

July 13, 2022

Justice Sonia Sotomayor The Supreme Court of the United States One First Street N.E. Washington, DC20543

Re: Corrected application to Extend Time Writ of Certiorari

Dear Justice Sotomayor:

Mo No. 2022-133 the Court of Appeals, the State of New York, in which I was the plaintiff, was denied: decided and entered twenty-eight of April 2022. The court of origination is the Supreme Court, New York County. Defendants has caused a prolonged period of extreme hardship. On the 1st Appellate Division, appellant invoked the Eight Amendment. Right now, not only my financial situation is such that I would have to proceed as forma pauperis. My housing situation is dire as well, it is only temporary.

Wherefore, an Extension of Time is requested so that I can improve my financial situation and can secure a more permanent housing arrangement. Because of the large amount of uncertainty of circumstances, the maximum time permissible is requested with a preferable minimum time of 60 days.

Very Truly Yours,

RESELES 1

Robert Budwilowitz

cc: Louis Klieger, Esq.

Enclosures

JUL 18 2012 OFFICE OF THE SUPE

87 Ocean Ave#510, JC, NJ 07305

Ph.: (201) 936-6751

State of New York Court of Appeals

Decided and Entered on the sixth day of January, 2022

Present, Hon. Janet DiFiore, Chief Judge, presiding.

Mo. No. 2021-760 Robert Budwilowitz, Appellant,

v.
Marc Nichols Associates et al.,
Respondents.

Appellant having appealed and moved for leave to appeal to the Court of Appeals in the above cause;

Upon the papers filed and due deliberation, it is

ORDERED, on the Court's own motion, that the appeal, insofar as taken from the July 2021 Appellate Division order is dismissed, without costs, upon the ground that the order appealed from does not finally determine the action within the meaning of the Constitution; and it is further

ORDERED, that the appeal, insofar as taken from the June 2021 Appellate Division order, is dismissed, without costs, upon the ground that no appeal lies from the unanimous order of the Appellate Division absent the direct involvement of a substantial constitutional question; and it is further

ORDERED, that the motion, insofar as it seeks leave to appeal from the July 2021

Appellate Division order, is dismissed upon the ground that such order does not finally determine the action within the meaning of the Constitution; and it is further

ORDERED, that the motion, insofar as treated as seeking leave to appeal from the June 2021 Appellate Division order, is otherwise denied.

John P. Asiello Clerk of the Court

State of New York Court of Appeals

Decided and Entered on the twenty-eighth day of April, 2022

Present, Hon. Janet DiFiore, Chief Judge, presiding.

Mo. No. 2022-133
Robert Budwilowitz,
Appellant,
v.
Marc Nichols Associates et al.,
Respondents.

Appellant having moved for reargument in the above cause;

Upon the papers filed and due deliberation, it is

ORDERED, that the motion is denied.

Judge Troutman took no part.

Heather Davis
Deputy Clerk of the Court

89

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ROBERT BUDWILOWITZ -- PETITIONER

VS.

MARC NICHOLS ASSOCIATES ET AL. - RESPONDENT

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, ROBERT BUDWILOWITZ, do swear or declare that on this date,

July 14th _______, 2022, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I
have served an Corrected Extension of Time with enclosures on each party to the
above proceeding or the party's counsel, and on every other person required to be
served, by depositing an envelope containing the above documents in the United
States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first-class postage
prepaid, or by delivery to a third party commercial carrier within 3 calendar days.

The names and addresses of those served are as follows: Louis Klieger, Esq 225 Broadway, 18th Fl. New York, NY 10007

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on

2.02.2

ADAM M. ELGAMMAL NOTARY PUBLIC OF NEW JERSEY

COMM. # 50094812 COMMISSION EXPIRES 1274720

(Signature)