UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

	No: 22-1825	
	In re: Rick Lee Searcy	
	Petitioner	
Appeal from U.S. District Co	ourt for the Western Distr (4:21-cv-00530-DGK)	ict of Missouri - Kansas City
JUDGMENT		
Before LOKEN, BENTON, and ERI	CKSON, Circuit Judges.	
Petition for writ of mandamu	s has been considered by	the court and is denied. Mandate
shall issue forthwith.		
	April 26,	, 2022
Order Entered at the Direction of the Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth		
/s/ Michael E. Gans		

Appellate Case: 22-1825 Page: 1 Date Filed: 04/26/2022 Entry ID: 5150990

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI WESTERN DIVISION

RICK SEARCY,)
Plaintiff,))
v.) Case No. 4:21-CV-00530-DGK
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, et al.,)
Defendants.)))

ORDER GRANTING MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND DISMISSING REMAINING CLAIMS

Now before the Court are Defendants' Wayne Mitchell Elliot, George Pickett, John Sales, Shawn L. Blair, Peter W. Schloss, Christopher Herschel Pickett, Stephen K. Griffin, Werner A. Moentmann, the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints ("LDS"), the Central Intelligence Agency ("CIA"), the National Security Agency ("NSA"), and the United States Army's ("Army") motions to dismiss pro se Plaintiff Rick Searcy's Amended Complaint. ECF No. 47, 48, 49, 51, 52, 56, 57, 63. Plaintiff's Amended Complaint largely alleges that Defendants have engaged in "synthetic telepathy" in an attempt to either murder him or "recruit [him] for their own sexual gratification." Compl. at 5, ECF No. 1; Am. Compl. at 6–7, ECF No. 44. Plaintiff's Amended Complaint also incorporates a motion for injunctive relief. Because Plaintiff has failed to allege facts sufficient to state a cause of action, Defendants' motions to dismiss are GRANTED, and

¹ It appears that Plaintiff does not intend for the Amended Complaint to supersede his initial complaint. Instead, it appears that Plaintiff intends the Amended Complaint to incorporate allegations made in the initial complaint, adding "additional evidence" and additional respondents—namely the Army and LDS. Because the Court construes pro se complaints liberally, it considers allegations made in both Plaintiff's initial complaint and in the Amended Complaint in ruling on this motion to dismiss.

Plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief is DENIED. In addition, Plaintiff's claims against the remaining defendants are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

Standard of Review

A claim may be dismissed if it fails "to state a claim upon which relief can be granted." Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). In ruling on a motion to dismiss, the Court "must accept as true all of the complaint's factual allegations and view them in the light most favorable to the Plaintiff[]." Stodghill v. Wellston School Dist., 512 F.3d 472, 476 (8th Cir. 2008). However, "the Court is not bound to accept as true a legal conclusion couched as a factual allegation." Warmington v. Bd. of Regents of Univ. of Minn., 998 F.3d 789, 796 (8th Cir. 2021) (quoting Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009)). To avoid dismissal, a complaint must include "enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). This is because defendant must receive fair notice of what the claim is and the grounds on which it rests. Id. at 565. "A claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged." Iqbal, 556 U.S. at 678. The Plaintiff need not demonstrate the claim is probable, only that it is more than just possible. Id.

In reviewing the complaint, the Court construes it liberally and draws all reasonable inferences from the facts in Plaintiff's favor. *Monson v. Drug Enforcement Admin.*, 589 F.3d 952, 961 (8th Cir. 2009).

Discussion

Plaintiff claims that the CIA, NSA, and the Army are engaged in the use of telepathic weaponry against United States citizens, and that all of the Defendants have conspired to engage in sexual abuse of children, drug smuggling, assassination attempts, sex trafficking, psychological

warfare, mind control, murder, rape, spouse-swapping, etc. Plaintiff makes no factual allegations

which allow the Court to draw a reasonable inference that would support any such claims. Even

if he did, Plaintiff fails to articulate a legal theory which would entitle him to relief. As such,

Defendants' motions, ECF Nos. 47, 48, 49, 51, 52, 56, 57, 63, are GRANTED. Plaintiff's claims

against these Defendants are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

The only remaining claims in this case are against Defendants James Michael Kennedy,

Christy Lea Fischer, and Bob Griffin. None of these Defendants have been served. Under Fed.

R. Civ. P. 4(m), the Court must dismiss without prejudice any claims against defendants who are

not served within 90 days after a complaint is filed. While the Court may grant an extension of

time for good cause, Plaintiff bears the burden to establish good cause. Kurka v. Iowa City, 628

F.3d 953, 957 (8th Cir. 2010). Plaintiff cannot establish good cause because his complaint does

not state a claim upon which relief could be granted.² Plaintiff's claims against James Michael

Kennedy, Christy Lea Fischer, and Bob Griffin are therefore DISMISSED WITHOUT

PREJUDICE.

Plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: April 20, 2022

/s/ Greg Kays

GREG KAYS, JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

² Defendant Bob Griffin is deceased, so Plaintiff would not be able to serve him even if he were granted an extension. See Mot., ECF No. 68.

3

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI WESTERN DIVISION

RICK LEE SEARCY,)		
Plaintiff,)		
v.) No. 4:21-cv-00530-DGK		
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, et a	1.,		
Defendants.)		
JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL ACTION			
Jury Verdict. This action came before tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.	the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been		
\underline{X} Decision by Court. This action has been by the Court.	en considered and a decision has been rendered		
IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Defendants' Motions to Dismiss (Docs. 47, 48, 49, 51, 52, 56, 57, and 63) are GRANTED. All claims are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.			
-	Paige Wymore-Wynn		
	s/ Tracy Strodtman by) Deputy Clerk		

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

No: 22-1825

In re: Rick Lee Searcy

Petitioner

Appeal from U.S. District Court for the Western District of Missouri - Kansas City (4:21-cv-00530-DGK)

ORDER

The petition for rehearing by the panel is denied.

June 16, 2022

Order Entered at the Direction of the Court: Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

/s/ Michael E. Gans

Appellate Case: 22-1825 Page: 1 Date Filed: 06/16/2022 Entry ID: 5168454