

IN THE SUPREME COURT
OF THE
UNITED STATES

Renee D. Bell)	Case No:
Plaintiff-Appellant,)	<u>L. Tribunal No (s) : 5D22-0770</u>
v.)	<u>Fl. Supreme: SC22-1743; &</u>
)	<u>48-2018-CA-012037A0010X</u>
KIA Motors America Inc. (d/b/a/) et.al- Orlando-KIA (West) and Azmir Jusic.)	
Defendants-Appellee (s).)	Motion

_____ / "Extension of time
To file Certiorari-Petition".

Comes now, the undersign by and through Pro-Se' authority, pursuant to Article 1,5,21, and 22, Florida Constitution, the United States ---- Constitution, and Title 28 U.S.C. 1654- Federal Statute, file this request for [**Extension of time**] to file appellants-**Petition for Certiorari**].

1. 12/19/2022 Supreme Court-Florida [Dismiss-Case: Lack of Jurisdiction.
2. Premise on Lower-Tribunal-Fifth-District Ct., Appeal-Dismissal that is **without justification**-which remove the review by: Florida Supreme Court.
3. Jan./13/ 2023; near the time appellant, receive dismissal-notices. Appellant immediately filed-Notice of Appeal, with this Court; Affidavit to Proceed-by Informa-Pauperism-Financial-Statement, Copy of the District Court-; of Appeal [Fifth-District-Declaration & Approval- of- Insolvency for [Appellant-Bell], that [Appellant-request-assignment of a Pro-Bono-attorney] Under Title 28 USC §1915 [E-1].
4. A package from this Court, apparently mail to appellant. However, did not contain all items said to be enclose., to proceed a Petition for Certiorari. Appellant, spoken with assign Clerk, and at this time request extension to prepare and properly file- Petition-For-Certiorari- a request-for [60-days].

RECEIVED
FEB 17 2023
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Renee D Bell v. KIA-Motors America Inc. [d.b.a.] et.al, Orlando-KIA (West) and Azmir Jusic.

- a. The decision of the **Fifth District Court**-attach- that it is w/o narrative
- b. The decision of the **Florida-Supreme Court**, attach-that it is removed from jurisdiction [based on above] statement.
- c. The decision of the [**Lower-Tribunal-NC** attach-verify Dismissal, without justification [the-decision] prior, appellants appeal.
- d. **Affidavit**- for Informal-Pauperism attach- for this US Supreme-Court, same as prior-completed by [Lower-Courts***].

~~##~~ Above documents are
Attached to this request *
The appellant is entitled to redress in the case
RDB 2/13/2023

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Counsel of Record:

Gregory.mroz@bowmanandbrooke.com

Justin.niznik@bowmanandbrooke.com

Stephanie.simm@Bowmanandbrooke.com

Frank.hosley@bowmanandbrooke.com


S. Rdb

2/13/2023.

copy

Supreme Court of Florida

MONDAY, DECEMBER 19, 2022

CASE NO.: SC22-1743

Lower Tribunal No(s):
5D22-770; 482018CA012037A001OX

RENEE D. BELL

vs. KIA MOTORS AMERICA, INC.,
ET AL.

Petitioner(s)


Respondent(s)

This case is hereby dismissed. This Court lacks jurisdiction to review an unelaborated decision from a district court of appeal that is issued without opinion or explanation or that merely cites to an authority that is not a case pending review in, or reversed or quashed by, this Court. See *Wheeler v. State*, 296 So. 3d 895 (Fla. 2020); *Wells v. State*, 132 So. 3d 1110 (Fla. 2014); *Jackson v. State*, 926 So. 2d 1262 (Fla. 2006); *Gandy v. State*, 846 So. 2d 1141 (Fla. 2003); *Stallworth v. Moore*, 827 So. 2d 974 (Fla. 2002); *Harrison v. Hyster Co.*, 515 So. 2d 1279 (Fla. 1987); *Dodi Publ'g Co. v. Editorial Am. S.A.*, 385 So. 2d 1369 (Fla. 1980); *Jenkins v. State*, 385 So. 2d 1356 (Fla. 1980).

No motion for rehearing or reinstatement will be entertained by the Court.

A True Copy

Test:



John A. Tomasino
Clerk, Supreme Court



CASE NO.: SC22-1743

Page Two

td

Served:

JUSTIN NIZNIK

STEPHANIE M. SIMM

FRANK DAVID HOSLEY

RENEE D. BELL

HON. SANDRA B. WILLIAMS, CLERK

HON. TIFFANY MOORE RUSSELL, CLERK

HON. KEVIN BRETT WEISS, JUDGE

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**