

Please note: excuse this lineALLpages.....=

due to copyprinter,
needs some repair/

ORIGINAL

No. _____

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

R.J. Kulick

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

VS.

Beverly Vandermeulen; et al.,

— RESPONDENT(S)

Motion To The Clerk To File The Peitition OUT OF TIME
ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

USCA-9, Case #22-55751

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

R.J. Kulick in Pro Per

(Your Name)

38122 Village 38

(Address)

Camarillo, CA 93012

(City, State, Zip Code)

310-474-1848

(Phone Number)

Please note: excuse this line ANY pages...=

* due to copy/
printer need/
some repair/

No. _____

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

R.J. Kulick

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

VS.

Beverly Vandermeulen; et al.,

— RESPONDENT(S)

Motion To The Clerk To File the Petition OUT Of Time & For
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS*

The petitioner asks leave to file the attached petition for a writ of certiorari without prepayment of costs and to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

Please check the appropriate boxes:

☒ Petitioner has previously been granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in the following court(s):

Supreme Court of U.S., Case#18-6383

Supreme Court of U.S., Case#21-6216

☐ Petitioner has not previously been granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in any other court.

☒ Petitioner's affidavit or declaration in support of this motion is attached hereto.

☐ Petitioner's affidavit or declaration is not attached because the court below appointed counsel in the current proceeding, and:

☐ The appointment was made under the following provision of law: _____

OR

☐ a copy of the order of appointment is appended.




(Signature)

5-18-23, Via U.S. Mail: Extremely Urgent to: Chief Justice Roberts, Jr., as Administrator, U.S. Supreme Court & also to: Scott S. Harris, Clerk & for Roberts, Jr. as Administrator to forward a copy of this matter to: Associate Justices: From: R.J. Kulick in Pro Per, 38122 Village 38, Camarillo, CA 93012: Your most Honorable Roberts, Jr. as Administrator: 1) I, R.J. Kulick, declare under the penalty of perjury that everything in this matter below is true & correct to the best of my knowledge & belief & abilities (evidence in support given prior that suffering under ADA of 1990 & its side-effects from medications which now more than ever doing anything or going anywhere for anything painful medical hardships-on a pain scale 1 to 10 a level 8 daily-unable to walk let alone enough time & energy to avoid COVID-19 exposure with weak immune system as elderly senior-taken three booster shots-confined a lot to home bedrest & lifelong and Dyslexia condition-obstacle & have no computer nor knowledge how to use one, excuse any typo errors-Dyslexia): Signed: R.J. Kulick *M* Dated: 5-18-23: Subject: 4/20, 5/4 correspondance to: Roberts,

Jr., as Administrator & Mr. Harris, Clerk-were replied on 5-10-23 by Ms. Nesbitt on behalf of Mr. Harris. This in Ref: to USCA-9, Case #22-55751 in which Ms. Nesbitt informed "you must submit your petition with a motion to direct the Clerk to file the petition out of time". Enclosed is my submission of that motion. Trust this Court will grant this "motion". It's my heartfelt desire to have this case filed & give a case #. Whether this case matter given a hearing or not, at least I've had my day in Court which means a great deal to me: Await your written confirm of receipt of this above matter/status which will be greatly appreciated. Respectively/sincerely, R.J. Kulick in Pro Per *M* c:party(s) of concern

encls:



UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JAN 25 2023

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

R. J. KULICK,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

BEVERLY VANDERMEULEN; et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 22-55751

D.C. No. 2:22-cv-01179-MEMF-AS
Central District of California,
Los Angeles

ORDER

Before: SILVERMAN, GRABER, and BENNETT, Circuit Judges.

Kulick's petitions for panel rehearing and rehearing en banc (Docket Entry
No. 7) are rejected as untimely.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

OCT 18 2022

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

R. J. KULICK,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

BEVERLY VANDERMEULEN; et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 22-55751

D.C. No. 2:22-cv-01179-MEMF-AS

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Central District of California
Maame Ewusi-Mensah Frimpong, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted October 12, 2022**

Before: SILVERMAN, GRABER, and BENNETT, Circuit Judges.

R.J. Kulick appeals pro se from the district court's order denying his motion for a preliminary injunction in his action alleging federal and state law violations.

We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1). We review for an abuse of discretion. *Jackson v. City & County of San Francisco*, 746 F.3d 953, 958 (9th

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Cir. 2014). We affirm.

The district court did not abuse its discretion by denying Kulick's motion for a preliminary injunction because Kulick failed to demonstrate that such relief is warranted. *See id.* (plaintiff seeking preliminary injunction must establish that he is likely to succeed on the merits, he is likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief, the balance of equities tips in his favor, and an injunction is in the public interest).

AFFIRMED.