

Nos. 22A901, 22A902

In the Supreme Court of the United States

Danco Laboratories, LLC,
Applicant,

v.

Alliance for Hippocratic Medicine, *et al.*,
Respondents.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration, *et al.*,
Applicants,

v.

Alliance For Hippocratic Medicine, *et al.*,
Respondents.

To the Honorable Samuel Alito, Associate Justice of the United States Supreme
Court and Circuit Justice for the Fifth Circuit

On Application For Stay the Judgment Entered by the United States District
Court for the Northern District of Texas, Amarillo Division

**BRIEF OF *AMICI CURIAE* WOMEN INJURED BY ABORTION, *ET AL.*
IN OPPOSITION TO STAY**

ALLAN E. PARKER, JR.
COUNSEL OF RECORD
R. CLAYTON TROTTER
MARY J. BROWNING
THE JUSTICE FOUNDATION
8023 VANTAGE DRIVE
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78230
(210) 614-7157
aparker@txjf.org

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS ii

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES iii

INTEREST OF *AMICI CURIAE* WOMEN INJURED BY ABORTION..... 1

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT..... 2

ARGUMENT 3

I. Surgical abortion is still available for women and less traumatic than chemical abortion because the injury from surgical abortion does not occur in her own home, and does not involve actually seeing the aborted child. The stay is justified because there is a substantial threat of irreparable injury and harm to multitudes of women. This injury outweighs any harm that will result if this injunction is granted since there is no harm to other women who can still obtain surgical abortions and the injunction is in the public interest. 3

 A. Women Injured By Abortion Support Third Party Standing for Doctors Supporting Regulations For Their Safety..... 7

 B. The Failure To Have Contact With A Physician, and Mail and Common Carrier Delivery, Increases The Risk Of Unduly Pressured, Coerced Or Forced Abortions 13

II. There is no harm to women if either stay below continues because, in addition to surgical abortions still available in states where abortion is legal, all fifty states now have Safe Haven laws which eliminate all burden of parenting an unwanted child. Safe Haven provides a free government safety net alternative to abortion with no abortion related trauma. 14

CONCLUSION..... 16

APPENDIX – *AMICI* WOMEN INJURED BY ABORTION

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health Org.</i> , 142 S. Ct. 2028 (2022)	7, 8, 13
<i>Doe v. Bolton</i> , 410 U.S. 179 (1973).....	1
<i>Gonzales v. Carhart</i> , 550 U.S. 124 (2007).....	1, 4, 15
<i>June Med. Servs. L.L.C. v. Russo</i> , 140 S. Ct. 2103, 2168 (2020)	7
<i>Planned Parenthood v. Rounds</i> , 686 F. 3d. 889 (Eighth Cir. 2012).....	15
<i>Roe v. Wade</i> , 410 U.S. 113 (1973)	1, 8

Other Authorities

Aid Access, https://aidaccess.org/	6
Katherine A. Rafferty and Tessa Longbons, #Abortion Changes You! A Case to Understand the Communication Tensions in Women’s Medication Abortion Narratives, 36 Health Commun. 1485, 1485-94 (2021).....	6
Elliott Institute, http://abortionrisks.org/index.php?title=Index	15
Infant Safe Haven Laws, Child Welfare Information Gateway, www.childwelfare.gov	12
National Safe Haven Alliance, www.nationalsafehavenalliance.org	12

INTEREST OF *AMICI CURIAE*

Women Injured By Abortion¹

Amici Curiae Women Injured by Abortion are women² who were injured by their own abortions. The Supreme Court has recognized that “... *some women come to regret aborting the infant life they once created and sustained... Severe depression and loss of esteem can follow.*” *Gonzales v. Carhart*, 550 U.S. 124, at 159 (2007). The Supreme Court cited to the *Amici* Brief of Sandra Cano, the former Mary Doe of *Doe v. Bolton* and 180 Women Injured By Abortion some of whom are now some of the *Amici* in this case. *Amici* are thus particularly well suited to say that easy access to under-regulated abortion does not protect them or help them; it damaged them severely. Nor should abortionists be the only ones given standing to speak for women in court.

As early as 1992, the Supreme Court has recognized that abortion can cause “devastating psychological consequences” to some women. *Planned Parenthood v. Casey*, 505 U.S. 833 at 882, (1992).

¹ No party contributed to the writing or financing of the brief. No counsel for a party authored this brief in whole or in part, and no counsel for a party made a monetary contribution intended to fund the preparation or submission of this brief. In addition, no persons or entities other than *Amici*, or their counsel, made a monetary contribution to the preparation or submission of the brief.

² Attached as an Appendix is the list of the initials, first names, or full names of the *Amicus Curiae*. In order to protect their identities, some of the women have requested that we use initials only or first name only. Each of these women’s sworn affidavits or declarations are on file at The Justice Foundation. Protecting the identity of women who have had abortions or seek abortions has been customary since *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U.S. 113 (1973) and *Doe v. Bolton*, 410 U.S. 179 (1973) with *Roe* and *Doe* both being pseudonyms. Some of the *Amici* Women Injured by Abortion also wish their names listed on behalf of or in memory of their aborted children and have supplied the names they have given to the children they aborted. Many feel it is important for their proper grieving and healing process to recognize the humanity of the child they, and those around them, undervalued and diminished by not treating as human.

Amici Women Injured by Abortion know from their personal experience that in balancing the harms, the Court must weigh heavily the irreparable injury that will occur to women if either stay below in this case is lifted. Allowing unlimited, mail order, under-regulated access to chemical abortion will mean countless women will suffer devastating psychological injuries which may last a lifetime, and a greater risk of death, as the Court below correctly found.

Clearly, the best evidence regarding **the effect of abortion** on women is not from the abortionists, but from their patients, or product consumers. The scientific descriptions in the record of increased harm of chemical abortion is important, but cannot adequately describe in human terms the true pain and damage of abortion.

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

I.

Surgical abortion is still available for women and less traumatic than chemical abortion because the injury from surgical abortion does not occur in her own home, and does not involve actually seeing the aborted child. Both stays below are justified because there is a substantial threat of irreparable injury and harm to multitudes of women. These injuries outweigh any harm that may result if this injunction is granted since there is no harm to other women who can still obtain surgical abortions and the injunction is in the public interest.

II.

There is no harm to women if the either a preliminary injunction or stay below is continued in effect since, in addition to surgical abortions still available in states

where abortion is legal, all fifty states now have Safe Haven laws which eliminate all burden of parenting an unwanted child. Safe Haven provides a free government safety net alternative to chemical abortion with no-abortion related trauma.

ARGUMENT

- I. **Surgical abortion is still available for women and less traumatic than chemical abortion because the injury from surgical abortion does not occur in her own home, and does not involve actually seeing the aborted child. The stay is justified because there is a substantial threat of irreparable injury and harm to multitudes of women. This injury outweighs any harm that will result if this injunction is granted since there is no harm to other women who can still obtain surgical abortions and the injunction is in the public interest.**

As both decisions and the evidence below indicate, there is substantially more trauma to women from the chemical abortion's essentially unregulated protocol which the FDA has now allowed for political reasons. District Court Memorandum Opinion and Order, p. 55-57. Women's healthcare has been sacrificed for accessibility, at the cost of safety and women's health. This ignores the fact that surgical abortion is still available in those states which have continued to keep abortion legal.

In any state where abortion is legal, women will still have access to surgical abortion. In this respect, there is no irreparable harm to women as a class from the unavailability of the far riskier and more emotionally damaging chemical abortion procedure.

Why is chemical abortion more dangerous and emotionally traumatic to

women? Chemical abortion is different in that the woman becomes an abortionist, because she administers the chemicals to herself - usually in the privacy of her own home, dormitory, a boyfriend's or friend's home. The guilt and other emotional trauma that is often associated with abortion, simply because it is the taking of a "infant life" per *Gonzales v. Carhart*, 550 U.S. 124, at 159, (2007), can be deeply compounded after the abortion by the fact that she did the abortion to herself and observed the bloody results in her home.

In addition, the abortion industry misrepresents the level of pain caused by the chemical abortion by deceptively comparing it to Ibuprofen or Tylenol which are designed to relieve pain, not cause it. The industry also hides the fact that what is "discarded", the product of conception, is actually a human being that looks like a human baby upon expulsion from the mother's womb into her bed or toilet. Women have been known to state upon seeing the child in their hand, if they pick-up the baby or retrieve the baby from the toilet, such things as "My God! They lied to me. It is a baby."

These are actual women's experiences as written in their own words:

Katherine, "Silence Broken":

"... I drove myself to the abortion clinic, there was no way I could have another abortion. I hated myself! How could I be in this situation again? Chemical abortion was my answer, they told me just take a couple of pills and the whole thing will be over. I was given a pill to take at the clinic and sent home with pills to place internally in the comfort of my home. What they failed to tell me was what was truly going to happen, the intense cramping, the bleeding, the large pieces of bloody tissue I would pass, the nausea, the weakness. I thought I was going to die there all alone. I was sure I needed medical attention

but I was so afraid of the exposure of what I had done.”
<https://www.silentnomoreawareness.org/testimonies/testimony.aspx?ID=2329>

Courtney, “Abortion Hurts ...”:

“... There was definitely pressure to go through with it. I remember thinking I couldn't change my mind even if I wanted to, that it would make the staff mad. After meeting with the counselor, I was given the pill- the RU-486- to take there in the clinic. They never told me that what this pill would do is essentially starve my baby to death by cutting off its nourishment and blood- they just said it would stop my pregnancy and keep my "embryo" from becoming a baby. They made it seem as safe and easy as taking a Tylenol. They then gave me a second pill (Cytotec) to take the next day. They didn't tell me the reason for it was to induce strong contractions to expel my baby's corpse- they just told me it was necessary to ensure my abortion was successful. I took the second pill while laying on my bed, expecting some mild menstrual cramps as they had told me. That's not what it was at all- I experienced very intense, painful cramps as my body expelled my baby's body into the toilet. My baby was disposed of in a toilet. A toilet. I wish so much now that I would've taken the remains of that precious little body and buried it. This abortion was even harder on me than the surgical abortion in some ways. With this abortion, I felt like I aided in the murder of my child. I didn't just make the decision to let a doctor do it- I actually was engaged in the process. It definitely increased my sense of responsibility. I was told a pill was "easier and safer" than a surgical abortion; it's not”
<https://www.silentnomoreawareness.org/testimonies/testimony.aspx?ID=2256>

Patricia, “Everything the Doctor Told Me Was a Lie”

“... After being given the different abortion options, I decided on the "abortion pill" which at the time I viewed as an "easy way out."

One week later, I returned to planned parenthood and spoke with the clinic doctor, where he confirmed that I wanted to take the pills, and explained the process of how they work, and how they would affect my body. He told me that there was nothing to worry about, that I would have "some bleeding, and possibly clotting", and that complications resulting from pills were rare, I believed him.

The next day, at home alone with my infant son, I took the abortion pills. Within one hour I knew that everything the doctor had told me was a lie. I was bleeding so heavily, I believed I was dying. I was passing clots the size of baseballs, and I was in the worst physical pain of my life, worse than childbirth. The worst part of my experience was when I was sitting on the toilet and I felt myself pass a clot that felt strange. I looked into the toilet and saw my baby. It had a head, body, and tiny arms and legs. The shame and guilt that I felt at that moment, as I was forced to flush my aborted baby down the toilet, is impossible to describe. ...

About 3 weeks later, I woke up from a nap covered in blood, and still bleeding. I rushed to the ER where I was informed that my body had not passed all of my former pregnancy. A doctor used several giant q-tips to scrape my insides, and I had weekly visits to my OBGYN after that to confirm that my HCG levels were steadily going down....”
<https://www.silentnomoreawareness.org/testimonies/testimony.aspx?ID=4202>

The District Court Memorandum Opinion and Order below further documents this extreme trauma to women on page 8 citing a study which shows

“14% of women and girls reporting having received insufficient information about side effects, the intensity of cramping and bleeding, the next steps after expelling the aborted human life, and the potential negative emotional reactions like fear, uncertainty, sadness, regret and pain.” *See* Katherine A. Rafferty and Tessa Longbons, #Abortion Changes You! A Case to Understand the Communication Tensions in Women’s Medication Abortion Narratives, 36 Health Commun. 1485, 1485-94 (2021).

Injuries to women are also unreported because online or mail sellers encourage women to report complications of miscarriage, not abortion. For example, AidAccess.org³, an online abortion pill distributor, sends an email when chemicals for a do-it-yourself, at-home abortion are shipped. The email instructs on how to administer the different pills and what to expect. The information in the email

³ See <https://aidaccess.org/> (Email on file with *Amici* attorneys.)

causes grave questions regarding the under-reporting of information available about complications from do-it-yourself chemical abortions. “. . . There are no blood tests that can show that you have taken Misoprostol, so there will be no way to prove that you tried to do an abortion. . . If you think you might have a complication you should go to a doctor immediately. You do not have to tell the medical staff that you tried to induce an abortion; if you choose, you can tell them that you think you had a spontaneous miscarriage. Doctors have the obligation to help in all cases. . . **If the process with the medicines did not work, you will need to get an aspiration procedure in a medical facility because Misoprostol slightly increases the risks of birth-defects. . .**”

There is no explanation that an “aspiration” is a surgical abortion.

The distributor tacitly encourages women not to let an actual emergency health care provider know that she is having complications from an attempted do-it-yourself, at-home chemical abortion. If there is an increase in the incidents of miscarriage, it could likely be due to the under-reporting of complications from do-it-yourself, at-home chemical abortions. This communication does not demonstrate an interest in 1) protecting women or 2) making sure valid data is available to gauge the efficacy of chemical abortions. Misinformation and lack of appropriate examination of chemical abortions are red flags for the approval of the drug. A stay is appropriate, pending the further resolution of this case. Both of these factors support the Hippocratic doctors.

A. Women Injured By Abortion Support Third Party Standing for Doctors Supporting

Regulations For Their Safety

Amici are extremely grateful to the Plaintiff physicians and medical organizations in this case who have asserted proper medical protections for patients. Unlike the abortion industry, which misrepresents the facts about the nature and consequences of abortion to women, these medical doctors want patients to be fully informed as to the nature and consequences of abortion. The FDA chemical abortion protocol eliminates this informed consent for women as the testimonies above demonstrate.

Amici strongly support the right of these medical doctors and associations to represent their rights as injured parties from abortion, rather than having their rights often countermanded or struck down by the abortion industry which wants to make the procedure more high volume, less safe and more profitable for the abortion industry. The abortionists and medication manufacturer have a conflict of interest with women seeking abortions.

Amici strongly concur with the district court's decision below that Alliance for Hippocratic Medicine doctors should have third party standing on their behalf. Even with surgical abortions, there is usually no real doctor-patient relationship between the women and their abortionist. Most of the time the women never see their doctor until he is performing the abortion. *See June Med. Servs. L.L.C. v. Russo*, 140 S. Ct. 2103, 2168 (2020) (Alito, J., dissenting) abrogated by *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Org.*, 142 S. Ct. 2028 (2022). This problem is exacerbated by chemical abortions where they never see a doctor. The FDA and abortion

industry have created a process through chemical abortion to avoid seeing the woman at all while delegating it to non-physicians. Where is the doctor-patient relationship in this commercial transaction? While Alliance for Hippocratic Medicine doctors do not perform abortions, their desire for safety aligns with their patients' interests unlike abortionists. High volume, low contact, chemical abortions by pill distribution increases profits for the manufacturer and seller of services and increases the risk of harm and death to women. *Amici* are part of Operation Outcry which has collected 4,728 legally admissible declarations of this incredible deception by the abortion industry, and pain and injury to women. This collection is the largest body of direct sworn testimony in the world about the effects of abortion on women and was also provided to the U.S. Supreme Court in the *Dobbs* case.⁴

The following are illustrative sworn *Amici* examples of the irreparable and personal consequences of abortion, in the women's own words. The Declarations or Affidavits asked:

"How has your abortion affected you?"

D.K.

"I have suffered guilt and shame throughout my adult life, I have cried endless tears for the baby that I wasn't allowed to have."

⁴ See 4,728 testimonies of Women Injured By Abortion collected by Operation Outcry, a project of The Justice Foundation beginning in 2000 for Norma McCorvey, the "*Roe*" of *Roe v. Wade* and Sandra Cano, the "*Doe*" of *Doe v. Bolton*, as they eventually asked this Court to reverse their own cases through Rule 60 Motions.

https://www.dropbox.com/sh/p2fi4taxmrbivyz/AAAP_aenldXwXb34Ktcq_X8la?dl=0

Rhonda

“At that time in my life I had an ongoing problem with drugs. After the abortion, my drug use escalated, I became depressed and out of control. I felt very guilty about the abortion even though I told myself it was the right think [sic] (*hereafter grammatical errors are not corrected nor indicated by “sic”, but accurately reflect the women’s actual written testimony*) to do. I eventually ended up in long term rehabilitation.”

K.M.

“It has left me with a profound sense of loss and shame. My belief is that I committed an abortion, not had an abortion. Although I have been through what was to be a healing retreat ... my grief remains. My child would be 23, an adult who I am sure would enhance my life greatly as my other children do.”

Nona

“The abortion industry lied to me about my baby being a blob of tissue. The abortion industry did not tell me the high risk of miscarriages and ectopic pregnancies or breast cancer risks. After my abortion at age 15, I suffered 5 miscarriages, 3 of them ectopic pregnancies, very near-death experiences. I believe I also suffered with breast cancer as a result of that abortion, I had genetic testing proving I am not a carrier of the breast cancer gene. I have suffered from several bouts of depression and attempted suicide, self-mutilation and an 18 year-long abusive marriage as an aftermath of the abortion.”

Jennifer

“Abortion has been the most destructive and hurtful thing in my life. I wasn’t told about the many emotional consequences, I was expected to just move on. After my abortion, I felt hollow on the inside. A part of me died that day, physically and emotionally in addition to the killing of my own baby... Abortion destroyed my life for 12 years. I had issues with severe depression, I had suicidal thoughts shortly after, I hated myself for choosing the abortion.”

K.F.

“Not a day has passed that I have not thought about my baby. I still pray for the baby. I still long for this child. I married the father and we both regret this loss. We were told not to talk about this and we have four more children. The oldest three know and we have expressed our sorrow to them. The loss for us is as if the child had been born to us.”

Christine

“I never focused on the 3 abortions for years (about 25 years) and then after a marital separation these memories started to come back. I am forgiven for the 3 abortions, and using the iud’s, and the consequences are that I am divorced, I have to constantly work thru depression, I feel very inadequate and empty with no real purpose in life as a woman.”

Michelle

“It has negatively affected every relationship in my life. Anger, irritable, guilt, shame, crying, suicidal thoughts, depression, regret, nightmares,

flashbacks, alcohol, drugs.”

Mary Lee

“The moment I walked out the door of the abortion clinic I was different. Not only the physical pain I had but the emotional pain was so deep I didn’t understand. I started drinking heavily to deaden the pain. I kept it a secret for 20 years and it ate a huge hole in my heart. Every time I saw or heard the word abortion I would cry and go into a dark depression period. Every Year around the anniversary of my abortion I would sob uncontrollably and would be depressed for weeks.”

Shanna

“Brought me more pain than I could ever imagine.”

In addition to emotional injuries, the chemical abortion by the FDA’s own admissions will cause problems which require “emergency care” from an actual doctor. Unpublished Order, Fifth Circuit, p. 12. In addition, the Fifth Circuit *recognized* the admitted 100,000 to 350,000 injured women who have already had unsuccessful chemical abortions. *Id.* at 13-14. These are irreparable damages. If only 500,000 women a year get chemical abortions, that would be 10,000 to 35,000 injured women a year. Is a procedure that requires emergency room treatment and sometimes hospitalization afterward “safe”? In weighing the balance, irreparable injury can be avoided, especially when surgical abortion is available and Safe Haven laws in every state offer a free, non-lethal way to remove all burden of unwanted parenting. *See* Section II, *infra*. The injuries in

the evidence below, include hospital admissions for sepsis, intravenous antibiotics, blood transfusions, IV hydrations, surgical completion of abortion, *Id.* at 13, uterine infections and “torrential bleeding.” *Id.* at 14. *See* also District Court Opinion at 29. *Amici* Women Injured By Abortion say enough women’s blood has already been shed. *See* also review of evidence of women irreparably injured by chemical abortion at District Court Memorandum Opinion and Order at 44-47.

Finally *Amici* agree with the District Court’s conclusion that pregnancy is not a serious or life-threatening illness. They were not dying, they were just pregnant. *Id.* at 40-41.

B. The Failure To Have Contact With A Physician, and Mail and Common Carrier Delivery, Increases The Risk Of Unduly Pressured, Coerced Or Forced Abortions

Without physician supervision, and with mail and common carrier delivery, the procedure does not adequately screen for the risk for unduly pressured, coerced or forced abortions. Of the Operation Outcry collected 4,728 testimonies of Women Injured By Abortion, approximately half of the women say that they were pressured by someone into having abortions. *See* FN 3. Coerced abortion is a common problem throughout the United States, and the FDA’s new procedure make that even more likely to occur.

Moreover, the FDA’s approval of unsupervised abortions, mail order and internet pill abortions will result in irreparable injuries to women forced into

abortion. Minors have reported to *Amici* that they were pressured by their parents to take mail order abortion pills. One Texas mother has already been arrested for forcing her child to take an abortion pill which will be more frequent if they can be obtained by mail.⁵ Men who want their girlfriends to abort, can order mail or internet abortion pills and deliver it into the food or drink of their girlfriends, thus procuring an abortion to meet the man's need, not the woman's desires. The woman will just think she's had a miscarriage. This is one of the reasons why the District Court stay of the FDA regime and enforcing the U.S. law against mailing or using a commercial carrier to send abortion-inducing drugs through the mail should be upheld. Stopping this abuse is good for women and prevents irreparable harm.

II. There is no harm to women if either stay below continues because, in addition to surgical abortions still available in states where abortion is legal, all fifty states now have Safe Haven laws which eliminate all burden of parenting an unwanted child. Safe Haven provides a free government safety net alternative to abortion with no abortion related trauma

In a remarkable social evolution that dramatically changed the law of criminal child endangerment or abandonment, every state now has a law which eliminates all parenting obligations of every mother. This evolution completely eliminates any legal need in all states for an actual abortion to eliminate the burden of parenting. See National Safe Haven Alliance, www.nationalsafehavenalliance.org; see also www.childwelfare.gov for Safe Haven laws in all fifty states. The Supreme Court in *Dobbs* at 2259, reversal of *Roe v.*

⁵ <https://www.lmtonline.com/local/article/laredo-police-woman-tried-forcing-teen-daughter-17880448.php>

Wade, remarked on the availability of Safe Haven laws.

If a woman is unable to obtain a less dangerous surgical abortion, or does not want one, all 50 states will now **totally remove the burden of parenting as soon as the baby is born**. If she cannot find an abortionist in her area, any woman afraid that a child would interfere with her life in any way, can simply give the child to Safe Haven at birth, at no cost to her, unlike abortion. She will have no impediment to her future education, lifestyle or career plans. All “burden” of parenting has been removed as a matter of law. All states even have programs in place to assist with the burden of pregnancy medical care and nutrition for all low-income pregnant mothers and the expenses of childbirth and delivery.

The current law in every state shifts the entire burden of parenting to the state, or adoptive parents, if the woman so desires. These laws eliminate any burden on women to parent the children they conceive, as a matter of law. The entire burden of care, feeding, education, medicine, every financial and social obligation of parenting can now be borne by the state, or adoptive parents, at no cost to the mother. Safe Haven is free, unlike abortion.

Even ardent abortion defender Justice Ginsburg agreed that abortion is “painful and difficult.” *Gonzales*, *supra* at 83, n7 (Ginsburg, J., dissenting). Why? Not because a woman is removing a “piece of tissue” like a wart, but because abortion is killing a child. If women want freedom from parental responsibility and its burdens, abortion is no longer the only solution. With Safe Haven laws, women do not need to go through the trauma of abortion to obtain freedom from parenting

responsibility.

Historically, as the District Court below noted, Opinion at p. 61, “at least two women died from chemical abortions just last year.”

The most comprehensive bibliography of studies showing abortion risks is included in Elliott Institute, <http://abortionrisks.org/index.php?title=Index>. Though some of these studies provide background information, most include statistically significant results linking one or more adverse effects to abortion. There are hundreds of studies worldwide documenting the harm to women from abortion.

For example, following *Gonzales*, the Eighth Circuit has already held that adequate scientific evidence exists to support the statutorily required disclosure that **abortion increases a woman’s risk for suicide and suicidal ideation**. *See Planned Parenthood v. Rounds*, 686 F. 3d. 889 (Eighth Cir. 2012) (*en banc*). After extensive scientific review, the Eighth Circuit rejected the abortion industry and American Psychological Association assertion that this statement was false and misleading. Despite this judicial victory for women’s safety, the abortion industry still does not reveal this risk. *See Patient Agreement Form, Fifth Circuit Unpublished Order*, p. 12. Money damages for all these women would be impossible to recover and pointless in repairing the guilt of causing the death of one’s child.

CONCLUSION

Amici respectfully pray this Court refuse to stay the District Court’s Memorandum Opinion and Order below, or in the alternative, the Fifth Circuit’s Unpublished Order.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Allan E. Parker, Jr.

Allan E. Parker, Jr.

Counsel of Record *Amici Curiae*

R. Clayton Trotter

Mary J. Browning

The Justice Foundation

8023 Vantage Drive, Suite 1275

San Antonio, TX 78230

(210) 614-7157

aparker@txjf.org

APPENDIX WOMEN INJURED BY ABORTION

Molly White, in memory of my children, William Conrad (Little Bill) and Josie Gayle; Myra Jean Myers in memory of my child, Joshua; Nona Ellington in memory of my child, Darren Nicholas; Maria M. Banks in memory of my child, Matthew; Lorraine Debra Agold-Rich, in memory of my child, Esther Rachel; Linda, in memory of my children, Matthew Jay and Mark; Sharra, in memory of my child, Hannah Grace; Julie, in memory of my child, Cody; Madonna, in memory of my child, Pua Lililehua; Betty Underwood in memory of my children, Thomas and Olivia Diane; Lisa Skowron in memory of my child, Jonathan Allen; Kelly, in memory of my child, Isaac; Julia, in memory of my child, Joseph Gale Jones; Cathy, in memory of my child, Nicola Dawn; Helen, in memory of children, Michelle and Samuel; Jennifer, in memory of my children, Stephen and Anna; Ruth McLemore in memory of my children, Elisabeth Rose and Aidan; Toni, in memory of my child, Elijah; Penne Logan in memory of my children, Elizabeth Marie, Nathaniel, and Jacqueline Ashton; Tracy Reynolds in memory of my child, Debbie; Luana Stoltenberg in memory of my children Ashley, Ruth, and Jacob; Paulette Bunting in memory of my child, Owen; Lisa Bear in memory of my child, Matthew Gabriel; Carol Rowe in memory of my child, Amy; Denise Mixson in memory of my child, Joshua Caleb; Kathy Rickman Rutledge in memory of my child, Nathaniel Isaac; Dianne Kirkwood in memory of my two unnamed children; Andrea Sosebee in memory of my child, Rosemerry; Felicia L. in memory of my child, Christian; Susan Swander in memory of my three children, Luke Michael, Grace Anne and Benjamin Lawrence; Melinda Chisum in memory of my child, Corey Wayne; Toni Buckler in memory of my child, Francis Mary; Kay Painter in memory of my child, Sarah; Dr. Dianne Heynen in memory of my child, Amanda Marie; Barbara Higgins in memory of my children, Daniel, Anna, Joshua and Lawrence; Amanda Barron in memory of my child, Marilyn Joy; Sonia L. in memory of my children, Angel and Gabriel; Nadine A. Newman in memory of my child, Nathaniel Caleb; Stacy Lynn Massey in memory of my children, Dylan Connor and Dory Kalani; K. A. in memory of my child, E.S.; Millie Lace, in memory of my child, Jill Allison; Golda Sharon Ross Campbell Dunn in memory of my child, Cory James; Danelle Marie Hallenbeck in memory my child, Grace Marie; Kimberley Seward in memory of my children, Ariel and Amanda; Mary Kidney in memory of my child, Theresa Joy; Stacy Branch-Boyd, in memory of my children, Isaiah and Niya; Carla Stream in memory of my child, Aubrey; Karen Bodle in memory of my child, Lauren Elizabeth; S.P. in memory of my aborted child; Sherry Neuenschwander in memory of my children, Andrew "Drew" Mitchell and Jakub Dean; Lauren Metcalf in memory of my aborted children; Cecilia Sullivan in memory of my children, Michael Joshua, Jennifer Lynn, Sarah Renee and Matthew David; Carolyn J. Barry in memory of my child, Addison Joy; Joyce Leslie Klosener Zounis in memory of my children, Michael, Glenn, Gregg, Christopher, Charlie, Jennings, and Dimitrios; Susan Rowland in memory of my child, Robert Stephen; Sherry Hearon in memory of my child, Robert Joseph; Karen Holdren in memory of my aborted child, Katharine

Hill in memory of my aborted child, Arlene Lehman in memory of my children, Trevor and Tiarra; Ellen Garrison Philpot in memory of my children, Mathew Thompson, Jacob Clark, and Rebecca Mary; Barbara Chapple in memory of my children, Melissa and Erwin, Melody Ashley in memory of my child, Ericka Rae; Lee Karcher in memory of my child, Samuel; Janet Hurguy in memory of my child, Kenny Lee; and Nita Roder in memory of my child, Thomas Aaron Roder. Shanna Cates, Stella King, Beth Lizano, Amanda Coyle, Victoria Faber, Diane M. Szurleys, Melanie, Cyndi Saunders, C.H., Marsha George, L.D., Lisa Wolske, Nancy Bienvenue, Jamie Casey, K.H., Donna Barham, P.B., Mary Fain, Cynthia M. Adams, Amanda Brooks, Debbi Carlson, Mandy Henderson, Deborah, Amy McLeod, L.M., Karin Dawley, J.J., R.L., M., Cheryl Hall, Tina, Dortha Higgins, Melody Pipkin, N.W., Lee Matthews, Vickie Jackson, Cheryle, Holly Peacock, Mildred Ross, Clara Morrison, Bobbie, Michelle, Sue, Wanda Couch, C.E., Susan, Jennifer Ingram, Rita Wise, Karen Matthews, Myra Hammond, L.R., Leslie Davis, Cindy Harless, D.G., Jennifer, Lucille Patrick, Cynthia, Crystal Roden, Shatina Logan, Morgan, Darlene Reavis, Linda Jackson, J.H., Maria Pistole, Dickie Ann Lashlee, Kari Hodges, Lisa Nunley, Susan, Barbara Chambers, A.H., Kristi Hays, Kellie Eaton, L.G., Sandra Marin, Rita, K.C., Deanna Ekins, Jennifer Brannon, Andrea Christine Perez, M.B., Carolyn Roberts, Jessica, P.A., Dana Vasquez, S.B., Terry, Lynn, Kathy, L.L., C.H., Loretta, Jillian, Karen Mackean, C.J., Barbara, Stephanie, Laura, Susan Palm, Sandra Scott, Carolyn, Monica Jordan, Trish Shroyer, Rita Vehon, Kriston Delhommer, Gina Dwyer, Deborah, Tiffany Stuart, Charlotte Fortier, S.B.K., Judi Mountjoy, Kerri Swiniuch, F.A., Christina, Jasmine Cruz, Donette Fox, Sharon Brown, Pam Lanham, Carol, Cindy Seipel, Sandra, Yvonne Morris, Cynthia Chinn, Tamara, Lisa, Joy, Cassandra, Minda Martine, Barbara Miller, Linette Hollyfield, Renee Villani, Margaret Cavolina, Mayen Handy, Patricia Palmer, Gina Kent, Sally Swanson, S.T., Kerri, Deborah Stinson, L.P., Jennifer Serban, Lisa, J.B., Loretta Soto, Virginia, Jeannie Seanor, Janice Cleary, T.G., Kathy O'Shea, A.T., C.M.S., Vickie Munoz, L.C., Patricia Camper, Sandra West, S.N., L.B., C.R., M.A., Lisa, V., Lisa Zavitka, Taylor Orona, Kristin Walters, Valerie Robins, S.M., Christine Peterson, Christine Mabery, Susan Page, Tammy Mendoza, Shadia, L.M.K., Charlene Hoyer, Elizabeth, Samita Sigala, Marjorie DiCarlo, Joyce Crommett, Diana Slumskie, Bonnie Levorson, Maralyn Smith, M.O., Marie Knapp, Beverly Williams, N.R., Sylvia Chaffee, Rebecca, Sheri Del Core, Mary, D.L., Christl Siller, Angela Sisneroz, Dana Watson, Therese Dill, Lilly Simmons, Deborah Cross, Sunny, S.J.P., Kathleen White, Jacqueline, Dorothy Lampi, M.B., Gail Levin, GapHyun Oh, S.W., K.S., Michelle Ciotta, Chrissy Helmer, Debbie Pennington, Gina Bartok, F.O., Ammery Philebar, Beverly Cayubit, Nora Navarro-Smith, Paula Pearce, Cindy Silva, Janice Jackson, S.J., Kamala Dyer, Consuelo Shurtleff, Patricia Byrne, Patty, A.C., Sandy, Sonja Bates, Donna, Terri Blackburn, Jennifer Trier, Kelley, Vanessa Yaglinski, Heather Sparks, Jaclyn LaBarbera, Leslie Brunolli, Bethany Greenleaf-Perez, Paulette Williams.*

*Due to the expedited briefing schedule, the number of Amici, has been limited, but over 2,000 women could be Amici if time and space were more ample.