

22A426

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED  
NOV 17 2022  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

November 17, 2022

Scott S. Harris  
Clerk of Court  
United States Supreme Court  
1 First St. NE  
Washington, DC 20543

RE: Submission of Verified Application for Emergency Stay Pending the Filing of a Petition for Original Writs of *Quo Warranto*, *Mandamus*, & *Habeas Corpus* to Justice Clarence Thomas

---

Dear Mr. Harris,

Despite all appearances to the contrary, I have never been afforded a full, fair, or impartial trial or appeal in California and all Federal Courts have refused to hear my case. The result is that I have been completely denied a judicial determination of rights in both State and Federal Courts and thereby denied all applicable rights secured by the California and U.S. Constitutions.

My *Verified* Application is in part made pursuant to Article III, §2 which declares that “the judicial Power of the United States **shall** extend to **all** cases in Law and Equity arising under this Constitution”. This is a case in Law *and* Equity arising under the Constitution. In the case of *Cohens v. Virginia*, 19 U.S. 264, 383-4 (1821), Chief Justice John Marshall, speaking for the Court, declared that “[t]he Constitution gave to every person having a claim upon a State a **right** to submit his case to the Court of the nation. However unimportant his claim might be, however little the community might be interested in its decision, the framers of our Constitution thought it necessary for the purposes of justice to provide a tribunal as superior to influence as possible in which that claim might be decided.”

I have a right to present my case to this Court and this Court has a mandatory non-discretionary duty to Lawfully adjudicate it. As my *Verified* Application establishes, there is no other Court in the Nation to go to. I have also presented many additional extraordinary circumstances requiring this Court’s mandatory intervention.

ADAM A. BEREKI

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED  
NOV 22 2022  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK


ORIGINAL

Even though this Court has a mandatory duty to hear this case, Justice Kagan apparently believes she has discretion to refuse to do so and has denied my Application. In this Court's own words, this appears to be an overt act of treason to the Constitution:

"We have no more right to decline the exercise of jurisdiction which is given than to usurp that which is not given. The one or the other would be treason to the Constitution. Questions may occur which we would gladly avoid, but we cannot avoid them. All we can do is to exercise our best judgment and conscientiously to perform our duty." *Cohens v. Virginia*, 19 U.S. 264, 404 (1821).

Thank you for abiding your duty to promptly deliver my Application to Justice Thomas whom I believe has the heart, integrity, love of humanity, our Country, and Constitution to follow the Law.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, consisting of several loops and a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Adam Bereki

**ADAM A. BEREKI**

---

818 SPIRIT, COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA | ABEREKI@GMAIL.COM | 949.241.6693