Exhibit 9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Meghan Kelly)	Civil Action No.: 1:21-1490 (CFC)
Plaintiff,)	
v. Disciplinary Counsel Patricia B. Swartz, et.al)	FILED
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

PLAINTIFF MEGHAN KELLY'S FIFTH AFFIDAVIT UPDATE

Comes now Plaintiff Meghan Kelly, I declare and affirm that the foregoing statement is true and correct.

- PA Clerk of Court Nicole Traini rejected the Motion to Amend my motion of reconsideration, despite my case manager Josh's kind suggestion to file
 I referred to and attached this rejected Motion to the Fourth Affidavit, DI 103.
- 2. On or about December 19, 2022, I filed the attached, *Respondent Meghan M Kelly's Second Motion for a stay due to circumstances increasing prejudice and harm, new facts arising necessary to my defense of reciprocal order, and additional questions that require answers in order to defend myself in response to the reciprocal order in the alternative a continuance of 6 months,* incorporated herein as Exhibit 1. I wish I was afforded opportunity in terms of time to shorten it, and research more thoroughly, but I am fighting for time. I have been submitting things imperfectly in order to not waive my rights.

- 3. I do not know whether the PA Supreme Court Clerk Nicole Traini will deny me an opportunity to be heard by rejecting the document, just as she refused to file the letter.
- 4. PA Case manager Josh told me the Court and he will not review documents if it they are not on the docket. He indicated he will not review the rejected letter or other rejected documents I previously submitted. This prejudices me by denying me an opportunity to be heard should this latest motion be rejected too.
- 5. PA ODC also filed a response to my Second Motion to Dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction on December 13, 2022. He alludes to In the Matter of Charles Dee Septowski's reciprocity petition, a Texas attorneys' petition whose case is distinguished from mine in that he engaged in misconduct prior to his retirement in PA in 2010. I am not at liberty to attach the petitions since they remain confidential.
- 6. The First petition for misconduct against Texas Attorney Charles Dee Septowski's was filed on or about December 18, 2009.
- 7. PA ODC misleading alleges that I said "all" of the misconduct when I asserted correctly that misconduct occurred prior to Texas Attorney Charles Dee's

retirement in 2010. The PA rules allow for discipline, which occurred prior to retirement, while the Texas attorney had an active license to practice law.

1

- 8. PA ODC concedes that each and every one of the other cases he cites, but I distinguished are distinguished from mine in that the claimants did not contest the reciprocal discipline.
- 9. How may the Court know when the misconduct arose if no attorney facing discipline contests it.
- 10. I have not had time to respond to December 13, 2022 PA-ODC's answer in response to my Second Motion to Dismiss.
- 11. On December 20, 2022, PA-ODC filed an Answer to motion for the clerk to accept my rejected exhibits and exhibits included in additional pleadings.
- 12. I do not have the ability to draft an answer by Friday December 23, 2022. Because the Clerk refused to accept three filings where I allege, I am prejudiced by denial of a stay or an extension of time, it is not on the record.
- 13. PA Supreme Court previously rejected a 45-day extension, but later granted a 14-day extension.
- 14. I hope the Court accepts the most recent document I filed and does not deny me a meaningful opportunity to be heard.

- 15. I do not feel well at all. I risk death if not granted time to rest, exercise, drink water and care for myself as I have alleged before God and man, in Exhibit 43 to DI 4. I told the Delaware Supreme Court, the Chancery Court, the Third Circuit, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court in the same exhibit.
- 16. I am accustomed to people having hardness of hearts. I must assert my right to live, to live for God, even if others do not want to be inconvenienced by my limitations.
- 17. Every month I lose five pounds of water weight and require gallons of water. I have not had the time or the means to drink so much and I am quite dehydrated beyond a week.
- 18. I am not afforded water and time to care for myself. My mouth is dry and my teeth are getting damaged by the severe dehydration. I feel weak, dizzy and badly due to severe dehydration. I literally must drink gallons of water, but am denied time.
- 19. Water and time are the cure, not healthcare. I have religious objections to healthcare. Bad health care is why I suffer.
- 20. I believe PA ODC's goal is to bury me in paper to prevent my appeals to the US Supreme Court, without regard to my life health despite notice by previous filings.

21. I believe the Courts are in danger. I filed the attached with the Third Circuit, Exhibits 2 and 3, alerting the court while I am afforded an opportunity.

22. The judiciary is in danger. Without people judges lawlessness, business greed without restraint from oppressing, killing, stealing and destroying may reign. This risk must be extinguished with the rule of law, not popularity contests, the vote or societal peer pressure.

23. We are all in danger without people judges. There is no one to protect individuals or individual liberty from being sacrificed to entities under the guise of the common good.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Dated: December 20, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

Meghan Kelly, Esquire 34012 Shawnee Drive

Dagsboro, DE 19939

meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

(302) 493-6693

INACTIVE 4968

Under religious objection against my will, I declare and affirm that the foregoing statement is true and correct under the penalty of perjury.

Dated: December 20, 2022