

No. \_\_\_\_\_

---

In The  
**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

---

Shahrouz Jahanshahi,

*Petitioner,*

v.

Benjamin Tarn Rosenfeld,

*Respondent.*

---

**Application For an Extension of Time Within  
Which to File a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the  
Supreme Court of the State of California**

---

**APPLICATION TO THE HONORABLE JUSTICE KAGAN  
AS CIRCUIT JUSTICE**

---

**To the Honorable Justice Kagan as Circuit Justice for the Ninth  
Circuit:**

Petitioner Shahrouz Jahanshahi, *pro se*, respectfully requests an extension of time to file his Petition for Writ of Certiorari for 60 days from the due date of August 16, 2022. The Supreme Court of California summarily denied petitioner's

Petition for Review on May 18, 2022. A true and correct copy of the aforesaid order is attached as Exhibit 1.

The California Supreme Court has ignored the Constitutional right of a litigant to privacy as well as Constitutional oral argument before the three justices of the Court of Appeal, where only two of the justices attended the oral argument hearing that is not supported under the State law nor Federal law. The holding of the court will have a severe, adverse impact on indigent litigants and their Constitutional right to access to the courts, where the said order literally denies the litigants access to the court and having a fair, impartial hearing.

#### **APPLICATION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME**

Pursuant to Rule 13.5 of the Rules of this Court, Petitioner/Applicant Shahrouz Jahanshahi hereby requests a 60-day extension of time within which to file a petition for a writ of certiorari up to and including Saturday, October 15, 2022.

#### **JUDGMENT FOR WHICH REVIEW IS SOUGHT**

The judgment for which review is sought is *Jahanshahi v. S.C. (Rosenfeld)*, No. S274045 (May 18, 2022) (attached as Exhibit 1). The Supreme Court of the State of California denied Petitioner's petition for review on May 18, 2022.

#### **JURISDICTION**

This Court will have jurisdiction over any timely filed petition for certiorari in this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1257. Under Rules 13.1, 13.3, and 30.1 of the

Rules of this Court, a petition for a writ of certiorari was due to be filed on or before August 16, 2022. In accordance with Rule 13.5, this application is being filed more than 10 days in advance of the filing date for the petition for a writ of certiorari.

### REASONS JUSTIFYING AN EXTENSION OF TIME

Applicant respectfully requests a 60-day extension of time within which to file a petition for a writ of certiorari seeking review of the decision of the Supreme Court of the State of California in this case, up to and including October 15, 2022.

Petitioner would not have thought for a 60-day extension but does so due to his financial situation and inability to comply with Rule 33.1 requirement of filing booklet brief that requires specialized printing companies to create the required booklet brief. The extension of time is also necessary due to the fact that Petitioner, a *pro se* litigant is obligated to file three different writ of certiorari <sup>1</sup> within the limited time frame of each other to preserve his civil and Constitutional laws as all three are matter of public importance where California limited jurisdiction court under California Code of Civil Procedure section 85 is limited to award of judgment below \$25,000, whereas in this instance has issued a judgment in excess of its jurisdictional limit.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Supreme Court of California has summarily denied three different meritorious appeals filed by Petitioner Jahanshahi; *Jahanshahi v. S.C. (Parker)* No. S273877; *Jahanshahi v. S.C. (Rosenfeld)* No. S274045; *Jahanshahi v. S.C. (Rosenfeld)* No. S273826.

If the Court is inclined to allow Petitioner to file the writ of certiorari on 8 ½ by 11 ½ paper, pursuant to Rule 33.2 of this Court rather than the required booklet brief, Petitioner will be able to file the writ on timely fashion.


A 60-day extension for the Petitioner/Applicant would allow him to overcome the challenges he has stated and file a meritorious, effective writ of certiorari that is a matter of public importance and would benefit the public, as well as uniformity of the decision.

### CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner respectfully request that this Court grant an extension of 60 days, up to and including October 15, 2022, within which to file a petition for a writ of certiorari in this case.

Dated: June 19, 2022

Respectfully submitted,



Shahrouz Jahanshahi  
Petitioner, *Pro Se*