICER - Must be 18 years of age and a registered voter in the county where you are domiciled. Must also be aware of other provisions of law regarding qualifications for particular county offices.

GO BACK

(EWCL 2.)

----- Original Message -----Subject: Secretary of State's Web Site Date: 2021-12-10 09:29 From: Orville Fitch <Orville.Fitch@sos.nh.gov> To: "david@thistle.world" <david@thistle.world> Mr. Thistle, The Secretary of State's Website has been revised: NH-SOS - Qualifications for Office [1] Orville B. Fitch II Elections Legal Counsel, Assistant Secretary of State Secretary of State's Office State House Room 204 107 N. Main St. Concord, New Hampshire 03301 Bud.Fitch@sos.nh.gov (603) 271-5335

Links:

[1] https://sos.nh.gov/elections/running-for-office/running-for-office/qualifications-for-office/ <Thistlev.NewHampshire.docx>

(ENGL.3)

NEW HAMPSHIRE DEPARTMENT OF STATE



Erin T. Hennessey

Deputy Secretary of State

David M. Scanlan Secretary of State

Patricia Lovejoy
Senior Deputy Secretary of State

October 6, 2022

David John Thistle
Via e-mail: david@thistle.world
spacerangeradml@icloud.com

Dear Mr. Thistle:

The Secretary of State's Office has received your request for:

"[C]opies of all Oaths or Affirmations of Office for the following Officers and former Officers of the State. I also respectfully request the corresponding NH RSA code for each individual Office held.

- 1. Governor Christopher Sununu (All Offices held and Previous Oaths or Affirmations)
- 2. Former Secretary of State William "Bill" Gardner (note: Every Election)
- 3. Secretary of State David Scanlan (All Offices held and previous Oaths or Affirmations) 4. Deputy Secretary of State Mr. Orville "Bud" Fitch (All Offices held and previous Oaths or Affirmations of Office) 5. Attorney General John Formella (All Offices held and Oaths or Affirmations of Office>)"

Please find attached copies of oath forms for Governor Christopher Sununu and Attorney General John Formella.

The Secretary of State and Deputy Secretary of State take the oath orally, typically at a session of the Legilature. We possess no written oath forms for Secretary Scanlan or Secretary Gardner.

Orville "Bud" Fitch is an at will employee of the Department of State, currently assigned as Election Legal Counsel in an Assistant Secretary of State position. As such he does not hold an office in the Department of State that requires an oath of office.

This completes the Secretary of State's office response to your request.

ncerety yours

Orville B. Fitch II

Elections Legal Counsel, Assistant Secretary of State Secretary of State's Office State House Room 204
107 N. Main St.

Concord, New Hampshire 03301

Bud.Fitch@sos.nh.gov

(603) 271-5335

of Portsmouth

do solemnly swear, that I will bear faith and true allegiance to the United States of America and the state of New Hampshire, and will support the constitutions thereof. So help me God.

Signature M. M. File

I, John M. Formella
do solemnly and sincerely swear and affirm that I will
faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the
duties incumbent on me as

Attorney General

according to the best of my abilities, agreeably to the rules and regulations of this constitution and laws of the state of New Hampshire. So felp me God.

Signature f

State of New Hampshire County of Merrimach...ss.

On the 22 day of two thousand and twenty-one, the said John M. Formella took and subscribed the above oaths.

Before us, comm Exp

Sulle 10 25 22

Destice of the Peace or Notary Public

SUR M. 2024

Justice of the Peace or Notary Public

To be returned to the Office of the Secretary of State State House, Room 204, 107 North Main St, Concord, NH 03301

March 24, 2021

I Christopher T. Sununu

of Newfields

do solemnly swear, that I will bear faith and true allegiance to the United States of America and the state of New Hampshire, and will support the constitutions thereof. So help me God.

Signature Cliff Summe

I, Christopher T. Sununu

do solemnly and sincerely swear and affirm that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent on me as

GOVERNOR

according to the best of my abilities, agreeably to the rules and regulations of this constitution and laws of the state of New Hampshire. So help me God.

Signature

Concord, New Hampshire

Date January 5, 2017



Alabama Secretary of State



Alabama Votes

Candidates

PACs

Public & Media

Contact Us

Minimum Qualifications for Public Office

<u>Home</u> > <u>Alabama Votes</u> > Minimum Qualifications for Public Office

Office	Minimum Age	State Resident	•	Registered Voter 1	Term of Office	Number of Terms
US Senate 2	30	1 day	9 years	yes	6	no limit
US House of Representatives3	25	1 day	7 years	yes	2	no limit
Governor 4, 6	30	7 years	10 years	yes	4	2
Lt. Governor 4, 6	30	7 years	10 years	yes	4	2
Secretary of State 5,6	25	5 years	7 years	yes	4	2
Attorney General 5,6	25	5 years	7 years	yes	4	2
State Auditor 5,6	25	5 years	7 years	yes	4	2
State Treasurer 5,6	25	5 years	7 years	yes	4	2

STATE OF ALASKA DIVISION OF ELECTIONS QUALIFICATIONS FOR HOLDING OFFICE

STATEWIDE CANDIDATES are those seeking the office of United States Senator, United States Representative, Governor or Lieutenant Governor. The qualifications for these offices are as follows:

United States Senator

- ♦ 30 years of age;
- citizen of the United States for 9 years; and
- an inhabitant of the state from which elected.

United States Representative

- ♦ 25 years of age;
- citizen of the United States for 7 years; and
- an inhabitant of the state from which elected.

Governor and Lieutenant Governor

- At least 30 years of age on the first Monday in December following the election;
- citizen of the United States for at least 7 years;
- qualified voter of the state;
- resident of Alaska for at least 7 years immediately preceding filing for office.

DISTRICTWIDE CANDIDATES are those seeking the office of State Senator and State Representative. The qualifications for these offices are as follows:

State Senator

- ◆ At least 25 years of age on the first scheduled day of the first regular session of the legislature convened after the election;
- ◆ 3 year resident of Alaska; and
- ◆ 1 year resident of the district from which elected immediately preceding filing for office.

State Representative

- ◆ At least 21 years of age on the first scheduled day of the first regular session of the legislature convened after the election;
- ♦ 3 year resident of Alaska; and
- ◆ 1 year resident of the district from which elected immediately preceding filing for office.

TERMS & QUALIFICATIONS

Federal Offices

President: [U. S. Constitution, Article II, Section 1, as amended by Amendment XXII]

- Four year term of office
- Limited to two (2) four year terms
- Must be "a natural born" U. S. citizen
- Must be a U. S. resident for fourteen (14) years
- Must be a minimum of thirty-five (35) years of age

For additional information see:

- Presidential Electors A.C.A. §§7-8-301, 7-8-302
- Ballot access for Group Presidential Candidates on page 20 A.C.A. §7-8-302
- Ballot access for Independent Candidates on page 20 A.C.A. §7-8-302

U.S. Senate: [U. S. Constitution, Article I, §3]

- Six year term of office
- Must be a U. S. citizen for nine (9) years
- Must be an Arkansas resident
- Must be a minimum of thirty (30) years of age

U.S. House of Representatives: [U. S. Constitution, Article I, §2]

- Two year term of office
- Must be a U. S. citizen for seven (7) years
- · Must be an Arkansas resident
- Must be a minimum of twenty-five (25) years of age

For exploratory and candidacy organization information contact:

- The Federal Election Commission (FEC) at 1-800-424-9530 or www.fec.gov
- Reference forms:

FEC Form 2, Statement of Candidacy

FEC Form 1, Statement of Organization

State Offices

Governor and Lieutenant Governor:

- Four year term of office Amendment 63, §1
- Limited to two (2) four year terms Amendment 73, §1
- Must possess the qualifications of an elector Article 19, §3
- Must be a U. S. citizen Article 6, §5
- Must be an Arkansas resident for seven (7) years Article 6, §5



Menu

Candidate Qualifications

Federal

Office President		
Age 35 [<u>1]</u>		
State residence		
District residence n/a		
US citizen Natural born [<u>1</u>]		
Length of term 4 years [<u>2</u>]	:	
Number of terms 2 terms [3]		

Office US Representative Age 25 State residence Yes District residence No US citizen 7 years [4]

^ Top

Length of term

Fwd: Unconstitutional Criminal Actions of the OHIO Sec. of State.

David Thistle <spacerangeradm1@icloud.com>

Fri 16-Jul-21 02:06 PM

To: The UPS Store #0003 <store0003@theupsstore.com>

CAUTION! This email originated from outside of the organization. Please do not open attachments or click links from an unknown or suspicious origin.

Please print.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: david@thistle.world

Date: July 16, 2021 at 2:03:51 PM PDT

To: watchdog@oig.ohio.gov

Cc: tips@fbi.gov

Subject: Unconstitutional Criminal Actions of the OHIO Sec. of State.

Dear OHIO Office of Inspector General,

Unfortunately and with deep regret, I have to inform you of the illegal and unconstitutional changes made by the Secretary of State's Office of OHIO to Article 1 of the US Constitution on several "Official Ballot Forms" required to become a Candidate for US Congress. Currently, after several phone calls and verbal debates, the Office of the Sec. of State in OHIO corrected the changes. However, changing your criminal activity to correct "Official Ballot Forms" during a truncated Special Congressional Election Cycle does not, I repeat DOES NOT hold you unaccountable for the criminal activity of altering the US Constitution Article 1 in accordance with the 10th Amendment.

Forms: 1.) Form No. 13-C Prescribed by Secretary of State (09-17) changed on website on Monday July 12, 2021 to: Form No. 13-C Prescribed by Secretary of State (06-21)

- 2.) Form No. 4-3-F Prescribed by Secretary of State (09-17) changed on website on Monday July 12, 2021 to: Form No. 3-F Prescribed by Secretary of State (06-21)
- 3.) Unnumbered Form dated 3.18.21 Titled: "UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE TO CONGRESS. Note: No changes made to my knowledge for this form.

11th Congressional District Special Election August 3, 2021-Primary Election November 2, 2021-General Election

The creation of the unconstitutional "Official Ballot Forms" is in direct violation of the 10th Amendment of the United States Constitution. This is proof of Election Tampering within

the highest Election Oversight Office of OHIO (Secretary of State.) This is not only unacceptable in a "TIME SENSITIVE ELECTION CYCLE" it is in complete violation of the 10th Amendment and is in my view criminal.

When you are a Secretary of State it is of extreme importance to know the laws and requirements of the United States Constitution. To unconstitutionally and purposefully block Ballot Candidates is illegal.

I would like to respectfully request a full and thorough investigation into this matter. I would also like to respectfully request the immediate removal of Mr. Frank LaRose from the Office of Secretary of State for the criminal and unconstitutional changes to Article 1 "Requirements for Candidates for Congress" made by his Office to the "Official Ballot Candidate Forms" violating the 10th Amendment during the pending investigation.

I am willing to send you the unconstitutional "Official Ballot Candidate Forms" I previously printed off of the Secretary of State of OHIO website. Also, the unconstitutional "Official Ballot Candidate Forms" that were mailed to me by the OHIO, County of Cuyahoga Election's Office.

The enforcement and preservation of the LAWS of the US Constitution are near and dear to my heart. I swore my life to defend The Constitution of the United States against ALL enemies, foreign and domestic. Any State Official who arbitrarily or independently alters The Constitution of the United States without the proper authority as written in the 10th Amendment is the domestic enemy of every United States Citizen.

Hard copies of the unconstitutional aforementioned forms are available upon request. Also, times and dates of phone calls in regard to this matter are available as well. As a United States 100% Combat Related Disabled Veteran I will NEVER allow, idly sit by and watch, or approve of the blatant unconstitutional illegal activity that Mr. Frank LaRose, the Sec. of State of OHIO is guilty of.

Nemo me impune lacessit,

David John Thistle



Responsibilities of the Office of the Ohio Inspector General

Safeguarding Integrity in State Government

The Office of the Ohio Inspector General is authorized by state law to investigate alleged wrongful acts or omissions committed by state officers or state employees involved in the management and operation of state agencies. We at the Inspector General's Office recognize that the majority of state employees and public officials are hardworking, honest, and trustworthy individuals. However, we also believe that the responsibilities of this Office are critical in ensuring that state government and those doing or seeking to do business with the State of Ohio act with the highest of standards.

As an independent state agency, the Office of the Ohio Inspector General is committed to investigating allegations of wrongful acts or omissions without bias or outside influence. It is paramount that the investigative process is conducted in a timely, thorough, and impartial manner. The Office is dedicated to the principle that no public servant, regardless of rank or position is above the law, and the strength of our government is built on the solid character of the individuals who hold the public trust.

The jurisdiction of the Inspector General is limited to the executive branch of state government. It extends to the Governor, the Governor's cabinet and staff, state agencies, departments, and boards and commissions. Our jurisdiction includes state universities and state medical colleges, but does not include community colleges. The courts, the General Assembly, and the offices of the Secretary of State, the Auditor of State, the Treasurer of State and the Attorney General, and their respective employees and staffs are statutorily excluded from the jurisdiction of the Office of the Inspector General. Likewise, we have no authority to investigate allegations concerning any federal, county, municipal or other local officials, agencies, or governing bodies.

Those individuals who contract with state agencies or who otherwise do business with the state may also fall under our purview. Investigations may result from complaints received by the Office, or are opened through the initiative of the Office of the Ohio Inspector General.

The Ohio Inspector General does not become involved in private disputes, labor/management issues, or litigation. We do not review or override the decisions on the merits of a court or the findings of any administrative body. In order for the Office to begin an investigation, allegations of wrongdoing must specifically relate to wrongful acts or omissions committed by state officials or state agencies.

Similarly, we are not an advocate for either the state agency or the complainant in any particular case. Our obligation is to ensure that the investigative process is conducted fully, fairly, and impartially. As independent fact finders, we may or may not find wrongdoing as the result of an investigation. Nonetheless, we reserve the right to make administrative recommendations for improving the internal controls and operations of an agency.

Occasionally, matters investigated by our Office fall within the jurisdiction of other agencies such as law enforcement, prosecuting authorities, and regulatory bodies. In such instances, we may refer a case to, or work with, one or more of those entities in order to conduct a thorough and complete investigation.




Instructions for completing and submitting this form

- Please complete this form in its entirety.
 - o Click on the box next to each field and fill in the appropriate information.
- > We encourage you to add a detailed narrative of your complaint at the end of this document.
 - o Don't forget to save your changes.
- > Submitting this form via email:
 - Save this completed form and email it to us at: watchdog@oig.ohio.gov
 - o Don't forget to attach the completed document to your email.
- > Submitting this form via fax:
 - o Save and print the completed form.
 - o Fax it to us at (614) 644-9504.
- > Submitting this form by regular mail:
 - o Save and print the completed form.
 - o Mail it to us at:

OFFICE OF THE OHIO INSPECTOR GENERAL Attention: Intake Committee 30 East Broad Street, Suite 2940 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3414

OR GENERAL COMPLAINT FORM



WRONGFUL ACT OR OMISSION BY STATE AGENCY OR EMPLOYEE

COMPLAINANT INFORMATION		
YOUR NAME: David John Thistle	Requesting Confidentiality? Yes 🗌 No 🗹	
	If yes, Why?	
PERSONAL DATA	Str. State That a Talla CIA 02027	
Address: 5580 La Jolla Blvd. #360	City, State, Zip: La Jolla, CA 92037 Phone: Cell Phone: 619-603-2466	
Email Address: david@thistle.world	Phone: Cell Phone: 619-603-2466	
WORK DATA	District - us Condidate for IIC Congress	
Name of Employer: Thistle for Congress 11th I	(10, 602, 0466	•
Email Address: david@thistle.world	Phone: Cell Phone: 619-603-2466	
	COMPLAINT DETAILS	
	COMPLAINT DETAILS	
	OT OTHER DAY OF STATE	
NAME OF STATE AGENCY INVOLVED:	SECRETARY OF STATE	
State Employee(s) or Individual(s) Inv		
Name: Frank LaRose	Position: Secretary of State, OHIO	
Contact Information:		
Name:	Position:	
Contact Information:		,
Name:	Position:	
Contact Information:		
Name:	Position:	
Contact Information:		
Witnesses		
•	Phone: work home	
Name:		
	Address: work home	
Position:	Employer:	
Name:	Phone: work home	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Address: work home	
Position:	Employer:	
Name:	Phone: work home	
	Address: work home	
Position	Employer:	

Have you reported this information to any other agency? Yes 🗹 No 🗌
If yes, which one(s)? Federal Bureau of Investigation and Federal Elections Commission
What is the status of the matter?
Is there any civil, criminal, or administrative litigation pending in this matter? Yes 🗌 No 🗹
If yes, what is the current status?
How do you know about the information you are disclosing in this complaint?
Personal or direct knowledge? Personal Knowledge
Other (please explain)?
Please provide a narrative of the alleged wrongdoing or omission (add additional pages as needed) 3 counts of Violations of 10th Amendment of The United States Constitution by creating unconstitutional changes to Artice 1 of The United Sates Constitution on Official Ballot Candidate Forms for OHIO 11th Congressional District Special Election 2021. Please see attached e-mail complaint.
The state of the s
Car John Company of the Company of t

I understand that by submitting this statement, I represent that the information contained herein is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge. (Click to check the box)

Pursuant to §121.42 of the Ohlo Revised Code, the Office of the Inspector General has authority to investigate wrongful acts or omissions that were committed by a state employee, state officer or by a person (including but not limited to, an individual, corporation, business, or association) doing business with the State of Ohlo. The Office does not have authority to investigate the general assembly; any court; the Secretary of State, Auditor of State, Treasurer of State, Attorney General and their respective employees.

IMPORTANT NOTE: Simply completing this form will not automatically submit it to our Office. You must send the completed form via email, fax, or regular mail once you have filled in the required information.