No	

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES Meghan M. Kelly, Petitioner

V.

Delaware Office of Disciplinary Counsel, Respondent
On Appeal from the Delaware Supreme Court, Case No. 2022, 58

Petitioner Meghan Kelly's Motion for Leave for an extension of time to file a petition for writ of certiorari

I Meghan Kelly, Esq., pursuant to United States Supreme Court Rule 30, and Fed. R. App. P. 2, in the interest of justice I move this Honorable United States Supreme Court for an extension of time to file a writ of Certiorari to appeal the decision of the Delaware Supreme Court to place my Delaware attorney's license to practice law on disabled inactive, due to extraordinary circumstances, where I face immediate irreparable injury in terms of loss of my First Amendment rights, and loss of my property interests in my licenses to practice law.

1. The opinions of the highest state court, the Delaware Supreme Court, to review the merits appears at Appendix ("App.") A, dated September 7, 2022 and App B, dated August 10, 2022 to the petition. Both opinions are unpublished.

- 2. The date the Petition is due is on or about December 6, 2022, which is more than ten days away.
- 3. I respectfully request an extension in the amount of 60 days to appeal the Delaware Order placing my license on inactive disabled but for my religious beliefs, religious political beliefs, and religious political speech contained in my petitions.
 - 4. The new due date would be on or about, February 6, 2023.
- 5. The Order placed my license on inactive/disabled. This has caused additional courts to place my license on inactive disabled, causing multiple law suits. I have been fighting reciprocating courts. I require time to prepare a writ of certiorari to prevent irreparable injury to me in the form of loss of First Amendment rights and my property interest in my license.
- 6. A lawyer's right, my right to pursue my profession constitutes a property protected by the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, and of which I cannot be deprived for any whimsical, capricious or unreasonable cause, including the state's disagreement with my religious-political beliefs.
- 7. I must be afforded meaningful access to the courts by the allowance of additional time to defend my license to practice law from being placed on inactive disabled but for my faith in Jesus Christ, and exercise of fundamental rights.

- 8. The Delaware Disciplinary Counsel in collusion with members of the Delaware Courts brought a disciplinary action in interference with Kelly v Trump, Supreme Court number 22-5522, in an attempt to cause me to forgo my case and appeal.
- 9. Delaware Disciplinary Counsel in interference with my Religious Freedom Restoration Act law suit, Kelly v Trump, sent me the attached letter dated August 23, 2022, incorporated herein as Exhibit 1, indicating my religious political beliefs, contained in my speech in the petitions gave them concern about my mental fitness to practice law.
- 10. In Petition at 7, attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit 2, the Delaware Disciplinary Counsel inappropriately asserted it was improper for me to cite the bible in defense of my religious belief.
- 11. I sought to safeguard my free exercise of religious belief from harm created by the establishment of government religion by filing Kelly v Trump. My religious beliefs were in issue. It was appropriate for me to state my religious beliefs. It was appropriate for me to explain how the establishment of a government compelled religious belief to conform with the way of sin which differed from my religious belief created a substantial burden upon my free exercise of religion. The establishment of government religion creates the threat of

government incited economic, physical or societal peer pressured conformity of worship.

- There is evidence that shows the Delaware Supreme Court incited the 12. Delaware Disciplinary proceeding to fix the sham proceeding against me. I was denied meaningful access to the courts, per the attached Reply to the ODC's response to my objections I incorporate herein by reference in its entirety, as Exhibit 3. I was denied the opportunity to prepare, perform discovery or cross examine witnesses. I was compelled to attend a hearing sick, as I recovered from what I believed was the shingles. I discovered the Court concealed two witnesses by compelling them to retire to look after the court not the two terminated material witnesses to my case, Katrina Kruger and Arline Simmons. I care about these two people, and did not want them to lose their job. The Court also sealed two motions, related documents and two exhibits to my motion for reargument in Kelly v Trump. Those documents and witnesses were material to my defense in the disciplinary proceedings.
- 13. There are a number of issues where the Delaware supreme Court compels me to violate my belief in Jesus to bend to its will, I must appeal.
- 14. I asked opposing counsel for her position, but I do not have time to wait for her response.

Wherefore I pray this Court grants my motion.

Dated Nov. 27, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

Meghan Kelly, Esquire DE Bar Number 4968

Inactive license 34012 Shawnee Drive

Dagsboro, DE 19939

meghankellvesq@yahoo.com

US Supreme Court Bar No. 283696 Not acting as an attorney on behalf of another

Under Religious protest, I declare that the foregoing statement is true and correct under the penalty of perjury.

Dated: November 22, 2022

(printed)

(signed)