

Exhibit 12

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Meghan Kelly)	Civil Action No.: 1:21-1490 (CFC)
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	
Disciplinary Counsel Patricia B.)	
Swartz, et.al)	
Defendants.)	

PLAINTIFF MEGHAN KELLY'S TWENTY SECOND AFFIDAVIT UPDATE

Comes now Plaintiff Meghan Kelly, I declare and affirm that the foregoing statement is true and correct.

1. Upon information and belief there is a plan to slowly overthrow the government.
2. Creating a moratorium on home foreclosures may slow down the plan to recoup resources including land by private and foreign entities who seek to control the government only to overtake the government down the line. My former law firm makes money off of foreclosed lender owned properties which are called Real Estate Owned properties (REOs) because they have a meticulous reputation in representing lender owned buyers. So, if I rejoined my former law firm, I would make more money the worse off people are. Yet, that is terrible. I do not want people to be worse off. Money is not my God and guide. I am commanded to love people, not sacrifice them to serve monetary gain to care for my own. I would rather earn less money and care for people than make more money under the lie money is the savior to save oppressed people. I believe just laws and justice in the courts is the savior of oppressed people.

3. I believe in the courts and individual judges who independently critically think, even if you disagree with me. Without independent critically thinking people judges, none are free. We are for sale products to exploit for material gain as opposed to serve, unearned required should money, material gain, even gaining the world be the aim. I believe that elected officials, judges and even lawyers serve lawless lusts for implementing and arguing for unjust decrees, by

valuing money, convenience and avoidance of costs more than individual liberty and people. I believe people go to hell when they teach justice is a matter of barter or exchange, or compromise, which compromises evil for good instead of truth. I believe people go to hell when they teach justice is a business which makes the rich and well connected and those with something to barter with other than their souls able to buy their will be done like Satan.

4. I ran for office in 2018 on an environmental platform. Yet, I proposed ways to care for the environment and decrease pollution without burdening the backs of the common people. Unlike the green global plan I did not require the common people pay to clean up someone else's mess to increase unjust gains. I believe people serve lawless lusts leading to their damnation in hell when they enslave people to their dictates under the guise of "doing their part." Such societal, economic or physical pressures eliminates freedom by the forced will of government backed private or foreign partners which creates a foundation to eliminate the rule of law down the line to be replaced by reign by lusts, the mark of the damned which is sad.

5. It is lawless vanities reflecting the image of Satan to require the government backed foreign and private partners will be done, eliminating free will by economic, social or physical force in a fixed slave market as schemed ahead. We should have a free market with laws restraining private and foreign entities from oppressing, harming, killing, stealing and destroying people for the bottom line. Just laws to stop rewarding made to break, repair, service or replace is the solution. The alleged green products, made to disintegrate still require pollution in production and transportation of the made not to last products. Money is the problem not solution. Money for research is the problem as it creates incentive to create problems to gain more money. Money contracted or granted to private or foreign entities creates an unequal playing field in a fixed not fair economy.

6. When I ran for office in 2018, I discovered lobbyists controlled the government and chose their leaders not the people. They talked at the 38th democratic meetings, “who should we pick.” They did not allow the people to choose in fair or free but fixed elections where lobbyists chose both sides. Artesian and other entities donated to both sides, making business backers above the law by the temptation to reward those who serve elected official’s seats. That is dirty and corrupt, not a fair or free elections, but a for sale election. I strongly disagree with Citizen’s United and other recent United States Supreme Court cases, where the court purports to safeguard freedom. Yet it blindly sacrifices individual freedom to serve entities without hearts. The court is confused and misguided by mere tradition and the temptation that standardized bad judgment cases costs, convenience and productivity at the sacrifice of justice. More injustice is not fair when the outcome of law suits is not about truth, but is a matter of bater and exchange leaving the rich, well connected and the powerful above the law’s correction since they barter and buy outcomes.

7. Citizen’s United is corrupt. I believe the vote should be the only fair and equally protected means to select leaders. No money should be given to elected officials. I believe the US Supreme Court is wrong in Citizens United and other cases where they sacrifice individuals and individual liberty to serve entities without hearts who have no power to do good.

8. Entities in turn are not free but are controlled by those who entice their collective interests by reward and threat of harm. We eliminate freedom when we bow down and worship the mark of the beast, conditional conformed lusts, with no power to unconditionally love, unrestrained by just laws to prevent people from enslaving and oppressing each other through social, economic or physical pressures like devils.

9. The Supreme Court has been wrong in a series of decisions, but I am disappointed in the Third Circuit who was previously in the right before the US Supreme Court overturned cases in the termination of the postal worker who was fired for practicing religious beliefs concerning the Sabbath. It is even more important to protect the US postal worker's religious belief to worship on the sabbath without government US postage pressure to forgo his religious belief to buy and sell as an employee. Why? There are political preacher attacks against Catholics and officials associating as Catholic who think people like me, the president and Catholic supreme court judges are naughty because the church changed the sabbath day. Though I usually go on Saturdays when I go. Some loud political preachers with 1000s of followers think we Catholics are naughty antichrist. Ask yourself am I naughty Do I love you as a human being, or would I sacrifice you to serve my own which is naughty. Human sacrifice is always wrong. There are lobbyists who distort religion to serve material gain. These misguided government partners serve greed not people by seeking material gain.

10. Freedoms are not for sale, in exchange for professional licenses. When the courts make business the law, by making professionals the law, by self-regulating, money, not freedom, or the people, is protected. Individuals and individual liberty are instead sacrificed under the lie money grants freedom when it creates slavery by how it is coined. There is scarcity by design. The problem is not there are too few workers funding social security and tax coffers for pensions. The system is rigged.

11. I disagree with the Supreme Court's decisions in *Masterpiece Cakeshop, Ltd. v. Colo. Civil Rights Comm'n*, 138 S. Ct. 1719, 1721 (2018); which is distinguished from my case in that I am punished for exercising my private individual religious belief, private religious-speech, in my private-personal religious petitions. Whereas a business receiving a government

license to buy and sell in *Masterpiece Cakeshop* was permitted to choose who is worthy of buying and selling, based on relationship on religious grounds.

12. I understand there may be 13th Amendment arguments against compelled servitude. And yet, business is not freedom. A license is freely accepted and the private holder must not disparately treat customers based on the exercise of the customer's free will to believe and live differently than the merchant. Again my case, is different in that I am persecuted by the state based on my exercise of fundamental rights, my private First Amendment right to petition, my private First Amendment right to religious belief, exercise of belief, My First Amendment right to be free from the government established forced religion, my private-First Amendment right to association, even as a Jesus-lawyer, my First Amendment right to speech and other rights, Equal protection, procedural due process, right to self-represent, call witnesses and so on. I am not seeking government authority to disparately treat consumers as unworthy to serve based on my disagreement of their religious or secular belief.

13. Some religions include involuntary servitude, forced caste systems and human sacrifice. If the government grants a license to private professionals to use religion to oppress, and blackball others through licensed or government backed businesses or not for profits, we are not free people, but are bartered for under a fixed government backed economy which protects discrimination not based on quality of goods and services but partiality.

14. Similarly, I believe the Supreme Court is misguided by money saved or gained by entities who under the guise of freedom of religion, control people, forcing their religious views, by business greed again in *Little Sisters of the Poor Saints Peter & Paul Home v. Pennsylvania*, 140 S. Ct. 2367, 207 L. Ed. 2d 819 (2020). Here, "The Supreme Court... held that ACA authorized Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) to exempt or accommodate

employers' religious or moral objections to providing no-cost contraceptive coverage." This arguably saves the employer more money in insurance costs, at the exchange of losing coverage for their employees, bartering away, selling other people's free choice, their souls, or freedoms, for the bottom line by forced choice. It is my religious belief this is based on the mark of the beast, business greed, under the guise of good. I see it as enslaving others to bend to the employer's religious will, diminishing their free will, by economic force, potentially losing a job. The Supreme Court is bartering away people's freedoms to artificial entities without hearts, businesses, not for profits and charities, without the ability to reflect the image of God, by unconditional love. Entities run on cash or conditional labor with no ability to unconditional love by their nature which is collective, contingent conformity. Jesus teaches you cannot serve God and Money. I choose God.

15. Money is not speech either. It is bought not free, not freedom of speech. If buying and bartering for a voice is free speech, only those with money power and connections, have the freedom to purchase a louder voice to be heard, in violation of the Equal protections clause component of the 5th Amendment applicable to the federal government by disparate treatment based on poverty and wealth. Wealth does not make one more important or more worthy of being heard. Looking at the bottom line creates unequal treatment and mistreatment of the poor. Do you serve people or greed, which I believe is lawlessness.

16. The US Supreme Court erred in *Citizens United v. Fed. Election Comm'n*, 558 U.S. 310, 130 S. Ct. 876, 175 L. Ed. 2d 753 (2010). This Court erred in the finding "Use of funds to support a political candidate is speech." *Austin v. Michigan Chamber of Com.*, 494 U.S. 652, 110 S. Ct. 1391, 108 L. Ed. 2d 652 (1990), overruled by *Citizens United v. Fed. Election Comm'n*, 558 U.S. 310, 130 S. Ct. 876, 175 L. Ed. 2d 753 (2010)

17. The United States Supreme Court also erred in *Our Lady of Guadalupe Sch. v. Morrissey-Berru*, 140 S. Ct. 2049, 207 L. Ed. 2d 870 (2020), rendering religious organizations to lawlessly do as they please, fire employees unjustly without remedy. It appears that if a religion allows an entity to discriminate, to do what is most advantageous for the bottom line regardless of the harm, so long as they use the name of God or religion, including non-religion, artificial entities without hearts will chose their own religion, including non-religion forcing people no longer free to bend their will to serve business greed, the mark of the beast, without discipline to sacrifice material gain to love humanity, in the form of the rule of law, or love written on humanity's hearts per *Jeremiah 31*.

18. I believe lawyers, even lawyers labeled disabled inactive lawyers like me have a duty to uphold the Constitution by telling judges their rulings violate the Constitution, even if the rulings are based on misguided holdings 100 plus years old.

19. With that said, I believe the Courts have been wrong for about 100 years with regards to holding government pay, pensions and social security are not protected under the contract clause.

20. I also believe the courts are wrong by protecting colluding private partners in a fixed not fair unequal economic system. Government contractors should be deemed government agents unprotected by the contracts clause.

21. The money the government uses to pay entities is made by enslaving not serving the people by requiring they work to pay back the federal reserve with interest with money that does not exist. It is a Ponzi scheme requiring the citizens become slaves in a fixed not free economy. The proclamation that all men are created free and the free exercise of liberties is

violated by the manner money is coined electronically or otherwise by the private entity the Federal reserve and the banks.

22. In June 2023 a debt default may occur.

23. I desire to persuade our US Attorney General to sue President Biden to change case law and to protect the rule of law, by protecting the people who govern as government employees by protecting their pay, pensions and social security. Case law shows social security, pensions and government pay are not protected and may be wiped out.

24. Should government pay, social security and pensions stop payment this June, I want US Attorney General David Weiss or US Attorney General to sue the government under a contract clause theory to change 100s of years of bad law to prevent the schemed overthrow of our government by eliminating people judges and others. The schemed overthrow is designed by temptations. They entice the government employees to wrongly enslave the people by increasing taxes the people cannot pay. The taxes will cause foreclosures and bankruptcies decreasing tax revenue in bulk despite o and because of the tax increases. Our leaders refuse to think things out by giving into temptations to serve their immediate gratification at the cost of harming the people down the line.

25. I do not want old people to go to hell. I believe the most important time of your life that determines eternity is the day of your death. In Ecclesiastes the Bible teaches the day of your death is more important than the day of your birth.

26. I believe people go to hell for trusting in money as God and savior. If old people become bitter at losing retirement and pensions or I the blame others increasing oppression by requiring others to work to care for them by force, they will go to hell, which is sad. Those who trust in money as savior get thrown into the fire as unworthy of eternal life at the last day,

regardless of whether it is through charities or work. I do not want old people to be harmed, die or be doomed to hell because they are in despair and left to die in want because the case law does not protect government pay, pensions or social security under the contracts clause.

27. The case law serves lawless lusts, making the mark o the damned the law productivity, material gain, avoidance of costs and material gain at the exchange of sacrificing souls like Satan.

28. I pray US Attorney General David Weiss or Merrick Garland bravely confronts the courts to say they were wrong to correct them to save government pat, pensions and social security of even federal judges to care for the people.

29. I sent opposing counsel and US Attorney General David Weiss an email with research on this topic the law librarian kindly sent me. (Email attached hereto without the research attached). I hope David Weiss would be the hero we need to be a life saver and eternal life saver, not with money or might, but with his mind to persuade the courts to do justice, not injustice guaranteed if no one asks.

30. I am so concerned. Congress may be crying wolf to feign the hero or to get their will be done by eliminating freedom by government control through barter or exchange. One day the wolves will come. I pray the Courts act as god shepherds caring for their flock, not sacrificing them to serve a pack who is schemed to turn on itself at some unknown time.

31. Now may be an opportunity or the US Attorney General to change case law to prevent harm to the people and the dismantling of the government by elimination of control to be controlled by those who control the money which is the global money changer and the central banks. There are plans to eliminate the government to be bank owned not free people.

Thank you for your time.

Dated 4/17/23

Respectfully submitted,

Meghan M. Kelly

Meghan Kelly, Esquire

34012 Shawnee Drive

Dagsboro, DE 19939

(Signature)

(302) 493-6693, Not acting as a lawyer

21-1490 Fw: government social security, pay and pensions

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: david.weiss@usdoj.gov; caneel.radinson-blasucci@delaware.gov; zi-xiang.shen@delaware.gov;
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Friday, April 14, 2023 at 09:58 AM EDT

Hi Caneel, Zi-Xiang and David Weiss,

David Weiss without government workers it is just automation, and no real government service.

Can you please think of different ways to save your pay, pensions and social security.

Thank you.

With sadness,
Meg

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

To: Naylor, Margaret (Courts) <margaret.naylor@delaware.gov>; Geleskie, Bethany (Courts) <bethany.geleskie@delaware.gov>

Sent: Friday, April 14, 2023 at 09:32:37 AM EDT

Subject: Re: government social security, pay and pensions

Hi Bethany,

Yipes pensions, pay and social security should be protected under the contracts clause of the US, but I see the courts say no meg.

The cases you sent are horrifying but it is up to attorneys to change the courts mind.

Austin v. United States, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 199543, 2018 WL 6168064 Cited by: ... (stating "the law is well settled that, 'public employment does not, . . . give rise to a contractual relationship in the conventional sense'") (citations omitted). In other words, Congress —and only Shepard's®: Frisbie v. United States, 157 U.S. 160 Page 26 of 68 Congress —can authorize the benefits that a retired federal employee, whether civilian or military, is entitled to receive. See Frisbie v. United States, 157 U.S. 160, 166, 15 S. Ct. 586, 39 L. Ed. 657(1895)("Pensions are the bounties of the government, which [C]ongress has the right ... Discussion: | Court: Dist. Colo. | Date: November 26, 2018 | Headnotes:: HN8

Nonholy smokes federal and state workers are in trouble. Raising taxes will decrease revenue because folks will be forced out of business, out of their homes and the wealthy write off costs.

Yipes.

Thank you,
Meg

On Friday, April 14, 2023 at 08:52:19 AM EDT, Geleskie, Bethany (Courts) <bethany.geleskie@delaware.gov> wrote:

Good morning Meg,

I've attached the Shepardized results for Frisbie v. United States, Walton v. Cotton, and United States v. Teller. I was unable to find the passage you indicated in the Weinberger case. Peggy might have better luck.

Bethany

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, April 14, 2023 8:25 AM

To: Naylor, Margaret (Courts) <Margaret.Naylor@delaware.gov>; Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>; Geleskie, Bethany (Courts) <Bethany.Geleskie@delaware.gov>

Subject: government social security, pay and pensions

Good morning Peggy and Bethany.

Thank you for all of your help.

Would you please send me the case notations shepherding these two points if applicable.


Weinberger v. Salfi, 422 U.S. 749, 772 (1975)"); "Like Social Security, and unlike most private pension plans, railroad retirement benefits are not contractual. Congress may alter, and even eliminate, them at any time."

Frisbie v. United States, 157 U.S. 160, 166 (1895) ("The pension granted by the government is a matter of bounty. "No pensioner has a vested legal right to his pension. Pensions are the bounties of the government, which Congress has the right to give, withhold, distribute, or recall, at its discretion. Walton v. Cotton, 19 How. 355." United States v. Teller, 107 U.S. 64, 68

Thank you. Hope you have a great day.

Very truly,

Meg

 _em_Shepard_s__em__ report_Frisbie v. United States 157 U.S. 160_ 15 S. Ct. 586_ 39 L. Ed. 657_ 189.PDF
374.1kB