## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE **SECOND CIRCUIT**

	art of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the
Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40	
15th day of September, two thousand twenty-two.	
Joseph Ray Jordan,	
Joseph Ray Jordan,	
Petitioner - Appellant,	
-FF	
V.	ORDER
	ORDER
United States of America,	Docket No: 21-1371
Respondent - Appellee.	
1 11	
···	

Appellant, Joseph Ray Jordan, filed a motion for panel reconsideration, or, in the alternative, for reconsideration en banc. The panel that determined the appeal denied reconsideration by order dated August 26, 2022. The active members of the Court have considered the request for reconsideration en banc.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion is denied.

FOR THE COURT: Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk



S.D.N.Y. – N.Y.C. 18-cv-3372 08-cr-124 Cote, J.

## United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of February, two thousand twenty-two.

Present:				
Guido Calabresi,			•	
Susan L. Carney,				
	Beth Robins	•		
		iit Judges.		
Joseph Ray Jore	dan,			
		Petitioner-Appellant,		
•	v.			21-1371
United States of	f America,			
		Respondent-Appellee.		

Appellant, pro se, moves for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, appointment of counsel, copies of the orders appealed, and, if necessary, a certificate of appealability in this appeal from the district court's denial of his motion for a declaration of default and return of his property. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is DENIED as unnecessary. However, it is further ORDERED that the remaining motions are DENIED and the appeal is DISMISSED because it "lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact." Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); see 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e).

FOR THE COURT: Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court

