Exhibit 4

- of Delaware against former-President Donald Trump: Meghan Kelly v. Donald Trump, Case No. 2020-0809 (September 21, 2020). The Court of Chancery dismissed Respondent's complaint. Respondent appealed to the Supreme Court of Delaware, which affirmed the Court of Chancery. On August 23, 2021, Respondent filed a writ of certiorari with the Supreme Court of the United States.
- 7. The factual averments, argument, and other content in Respondent's filings in the Delaware courts, raise serious concerns regarding her mental capacity to practice law. Respondent's statements and arguments: lack focus and clarity; are objectively illogical; and rely on non-legal sources, including the Bible, instead of appropriate legal authority. The following excerpts demonstrate, by way of example only, Respondent's apparent inability to make cogent, rational legal arguments:
 - The President's words and conduct supporting religion, as discussed below, were accepted as truth by many, thereby, instilling the belief, supporting the President's perceived thinking or conduct or his candidacy, despite all of his sinful misbehavior and in a way supporting his sins, as excusable without confession or without repentance, is supporting God, when I believe sinfully doing your own will leads to damnation. (Mark 8:34, ""Whoever desires to come after Me, let him deny himself (meaning not doing their own will, their own selfish, sinful desires, but exercise self-discipline, using their mind, their brain, which is their free will to do God's will, love), and take up his cross, and follow Me (by love in truth, not lusts in deception).""); Also see, (Matthew 16:24, Luke 9:23 regarding the same message of personal sacrifice to follow Jesus).

My religious beliefs are the reason this petition is bright.