IN THE

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

Robert R. Snyder

Plaintiff – Appellant

v.

Department of Corrections And Rehabilitation, California

Defendants - Respondents

Case No.:

Motion/Petition To Extend Filing Deadline on Petition For Certiorari; U.S. Supreme Court Rules: Rule 13(5); Exhibits

Salutations To The Honorable Justice Kagan Of The U.S. Supreme Court

Petitioner Snyder In Pro Se/AC-9136 P.O. Box 5244 Corcoran, CA 93212

RECEIVED	
JAN 18 2023	
OFFICE OF THE CLERK SUPREME COURT, U.S.	I

Introduction

I.

Petitioner Robert Snyder sent a request to the Supreme Court of California asking them to review of a Final Order on Civil Appeal dismissing a petition for mandatory order concerning Prisoner Property, (September 15th, 2022). That case was docketed as Case No.: <u>S276137</u> in the Cal. Supreme Court. This petition for Review was requesting review of an appeal that was affirmed by the Fifth Appellate District, Court of Appeals (California); Case No.: <u>F081087</u>.

The parties to this action are:

- Petitioner Robert R. Snyder
- CDCR and their attorneys are the opposing party.

The Jurisdiction in this matter is invoked under 28 USC Section 1257. The subject matter of this specific action is authorize by 28 USC Section 2101 Subd.(c).

II.

Discussion

Petitioner has 90 days to file for Certiorari in this Court per Rule 13(1). He was precluded from working on this important petition because of

2

a surprise order/warrant issued by Los Angeles County Superior Court in Antelope Valley, (See attached Exhibit A). Petitioner was not given notice of this proceeding where his testimony was compelled without a lawful process/subpoena. Petitioner filed a Writ of Mandamus against the judge that issued the warrant, (See Exhibit B).

Case No.: S276137 in Cal. Supreme Court was denied while petitioner was in L.A. County custody (See Exhibit C) without his property and without his legal materials. In spite of notifying the courts of his change of address, he received no mail from the Cal. Supreme Court while at L.A. County Jail, and was also denied Law Library. On November 29th, 2022 he was sent to North Kern State Prison Reception Center where he was similarly deprived of all his property. (See Exhibit D). In fact, Petitioner Snyder was deprived of all his property and Legal materials, while 'Out to Court' for a total of 76 days; considering the fact that he was not returned to his permanent location at Corcoran-SATF State Prison until Dec. 19th, 2022. (The Cal. Supreme Court dismissed the case on Nov. 09th, 2022). This left petitioner with just over a month to research, write and assemble his 40 booklets per Rule 33 of the U.S. Supreme Court. Petitioner would like to note that compliance with Rule 33, especially Subd.(f) while filing in Pro Se, is particularly difficult without a full 90 days, (as a prisoner).

3

Petitioner was unfairly deprived of his legal materials and a Law Library due to the aforementioned reasons during the period of time where his certiorari petition was pending. This prejudiced his rights to file a timely petition concerning important Constitutional Questions. Copies of the opinions/judgments petitioner seeks to have reviewed are attached. (See Exhibits C and E). There is absolutely no court access at L.A. County Jail whatsoever.

III

Conclusion

Petitioner believes he has set forth a compelling showing of *good cause* to extend the 90 day-filing deadline. Petitioner Robert R. Snyder respectfully requests his filing deadline be extended from February 08th, 2023 to March 18th, 2023, or in the alternative, by at least 30 days. This request is based upon extraordinary circumstances. Supreme Ct. Rules, Rule 13(5).

Thank you for your time,

Robert R. Snyder, in Pro Se

Date: DEC. 3077 2022

A ALLA