

IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT OF AMERICA

AND/OR

(11th) ELEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS ATLANTA, GA.

PROVIDED FOR MAILING AT CALHOUN CLON

FEB 13 2023

MICAH LAMB, PETITIONER

VS.

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS SECRETARY RICKY O. DIXON AND RESPONDENTS

SET. CASE NUMBER: 22A448 (11th) CIR CASE NUMBER: 22-11241-G

STAFF INITIALS

RECEIVED FEB 23 2023 OFFICE OF THE CLERK SUPREME COURT, U.S.

" 2d MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME WHEN PETITIONER HAS A WELL-FOUNDED - FEAR HE WILL NOT BE ABLE TO MAKE THIS COURT'S MARCH 1ST, 2023 DEAD-LINE FOR PETITIONER TO TURN IN HIS "WRIT OF CERTIORARI, IS NOT PETITIONER'S FAULT."

COMES NOW THE PETITIONER MICAH LAMB, PRO SE, HEREBY FILES THIS TIMELY (2d) MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME PURSUANT TO F.R.C.P. 60 (b)(2), 15 (c)(2), SET. RULES 13.5, 30 SEE, AS FOLLOWS:

(1) THE (11th) ELEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FAILED TO USE THE STRICT LIBERAL - RULE - TO - CONSTRUE PETITIONER'S TIMELY FILINGS IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE, SEE APPENDIX "AA, BB, CC" (IT'S NOTED, THE INCLUDED MOTIONS AA, BB PETITIONER EXCLUDED THE EXHIBITS TO KEEP FROM BEING REDUNDANT, BUT THE EXHIBITS IN MOTION "CC" ARE THE SAME IN MOTIONS AA, BB), WHEN THE (11th) ELEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT EN BANC SENT PETITIONER THE WRONG COURT ORDER SEE, APPENDIX "A":

RICHARD HUNSTEIN V. PREFERRED COLLECTION, CASE NUMBER 19-14434

HAD SEVERELY PREJUDICED PETITIONER PRESENTATION AND FILING OF HIS "WRIT OF CERTIORARI" BY THE FEDERAL COURT ROADBLOCKS AND HARSHIPS, INTENTIONALLY CREATED TO PREVENT PETITIONER FROM ADDRESSING AN CORRECTING RESPONDENTS MISTAKES, KEEPS MULTIPLYING WITH DIFFERENT ERRORS FROM THE 11th CIRCUIT, SEE APPENDIX 4, 3 HAS UNWOLLY PREVENTED PETITIONER FROM HAVING A FULL AND FAIR OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT

(FN) "THE DUTY OF THE REVIEWING COURT TO CONSTRUE PRO SE PRISONER PLEADINGS LIBERALLY SEE, HAINES V. BERNER, 92 S.Ct. 594 (1972); HUGHES V. BOWE, 101 S.Ct. 173 (1980); RAINIERI V. U.S., 233 F.3d 96, 100 (1st Cir. 2000); HAMILTON V. U.S., 67 F.3d 761, 764 (9 Cir. 1995); AUSLANDER V. WARE, 714 F.2d 416, 419 (5 Cir. 1983); QUINTANILLA V. BRYSON, 730 FED APPX. 738, 742 (11 Cir. 2018); MEANS V. ALABAMA, 209 F.3d 1241, 1242 (11 Cir. 2000); TALLEY V. WEITZEL, 15 F.4th 275 296 (FN) (3d Cir. 2021); HIGGS V. AG, 655 F.3d 333 339 (3d Cir. 2011); MALA V. CROWN BAY MARINA, 704 F.3d 239, 244-245 (3d Cir. 2013); ERICKSON V. PAROUS, 127 S.Ct. 2197 (2007).

PETITIONER'S "WRIT OF CERTIORARI" OF "NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE"  
SEE, APPENDIX 135A, BY THE (11TH) ELEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS  
JANUARY 9TH, 2023, RECEIVED AT CALHOUN CORRECTIONAL LEGAL-MAIL  
FOR PETITIONER ON JANUARY 17TH, 2023 A TUESDAY, SEE, APPENDIX

3

"NO ACTION / DEFICIENCY NOTICE . . . ACTION REQUIRED

FOR MOTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION OR PETITIONS FOR REHEARING THAT  
ARE NOT PERMITTED, NO ACTION IS REQUIRED OR PERMITTED. YOUR FILING  
WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED.

FOR ALL OTHER DEFICIENCIES TO HAVE YOUR DOCUMENT CONSIDERED YOU  
MUST REFILE THE ENTIRE DOCUMENT AFTER ALL THE DEFICIENCIES ABOVE  
HAVE BEEN CORRECTED AND YOU MUST INCLUDE ANY REQUIRED ITEMS  
IDENTIFIED ABOVE ALONG WITH THE REFILED DOCUMENT. NO ACTION  
WILL BE TAKEN IF YOU ONLY PROVIDE THE MISSING ITEMS WITHOUT  
REFILING YOUR ENTIRE DOCUMENT.

THE LOGIC AND LEGIT REASON, OF WHY THE (11TH) ELEVEN CIRCUIT  
COURT SENT PETITIONER THE WRONG COURT ORDER, THAT'S SAID  
WAS CLERICALLY DISMISSED, IN ITS NOVEMBER 18TH, 2022, SEE  
APPENDIX "4" IS TANTAMOUNT TO "OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE" OR  
THE WORKINGS OF A "MANIFEST INJUSTICE", SEE, U.S. V. ALPINE, 984 F.2d  
1047, 1049 (9th Cir. 1993) CERT. DENIED 14 OCT. 60 (1993) WHEN PETITIONER  
TIMELY FILED HIS REHEARING DATED SEPTEMBER 29TH, 2022, SHOWS  
PETITIONER HAS BEEN INTENTIONALLY DENIED DUE PROCESS OF THE  
LAW OF THE 14TH AMENDMENT, SEE, JOHNSON V. CHAMPAIGN, 288 F.3d  
1215, 1227-1228 (10th Cir. 2002) ("CAUSE EXISTED FOR VIOLATION OF STATE  
RULE REQUIRING THAT PETITION CONTAIN CERTIFIED COPY OF TRIAL  
COURTS ORDER BECAUSE CLERK'S FAILURE TO MAIL PRISONER A CERTIFIED  
COPY OF THE COURTS ORDER, AND THE DIFFICULTIES PRISONER FACED  
IN OBTAINING SUCH A COPY WITHIN A TIGHT-TIME-FRAME") PETITIONER  
HAS A WELL-FOUNDED FEAR THAT, IF OTHER ALLEGED DEFICIENCIES  
FOUND BY THE (11TH) ELEVEN CIRCUIT COURT, ARE VOICES PETITIONER  
UNDOUBTLY WILL NOT BE ABLE TO FILE HIS TIMELY "WRIT OF  
CERTIORARI" BY THIS COURT'S DUE DATE OF MARCH 1ST, 2023,  
THEREFORE PETITIONER SEEKS A (2d) EXTENSION OF TIME FOR  
(60) SIXTY DAYS, SEE, U.S. V. BAILEY, 245 F.2d 223 (2d Cir. 1957) ("  
EXTENSION OF TIME GRANTED") TALVERA V. WAINWRIGHT, 547 F.2d 1238  
(5th Cir. 1977) ("PROVIDE APPELLANT FULL AND FAIR OPPORTUNITY TO LITIGATE

(P2) ("PETITIONER ON DECEMBER 19TH, 2022, FILED THE ALLEGED DEFICIENT  
PLEADING, SEE, APPENDIX "AA, BB" ;

VS.

PETITIONER ON JANUARY 23d, 2023 FILED A CORRECTED "MOTION TO REINSTATE"  
PETITIONER'S SEPTEMBER 29TH, 2022 REHEARING MOTION, SEE, MULLER V.  
LEFEVRE 873 F.2d 534, 536 (2d Cir. 1989) ("REARGUMENT MOTION GRANTED", SEE,  
APPENDIX "4cc" ; PETITIONER PUT THIS PRO SE MOTION IN A DIFFERENT FORMAT " ;

4TH AMENDMENT CLAIM") \* MARSHALL V. JERRICO 100 S. CT. 1610 (1980);  
 CARTER V. WASHINGTON METRO AREA TRANSIT AUTHORITY, 503 F.3d  
 143, 145 (CA2) (D.C. CIR. 2007) ("MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION GRANTED")  
 THIS MOTION WOULD AVERT THE (11TH) CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS  
 FROM SANA BAGGING THESE PROCEEDINGS WHICH PETITIONER WOULD  
 NEED TIME TO MAKE PHOTO COPIES OF THE COURT'S ADJUDICATION  
 FOR EXHIBITS TO THE WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, THEREBY CREATING  
 ANOTHER ELEMENT, TO GRANT AN EXTENSION OF TIME, SEE,  
 PATTERSON V. CRABB, 904 F.2d 1179, 1180 (7 CIR. 1990) ("THE EXERCISE OF  
 THE POWER IS UNPROBLEMATIC IN A CASE SUCH AS THIS WHERE  
 THE APPELLANT THROUGH "JUDICIAL ERROR" NEVER OBTAINED A  
 HEARING OF THIS APPEAL AND APPELLEE DOES NOT CONTEND THAT  
 HE WILL BE HARMED BY THE REOPENING OF THE MATTER, COURT  
 GRANTED F.R.C.P. 60(b)"); MARQUETTE CORP V. PRIESTER, 234 F. SUPP.  
 799 (4TH CIR. 1964) ("MOCKERY OF UNFAIRNESS"); BROUDY V. MATHER,  
 335 F. SUPP. 2d 14 (D.C. CIR. 2004) ("DENIAL OF ACCESS TO THE  
 COURT.

" CONCLUSION "

(1) GRANT PETITIONER'S (2d) MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  
 TO (60) SIXTY DAYS;

(2) ANY OTHER RELIEF THE COURT DEEMS JUST TO AVERT  
 THE HEREIN CITED INJUSTICES.

" OATH "

UNDER THE PENALTIES OF PERJURY I DO SWEAR THAT THE  
 FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES ARE TRUE AND CORRECT EXECUTED ON  
 FEBRUARY 13, 2023, SEE, KAPO V. U.S., 467 F.3d 1063, 1069 (2 CIR.  
 (2005).

Michael J. [Signature]

**Additional material  
from this filing is  
available in the  
Clerk's Office.**