## SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

## WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 28, 2022

THE FOLLOWING CASES ON THE LEAVE TO APPEAL DOCKET WERE DISPOSED OF AS INDICATED:

- 127660 Sebastian Agrusa, petitioner, v. L'Oreal USA Products, Inc., respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-18-0656 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 127661 People State of Illinois, petitioner, v. Amin Smith, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-17-3008

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Omari Tinsley, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-19-0536
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Overstreet, J. took no part.

- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Demetrius Hemphill, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-2008
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Sandra Hart et al., respondents, v. The Illinois State Police, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-19-0258, 5-20-0421 Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.
- 128278 People State of Illinois, petitioner, v. Michael E. Smith, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-20-0055 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Allison Youle, petitioner, v. The Village of Lincolnshire et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-20-0693

128305 - People State of Illinois, petitioner, v. Juan Meneses, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-1247

Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

In the exercise of this Court's supervisory authority, the Appellate Court, First District, is directed to vacate its judgment in People v. Meneses, case No. 1-19-1247 (02/02/22). The appellate court is directed to vacate the portion of its opinion addressing the proportionate penalties clause and to affirm the circuit court's judgment denying leave to file a successive post-conviction petition.

Anne M. Burke, C.J. took no part.

128356 - People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Ralph C. Gray, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-19-0837

Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Rodney Harbin, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-1327
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128360 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Justin Hefner, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-19-0888

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Kylen J. Major, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-20-0197
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Antray Mantrell Hall, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-20-0123
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128364 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Eric Talley, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-19-0721

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128365 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Jose Torres, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-1014

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

People State of Illinois, petitioner, v. Frank Roland, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-17-3013
 Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.

Neville, J. took no part.

- 128368 Esther Ohiku, petitioner, v. Javier Hernandez et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-1365

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Cornelius L. Jones, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0520
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Gemayel Peacock, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-0275
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Darrell Fair, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-1072
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Charles Drum, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0114, 4-20-0115
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128375 United Methodist Homes & Services, petitioner, v. Symbria, Inc., respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-1181 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Steven Douglas, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-1317
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128378 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Javion Harris, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-2509

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Terrell Lewis, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-2476
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128380 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Kerrie Major, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-1624

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Janet Grove, etc., et al., respondents, v. Morton Community Bank, etc., petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-21-0177

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128383 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Juan Figueroa, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-17-2390

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128384 Toola O. Taylor, petitioner, v. Cameron Watson, etc., respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-21-0061 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128385 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Nathaniel H. Yancey, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0518 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- Jennifer Wilder, respondent, v. The Board of Review of the Department of Employment Security et al., petitioners. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0839

   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Robert A. Moylan, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0626
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Patrick Buckhaman, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-17-0866 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Theis, J. took no part. Neville, J. took no part.

- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Edward Abel, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-21-0155
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128392 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Cassidy J. Green, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-20-0740 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Cassidy J. Green, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-20-0588 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Mac Property Management, LLC, et al., etc., respondents, v. Reginald Hart, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-1446

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128395 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Christopher B. Harrison, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0077

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128396 In re Order of Protection of Colleen Carrillo, respondent, and Enrique Teran, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0962

Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

In the exercise of this Court's supervisory authority, the Appellate Court, First District, is directed to vacate its order dismissing the appeal in <u>Carrillo v. Teran</u>, case No. 1-21-0962 (03/15/22) for lack of jurisdiction. The appellate court is directed to consider the appeal, including the issues of whether the appeal is moot and whether any exceptions to the mootness doctrine apply.

- 128397 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Richard Komasinski, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-18-0555 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128398 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Jessica R. Lighthart, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0197 Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.

Sweet Berry Cafe, Inc., petitioner, v. Society Insurance, Inc., respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0088

Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- CE Design LTD., Indv., etc., respondent, v. Franklin Edison Corporation et al. (Saskatchewan Mutual Insurance Company, petitioner). Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-19-0130

   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128402 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Luis Roman, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0414

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Holder White, J. took no part.

128403 - People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Danny Kuehner, petitioner.
Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0325
Petition for Appeal as a Matter of Right or, in the alternative,
Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Holder White, J. took no part.

- 128405 2095 Stonington, LLC, petitioner, v. Village of Hoffman Estates, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-1026 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Ifeanyi Okoro, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-1254
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Arkee A. Woodson, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0558
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Holder White, J. took no part.

People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Stanley Yurgaitis, petitioner.
 Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-0745
 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Neville, J. took no part.

- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Allen Ford, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-17-2581
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. James Agee, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-20-0748
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.
- Clay Wortham et al., petitioners, v. The Village of Barrington Hills, etc., respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0888
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Diamond L. Barnes, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-16-0245 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128418 Korte & Luitjohan Contractors, Inc., petitioner, v. Erie Insurance Exchange, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-21-0254

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- In re Commitment of Kevontae Steen (People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Kevontae Steen, petitioner). Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-1012

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Michael McPherson, Adm'r, etc., et al., petitioners, v. St. Clair County, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-21-0243

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Jesse Perez, etc., petitioner, v. St. Alexius Medical Center, etc., et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-18-1887
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Zevez A. Murrell, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-20-0456 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

128426 - People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Eric Reid, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0418

Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Holder White, J. took no part.

- Jermaine Dancer, petitioner, v. Bank of America, N.A., respondent.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0664
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, petitioner, v. Miguel Webster, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-18-2305 Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Jermaine D. Carpenter, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-19-0912, 4-20-0670

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128430 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Keith Buckles, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-20-0205

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Orlando E. Harvey, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-21-0109
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128432 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Manuel Aceituno, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-17-2116 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Chief Justice Anne M. Burke, specially concurring in denial of the petition for leave to appeal. Special concurrence attached.

Theis, J. took no part.

People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Jamie T. Kimmons, petitioner.
 Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-18-0589
 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- Rick Stumeier, etc., petitioner, v. Howard Janis, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-21-0151

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128435 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Jeffrey Moser, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0447

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Amittie G. Brown, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-20-0721
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128438 People State of Illinois, petitioner, v. Justin D. Devine, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0162 Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Jack Thomas Jr., petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-19-0095
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128440 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Rodger Thornton, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0200 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Andrew Tangen et al., petitioners, v. Lake County et al., etc., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-20-0634
  - Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Gregory Crovetti et al., respondents, v. Domain Group, Ltd., et al. (George Souri, petitioner). Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0072

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. William Mitchell, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0413
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- 128447 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Thomas W. Fiala, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-20-0600 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied. Earl R. Casteel, respondent, v. Thaddeus Richardo Jiminez, petitioner. 128448 Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-1288 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied. 128449 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Jonathan R. Watson, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-19-0427 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied. James R. Brocks, petitioner, v. Richard F. Blass et al., respondents. 128450 Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0580 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied. 128451 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Kashai Jones, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0162 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied. People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Cesar Ruiz, petitioner. Leave to 128452 appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-0947
  - 128453 Diamond Barnes, petitioner, v. Deanna Brookhart, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-20-0144

    Petition for Leave to Appeal or, in the alternative, Petition for Appeal as a Matter of Right Denied.

- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Carlos D. Williams, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-19-0562
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128456 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Keith Griffin, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-0499

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Anton Ruth, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-2023

  Petition for Appeal as a Matter of Right or, in the alternative, Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

128459 - Deshawn Gardner, petitioner, v. Seth Hough et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0186

Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- 128460 Geoffrey W. Freeman, petitioner, v. David M. Price, M.D., respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-21-0057 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Jorge L. Reyes, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-19-0474
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.
- 128462 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Rodney W. Carroll, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0069 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Kathleen Ferguson, respondent, v. City of Elgin, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0267

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Barney Donahue, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-20-0274
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128468 Jamie Lichter, respondent, v. Kimberly Porter Carroll, etc., petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-0828 Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Michael A. Weis, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-21-0076
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128470 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Reginald Chapman, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-18-2400 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- In re Estate of Basavapunnamma K. Rao, Deceased (Padma Rao, petitioner, v. Midland Trust Company et al., respondents). Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0316, 1-21-0465

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- 128473 Elisa S. Gallo, M.D., petitioner, v. George Bellas et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0104 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128474 People State of Illinois, petitioner, v. Bryan N. Brusaw, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-19-0154
  Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.
- 128475 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Jarvis D. Logan, petitioner. Leave to Appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-19-0152 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- In re Marriage of Dawn M. Oettel, respondent, and Joseph S. Oettel, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0404

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128478 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Richard Cleveland, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-19-1121 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128480 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Trevis S. Thompson, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-19-0317 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Jeffrey J. Hauck, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-19-1111
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128482 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Johnnie Lee Bankston, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-18-0027 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128486 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. William Ingram, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-19-1093

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128487 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Juan Calderon, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-19-0968

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- 128488 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Joseph G. Thompson, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-19-0950 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- John Doe Corporation 1, etc., et al. v. Huizenga Managers Fund, LLC, et al., respondents (Ritchie Capital Management SEZC, Ltd., petitioner). Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-22-0107 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Carter, J. took no part.

- 128490 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Robert L. Ollie, Jr., petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-16-0282

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128491 Konstantine Ress, petitioner, v. Christopher Fathke, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-1796

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Richard Huff, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-1278
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.
- 128494 People State of Illinois, petitioner, v. Anthony Holder, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-19-0608 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128495 West Bend Mutual Insurance Company, respondent, v. Vaughan's Fetch, Inc., et al. (John Lane Cambron, petitioner). Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-21-0168

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128496 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Anthony Myers, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0592

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Holder White, J. took no part.

128498 - Eden Olena, petitioner, v. City of Chicago, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0342
Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- 128499 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Raymond Harris, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0329 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Cesar Puruncajas, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-2515
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Marshall Spiegel, petitioner, v. 1618 Sheridan Road Condominium Association, Inc., et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-1142 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128503 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Gregory C. Shaw, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-19-0516 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Carter, J. took no part.

- 128504 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Eugene Lamar Haywood, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-19-0547 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Scott Peters, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0638
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128506 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. James A. Jeffers, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0236
  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Inez Qtaish, petitioner, v. Bronzeville Park Nursing Facility et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-1424
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

128510 - People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Josh L. McCall, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-19-0780

Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- 128511 Robert Rialmo, petitioner, v. David O. Brown, etc., respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-1231

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128513 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Dennis Ligon, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-2299

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Neville, J. took no part.

128516 - Patricia J. Walker, petitioner, v. Quality Craft, Inc., etc., et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0081

Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

128517 - The Champaign-Urbana Public Health District, respondent, v. The Illinois Human Rights Commission et al. (Patricia Hunt, petitioner).

Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0357, 4-20-0358

Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Holder White, J. took no part.

- 128518 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Jeremiah Campbell, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0146 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128519 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Jesse W. Tatman, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0379

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Apollo Theater Chicago, LLC, respondent, v. Baker Lincoln, LLC, et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-1103
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128522 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Jason Stephens, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-1252 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128523 Illinois Union Insurance Company, respondent, v. Medline Industries, Inc., petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0175

- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Alan M. Hughey, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0379
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Jose Rodriguez-Tellez, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-19-0875

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Leantoine D. Williams, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-18-0465
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Robert L. Ollie, Jr., petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-17-0449
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128531 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Edward Oats Sr., petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-17-0392 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Brandon Collier, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0132
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. William N. Krasawski, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-18-0741
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128534 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Daroush Ebrahami, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-0124 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128535 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Rickey Dortch, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-1088

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Kenneth S. Seplak, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-19-0910
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Alfred Coppage, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-1710
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Antoine Deshawn Hill, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-20-0714
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Eugene Riley III, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-2603
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. William Bahena, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-1688 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. James Leroy Childers, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-20-0521
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Christopher L. Croom, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-21-0367
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128546 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Dennis Williams, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-21-0198 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Tatyana Rivtis, respondent, v. Woma, Inc., et al., etc. (Volkan Turan, petitioner). Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0147
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128548 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Wuilzon Cruz, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-0626

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Neville, J. took no part.

128549 - Brian Jones, petitioner, v. Illinois Department of Corrections, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0320

128550 - People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Richard Lee Albert, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0560 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Holder White, J. took no part.

- Martha Newkirk, etc., et al., petitioners, v. Joseph Leslie et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-21-0266, 3-21-0297

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Lee Snyder, petitioner, v. Jack Schmitt Ford, Inc., et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-21-0413 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Darius Henderson, petitioner, Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-19-0791
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Marquette Anderson, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-2571
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Mason County Health Department et al., petitioners, v. North American Specialty Insurance Company et al., etc., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-22-0248

   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128557 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Charles Anderson, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-19-0404 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128558 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Luther J. Sanders, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0423 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128559 Anna Tyrka, etc., petitioner, v. Lincolnshire-Prairie View School District 103, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0414

- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Romello Townsend, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-1110
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128562 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Patricia Tillman, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-18-1358 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Veonte L. Griffin, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-2595
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128565 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Leila Jackson, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0625

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Paul Meyers, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-1325
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Jeremy D. Sledge, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-20-0204
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128568 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Kevin E. Martin, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-21-0218

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Carter, J. took no part.

- 128570 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Eugene Clark, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-2448

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Philip Johnson, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-18-0357
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Carter, J. took no part.

- 128573 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Hayze L. Schoonover, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-16-0882 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128575 Alec Pinkston, Indv., etc., respondents, v. The City of Chicago, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-0957 Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.
- Alley 64, Inc., etc., petitioner, v. Society Insurance, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0401

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Mike Blaha et al., etc., respondents, v. The City of Chicago, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0546 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128578 Joe S. Birky, petitioner, v. Bill D. Birky, etc., et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-21-0286

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128579 1030 W. North Ave. Bldg., LLC, etc., petitioner, v. The Firm, LLC, etc., respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-0588 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128580 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Ryan A. Schiller, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-20-0242 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128581 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Ricardo A. Garcia, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0002 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- In re Marriage of Rita Podolsky, petitioner, and Michael D. Podolsky, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-21-0195

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Overstreet, J. took no part.

In re Marriage of Carisa N. Cerajewski, etc., respondent, and John V. Cerajewski, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District.
 5-17-0066
 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Aaron S. Frazier, petitioner.
 Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-21-0158
 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Carter, J. took no part.

- Marcia P. Adams, etc., et al., respondents, v. Chicago Title Insurance Company et al., petitioners. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-22-0206

Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

In the exercise of this Court's supervisory authority, the Appellate Court, Fifth District, is directed to vacate its May 18, 2022, order in Adams, etc., et al. v. Chicago Title Insurance Company et al., case No. 5-22-0206, denying the application for leave to appeal pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 308. The appellate court is directed to allow the application for leave to appeal.

- People State of Illinois, petitioner, v. Shamar Griffin, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-1101
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.
- 128588 Kayla Aalbers, petitioner, v. LaSalle Hotel Properties, etc., et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0494 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Zeb Walls, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-0167
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128592 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Deonte Snowden, petitioner.
  Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-0642
  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128593 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Nicholas A. M. Hastings, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-19-0446 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128594 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Troy D. Patch, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-19-0061

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- People State of Illinios, respondent, v. Nathaniel J. Robinson, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-20-0608
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128596 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Javontavious Z. Benford, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-20-0349 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Maria Staisz, M.D., petitioner, v. Resurrection Physicians Provider Group, Inc., etc., et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-1316 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128599 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Dieuseul Brown, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-19-0428 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128601 Nationwide Property and Casualty Company, etc., respondent, v. State Farm Fire and Casualty Company, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0267

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Carter, J. took no part. Holder White, J. took no part.

- Clark Alave, respondent, v. The City of Chicago, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0812

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Rory Cook, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0436
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128604 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Joshua C. Suggs, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-20-0713 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Bednaco Harper, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-0287
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- 128608 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Talia Fonce, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0321

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128609 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Francisco Lozano, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-18-2170 Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.
- 128611 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Anthony Samuel Grampsas, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0577 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- The Village of Kirkland, respondent, v. Kirkland Properties Holdings Company, LLC I, et al., petitioners. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-20-0780, 2-21-0301

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.
- 128613 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Scott D. Hunt, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0001

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Countryside Lake Association, etc., respondent, v. Richard Hahn et al., petitioners. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0433, 2-21-0631
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128616 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. David B. Libby, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-18-0426

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128617 Marshall Spiegel et al., v. Valerie Hall et al., respondents. (John Xydakis, petitioner). Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-22-0572

- 128619 Parrot Pointe Marine, Inc., respondent, v. Henry Sandow, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-21-0285 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128620 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Marcellus French, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-0805 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Theis, J. took no part.

- 128622 K.S. Krishnamoorthi, petitioner, v. Joseph Chen, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-21-0199

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128627 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Larry Mack, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-16-2344

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Grund & Leavitt, P.C., petitioner, v. Richard Stephenson, respondent.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0619
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Robert W. Kiehn, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-20-0734
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Lissa Small, respondent, v. The Board of Education of Streator Township High School District No. 40, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-21-0113 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128631 Israel Montemayor, petitioner, v. HFS Bureau of Managed Care et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-0447 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Edward A. Weinhaus, petitioner, v. Natalie B. Cohen, etc., respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0089

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

The Board of Education of Deerfield Public Schools District No. 109, petitioner, v. Deerfield Education Association, IEA-NEA, et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0359

Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Juan Lorenzo, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0421
 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Holder White, J. took no part.

- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Paul A. Smith, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-21-0517
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128638 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Cassidy J. Green, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-21-0135 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Ivan Garduno, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-0503

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Cody L. S., petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0570
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Rodney Patton, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-18-1925, 1-21-0527
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128645 Connor Family Trust, respondent, v. Charles Chejfec, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0211

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

In the exercise of this Court's supervisory authority, the Appellate Court, Second District, is directed to vacate its judgment in Connor Family Trust v. Chejfec, case No. 2-21-0211 (05/25/22). The appellate court is directed to consider whether the reference to Rule 304(a) contained in the March 23, 2021, order of the circuit court was sufficient to confer appellate jurisdiction over the matter.

- People State of Illinois, petitioner, v. Clement J. Kobischka, respondent.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-19-0578
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Arthur E. Smallwood, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-19-0416
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Elliott T. Murphy, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0249
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- 128649 John McCarthy, petitioner, v. Union Pacific Railroad Company et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-20-0377

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Carol Cleeton, etc., petitioner, v. SIU Healthcare, Inc., et al., etc. (Mouhamad Bakir, respondent). Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0284

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.

- 128652 People State of Illinois, petitioner, v. Jose Yanez, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-20-0007

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Micah Mannie, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-1169
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128654 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Skylar Jordan, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-19-0768

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128656 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Chad Conway, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-19-0909

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Demario B. Thompson, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0320 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Illinois Competitive Energy Association et al., petitioners, v. The Illinois Commerce Commission et al., etc., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0415, 2-21-0420

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128659 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Louis Fleming, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-21-0473

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Carter, J. took no part.

- 128660 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Marvin Sumlin, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0769

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128661 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. James L. Warren, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0283 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128664 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Josiah Joseph Robinson, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-19-0047 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- The Bank of New York Mellon, etc., respondent, v. Antoni Fiorentino, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0660

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128667 Cassidy Green, petitioner, v. Illinois State Police et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0744 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128669 55 East Washington Development, LLC, petitioner, v. Adam David Lynd, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0549

- 128670 In re Marriage of Mary Jo Mast, petitioner, and Steve J. Mast, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0363

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Kelly McCarty, respondent, v. Target Corporation, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0377

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Anthony L. Anderson, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-0404
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128675 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Charles Donelson, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-2065 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128676 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Trenton Jefferson, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-20-0185 Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.
- 128677 Steven Palmer, petitioner, v. Elbe Auto Sales, LLC, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-21-0451
  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128678 Clyde Wright, etc., respondent, v. Waukegan Community Unit School District 60, etc., petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0334

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128679 Thomas Powers, petitioner, v. David Doll, etc., et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0007 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Anthony G. Abbate, petitioner, v. The Retirement Board of the Policemen's Annuity and Benefit Fund of the City of Chicago, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-1228 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128685 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Arnel Robinson, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-0403 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Antwan D. Price, petitioner.
 Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-21-0278
 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Carter, J. took no part.

- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Robert King, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0254
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Christopher J. Cox, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-19-0389
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Nehemiah Williams, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-20-0368
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Daniel R. Belknap, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-20-0502
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Carter, J. took no part.

128693 - People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Jason Shoemaker, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0482 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Holder White, J. took no part.

128695 - People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Shelley Murphy, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-19-0873 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- 128696 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Bonzell L. Joyner, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0045 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Sharon Heitz, petitioner, v. Smita Vyas et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0545

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- 128698 Florence Corcoran, etc., et al., respondents, v. Philip Rotheimer et al., etc. (Kriemhilde Silvia Vince, petitioner). Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-1374

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128701 Westfield Insurance Company, petitioner, v. Dynacom Management, Inc., et al., etc. (Navigators Specialty Insurance Company, etc., et al., respondents). Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-1804

Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Michael Bishop, petitioner.
 Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-1015
 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Theis, J. took no part.

- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Michael D. Costic, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-21-0373 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Carter, J. took no part.

- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Katrina M. Harden, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-20-0024
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128706 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. James Melvin, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-18-0359

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128710 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Adam Grunin, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-0598

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128711 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Dean Kruel, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-0721

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128713 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. James Degorski, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-18-0192 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Dometric Buckley, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-1391
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Willie A. Currie, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0598
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

128719 - People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Latrice Burns, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-1100

Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Theis, J. took no part.

- Jelena Babic, Indv., etc., petitioner, v. The Village of Lincolnwood, etc., respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0929
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128723 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Armando Trejo Jr., petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-19-0424 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Ronaldo Fort, Jr., petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-21-0127
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128727 Paramjit Sidhu, Indv., etc., respondent, v. Morris Cancer Center, LLC, et al., petitioners. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0034

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Charles E. Harris, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0208
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128729 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Ronald G. McConnell, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-19-0452 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- Shawnee Community Unit School District No. 84, petitioner, v. Illinois
   Property Tax Appeal Board et al., respondents. Leave to appeal,
   Appellate Court, Fifth District. 5-19-0266
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.
- 128734 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Jefferi Dewitt Broadway, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0417, 4-21-0418, 4-21-0420

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- 128735 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Shawn Randall, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-1616

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128737 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Steven Podkulski, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-2149 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128738 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Maurice M. Irby, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-19-0662 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Pierre Montanez, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-1930
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.
- John E. Stewart, Jr., petitioner, v. The Illinois Department of Transportation et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-1104

   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128743 Sandy Tsai et al., etc., respondents, v. Jerry Karlik et al., etc., petitioners. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-0845 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Leon J. Modrowski, respondent, v. Stephen L. Richards, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-21-0369
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Jeffrey D. Sanford, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0030
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128747 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Mitchell Deandre Bush, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-19-0283 Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.
- 128748 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Alex Daniel, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-18-2604

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- Lori G. Levin, etc., petitioner, v. The Retirement Board of the County Employees' and Officers' Annuity and Benefit Fund of Cook County, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0467 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

Neville, J. took no part.

- 128750 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Anthony Walsh, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0786

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128751 Earnest Lee et al., etc. (Mildred Lee, etc., respondent, v. Aperion Care, Inc., petitioner). Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0903

- 128754 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Khor Chin Lim, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-0104

  Petition for Appeal as a Matter of Right or, in the alternative, Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128755 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Michael Porter, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-20-0990

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128756 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Michael L. Berry, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-20-0082

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Harry Cassady, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0190
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128760 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Ryan Michael Schutz, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0465 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- In re Application of the County Collector, etc. (Titan Capital, LLC, et al., respondents, v. Aloys Rutagwibira et al., petitioners). Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-1134

   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128763 The State of Illinois, etc., respondent, v. Elite Staffing, Inc., et al., petitioners. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0840 Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.
- 128764 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Donald J. Hayes, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0095, 4-21-0241 Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- Illinois Farmers Insurance Company, petitioner, v. Coalton Godwin et al., etc. (Eric Pai, etc., respondent). Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-21-0001

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Anson Paape, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-20-0293
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- The State of Illinois, etc., respondent, v. Elite Staffing, Inc., et al. (Colony Display LLC, petitioner). Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0840

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.
- 128768 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. John Watts, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0315

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- PML Development LLC, petitioner, v. The Village of Hawthorn Woods, respondent. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-20-0779
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Allowed.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Lyarron T. Emers, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-21-0368
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Markus N. Buchanan, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Second District. 2-20-0412
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Perry R. Alberts, petitioner.
   Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Fourth District. 4-20-0392
   Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

- 128778 Aaron Woolfson, etc., petitioner, v. Chicago Title & Trust Company, etc., et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District.
  1-21-0868
  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128780 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Norvin Ortiz, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-21-0807

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.
- 128781 People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Willie Woods, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District. 1-19-0225

  Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

128786 - Judy Becker, petitioner, v. The Illinois Workers' Compensation
Commission et al., respondents. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First
District. 1-21-1076WC
Petition for Appeal as a Matter of Right Denied.

128787 - People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Willie M. Wells, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, Third District. 3-20-0038

Petition for Leave to Appeal Denied.

# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF

# THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

(Docket No. 128432)

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, Respondent, v. MANUEL ACEITUNO, Petitioner.

Filed September 28, 2022.

CHIEF JUSTICE ANNE M. BURKE, specially concurring in the denial of the petition for leave to appeal:

In this case, one justice of this court has recused herself, and the remaining members of the court are divided so that it is not possible to secure the constitutionally required concurrence of four judges for a decision (Ill. Const.1970, art. VI, § 3). For this reason, the petition for leave to appeal is denied.



SEP 2 8 2022

SUPREME COURT CLERK

#### **NOTICE**

This Order was filed under Supreme Court Rule 23 and is not precedent except in the limited circumstances allowed under Rule 23(e)(1). 2022 IL App (4th) 200414-U

NO. 4-20-0414

IN THE APPELLATE COURT

OF ILLINOIS

### FOURTH DISTRICT

FILED

March 24, 2022 Carla Bender Ith District Appellate Court, IL

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,	)	Appeal from
Plaintiff-Appellee,	)	Circuit Court of
v.	)	Livingston County
LUIS ROMAN,	)	No. 18CF72
Defendant-Appellant.	)	
**	)	Honorable
	)	Jennifer H. Bauknecht,
	)	Judge Presiding.

JUSTICE HOLDER WHITE delivered the judgment of the court. Justices Turner and Steigmann concurred in the judgment.

#### **ORDER**

- ¶ 1 Held: The appellate court affirmed, concluding the trial court did not abuse its discretion in (1) denying defendant's request to represent himself and (2) sentencing defendant to a term of 12 years' imprisonment.
- ¶ 2 In March 2018, the State charged defendant, Luis Roman, with one count of aggravated battery. In February 2020, the trial court found defendant guilty of aggravated battery. In June 2020, the court sentenced defendant to 12 years' imprisonment.
- ¶ 3 Defendant appeals, arguing (1) the trial court denied his constitutional right to represent himself at trial and (2) his 12-year sentence was excessive. For the following reasons, we affirm the trial court's judgment.

 $\P 4$ 

I. BACKGROUND

¶ 5

A. Pretrial Proceedings

- In March 2018, the State charged defendant with one count of aggravated battery. Specifically, the State charged defendant with committing a battery on November 14, 2016, when he knowingly made physical contact of an insulting or provoking nature with Correctional Officer Anton Frazier, in that defendant bit Frazier about the arm and he knew Frazier was an employee of the Department of Corrections (DOC) who was engaged in the performance of his authorized duties. Defense counsel filed a motion for a pretrial subpoena for defendant's psychiatric records. The trial court granted the motion and ordered the production of the records to the court.
- At a November 13, 2018, pretrial hearing, defendant asked to be heard on an issue concerning defense counsel. Defendant explained he had written to defense counsel numerous times and attempted to set up meetings to go over discovery. Defendant asked counsel to file a motion to challenge the indictment. Defendant stated, "I also asked him due to like 300-and-some documents that I sent him to file [a] motion for a challenge of arrest and my *Miranda* rights not being read along with other evidence and camera footage that is on this case. And I would like to know if I could fire counsel today under ineffective assistance of counsel." Defendant indicated he had an attorney that would probably take his case and he could proceed *pro se* until he retained alternate counsel.
- Defense counsel indicated he had discussed many of the issues raised with defendant. Defense counsel stated defendant wanted him to file a motion to dismiss the indictment "because of a lack of *Miranda*." Defense counsel stated defendant had not confessed, "so there would not be anything to suppress and that would not at any rate cause a motion or a case to be dismissed." According to defense counsel, there was evidence that could be used for defense purposes at trial but not as grounds to have the case dismissed. Defense counsel also

indicated he was still reviewing defendant's mental health records to determine whether there was any additional defense.

- Defendant stated, "Your Honor, there is no question. I know by law, by law I got the right to fire counsel ineffective." The trial court told defendant that if he fired counsel he would have to proceed *pro se*. The court further stated there was no basis for a finding of ineffective assistance of counsel because counsel was not filing the motions defendant requested. The court explained defendant did not have a right to pick his appointed attorney and it was his attorney's decision as to what motions to file. The court stated it would not appoint a new attorney. Defendant again complained about defense counsel's failure to show him the indictment and to visit him, but stated, "I don't have a problem him being my counsel."
- The trial court explained it had to go through a few admonitions before allowing defendant to proceed *pro se*. The court determined defendant was 30 years old, had completed high school, and could read and write. Defendant stated he had been hospitalized multiple times for mental health issues, had an "extensive mental health background," and was currently taking a medication for "bipolar, hypertension disorder, and mood swings." Defense counsel again stated he was going through defendant's mental health records to determine whether there was a fitness or sanity issue. The court then ruled as follows:

"All right. At this point, [defendant], it is my understanding that [defense counsel] is looking into whether or not there is a fitness and/or sanity issue. He has gotten all of your documents and he indicated that he has started reviewing those. I

am not going to go forward on your request to proceed *pro se* until that review has been completed.

If it is determined that there are no fitness issues, then I will raise—I will bring this up again. But, so basically I am not going to—I can't find a knowing and voluntary waiver of the right to counsel when you may not have the mental capacity to make that decision."

The court stated, "Your *pro se* motion is stricken because your [*sic*] are represented by counsel. And it is denied at this time. Upon completion of the fitness eval, I will revisit it." Defendant asked the court to order counsel to schedule a visit and again reiterated, "I don't got no problem him being my counsel."

- ¶ 11 On November 15, 2018, defense counsel filed a motion for a mental examination "for purposes of determining a *bona fide* doubt as to [d]efendant's fitness, and for purposes of the defense of insanity[.]" The motion alleged that psychiatric records indicated defendant received mental health treatment and raised "material concerns as to the mental health of the defendant at the time of the alleged incident."
- In December 2018, the trial court held a hearing on the motion for a mental health examination. Defense counsel noted both he and the trial court had doubts as to defendant's fitness based on "an incident on the video monitor with respect to" defendant. However, defense counsel went on to explain that he had another phone conference with defendant and went through matters in detail. Defense counsel stated that he was "not convinced there is a fitness issue at the present time." Defense counsel asked the court to continue the motion so he could have another phone conference with defendant and make a final determination as to whether a

fitness evaluation was necessary. At the next court hearing in February 2019, defendant waived his right to a jury trial.

¶ 13

#### B. Bench Trial

- ¶ 14 Over the course of three nonconsecutive days, the trial court held a bench trial. The court heard the following evidence.
- ¶ 15 1. Anton Frazier
- Anton Frazier, a correctional officer at the Pontiac Correctional Center (Pontiac), testified that, on November 14, 2016, he and two other officers escorted defendant to his cell. According to Frazier, defendant refused to walk, so the officers had to "half carry him" to his cell. The officers placed defendant in his cell on his knees, facing the back of the cell. Defendant was restrained in handcuffs and leg irons, and Frazier crouched down to remove the leg irons. Once the leg irons were removed, defendant stood up suddenly and aggressively turned toward Frazier. According to Frazier, he put up his arm to block and push defendant away, and defendant bit Frazier's left forearm.
- Frazier testified he and the other officers got defendant under control and escorted him to the back of the cell where they could remove defendant's restraints through the cuffing hatch. Once defendant's restraints were removed, he grabbed one of the officer's shirts and another officer's hand before the officers got defendant's hands back inside the cell and secured the cuffing hatch. After the incident, Frazier reported to the health care unit where he was instructed to fill out worker's compensation forms and get a tetanus shot. Frazier testified the bite left behind an "indentation" and a "slight scrape," but he was not bleeding.

¶ 18

2. Steven Tutoky

¶ 19 Steven Tutoky, a Pontiac correctional officer, testified that, on November 14, 2016, he escorted defendant to his cell. According to Tutoky, defendant did not want to cooperate and walk properly, so the officers had to assist him to his cell. Once in his cell, defendant was placed on his knees, and his leg irons were removed. As the officers backed out of defendant's cell, Tutoky testified he saw defendant turn around and bite Frazier's left arm. According to Tutoky, he and two other officers got defendant under control on the ground and then removed defendant's handcuffs through the "chuckhole." When asked if anything happened once defendant's handcuffs were removed, Tutoky responded, "He did end up grabbing my hand attempting to not want to go back in; and I do believe he was grabbing at [another officer's] shirt maybe just with his hands behind his back; and then his hands had to be placed back in the chuckhole."

#### ¶ 20

## 3. Adrian Corley

Adrian Corley, a lieutenant with DOC, testified that defendant was agitated and uncooperative the day of the incident. Corley testified officers assisted defendant to his cell, where he was ordered to go down to his knees so the leg irons could be removed. According to Corley, Tutoky removed defendant's leg irons while Frazier had control of defendant's handcuff with a handle called a "D lead." After the leg irons were removed, defendant stood up, spun toward Frazier, and bit Frazier. Corley testified the officers placed defendant on the ground, eventually closed the cell door, and removed defendant's handcuffs through the door. Once the handcuffs were removed, defendant grabbed Corley's shirt and Tutoky's hand. After the incident, Corley observed imprints that looked like teeth marks on Frazier's arm.

¶ 22

#### 4. Alberto Colin

- ¶ 23 Alberto Colin, an inmate at Pontiac serving a sentence for murder, testified he heard a conversation between defendant and Tutoky related to Frazier's bite mark. When asked what Tutoky told defendant during this conversation, the State objected because the question called for hearsay, and the court sustained the objection. Colin testified he was not present for the November 2016 incident and only overheard a conversation had after the fact.
- ¶ 24 5. Defendant
- Defendant testified that, on November 14, 2016, he was a resident at Pontiac and Frazier escorted him from place to place. That morning, defendant was in cell 336, and he had a legal call. When he returned to his cell from the legal call, defendant realized his cell had been "shook down," and he asked officers where his pictures were. According to defendant, Frazier and Tutoky escorted him "in the air" to cell 102. Defendant testified his hands were cuffed behind his back and Frazier took the leg irons off and asked defendant to stand. According to defendant, he was pushed on top of the toilet and "Frazier closed his fist and punched [defendant] three times in the face." Defendant testified, "Then after that is when they started moving me towards the front of the cell backwards; and then Anton Frazier out of the middle of nowhere put his hands when he was going to close the door around my neck; and then he was trying to choke me." Defendant denied biting Frazier. Defendant testified his back was toward Frazier during the incident until the cell door was closed.
- ¶ 26 C. Verdict and Sentence
- ¶ 27 The trial court found defendant guilty of aggravated battery. The court ordered a presentence investigation (PSI) report and set a sentencing hearing. The PSI indicated defendant's continued denial of biting Frazier. The PSI indicated defendant suffered from asthma, arthritis, and seasonal allergies, and defendant was deaf in his left ear. Defendant had a

history of mental illness and had been diagnosed with bipolar disorder, depression, and anxiety. Defendant took a medication called Buspar for his mental problems. Defendant reported he had an "unbreakable" relationship with his mother who raised him with his stepfather. At age 13, defendant went to a juvenile rehabilitation center where he was sexually assaulted by another inmate. The PSI indicated defendant incurred a total of 212 disciplinary infractions while in DOC, including "fighting, sexual misconduct, drugs, dangerous contraband, assault, and assault on staff." Defendant completed several classes while in DOC and earned certificates in "anger management, problem solving skills, trauma management, decision making, panic management, dialectical behavior therapy 1 and 2 (anxiety management), and Safe T.E.A. Group."

- ¶ 28 The State recommended a sentence of 12 years' imprisonment because the aggravated battery conviction carried a mandatory Class X sentencing range because of defendant's prior criminal history. The State indicated defendant's prior history of delinquency included "his 2009 burglaries, 2010 robbery, 2013 possession of electronic contraband in a penal institution, as well as what's been noted as 212 disciplinary infractions while housed in DOC\*\*\*." The PSI also showed a 2013 conviction for violation of sex offender registration. The State argued defendant could not comply with the rules while incarcerated and when he was released into the public, he committed felony offenses. The State argued deterrence was a strong factor because of defendant's recurrent criminal behavior and inability to follow rules and regulations when confined.
- ¶ 29 Defense counsel argued for the minimum sentence of six years' imprisonment.

  Defense counsel argued defendant was convicted in 2009 and 2010 of burglary and robbery but had been in DOC since then and "the violent actions that you see in the burglary and in the robbery had ended." Counsel also noted defendant's desire to see his parents showed a

recognition of the effect his convictions had on his family. Defense counsel argued defendant made efforts to better himself while in DOC and emphasized the certificates he earned. Counsel further argued "there was no testimony at any time regarding any injury, physical injury to the officers." Defendant made a statement in allocution, stating he was a changed man, maintaining his innocence, and stating a 12-year sentence was excessive.

- ¶ 30 The trial court noted defendant's offense carried a mandatory minimum sentence of six years' imprisonment. The court found deterrence was the strongest aggravating factor, noting it was imperative that officers have control of situations in prison and the rules in DOC were in place to protect the safety of the inmates and the correctional officers. The court also noted defendant had one of the worst disciplinary records it had seen with 212 violations. The court disagreed with defense counsel's argument that no one was injured. The court stated that, although the officer's skin was not broken, the offense was a physical encounter and defendant's disciplinary record showed violence as well. The court stated it did not find any statutory mitigating factors, but it acknowledged defendant's efforts to better himself while in DOC. The court again stressed the need for deterrence and sentenced defendant to 12 years' imprisonment followed by a 3-year term of mandatory supervised release. After the court imposed defendant's sentence and admonished him as to his right to appeal, defense counsel indicated defendant wished to appeal and act as his own appellate counsel. The court asked defendant if he wanted an attorney to assist him on appeal, and defendant responded, "Yeah. I want an attorney to assist me."
- ¶ 31 Defendant filed a motion to reconsider the sentence. The motion alleged the trial court failed to consider all mitigating factors and abused its discretion in imposing an excessive sentence. At an August 2020 hearing on the motion to reconsider the sentence, defense counsel

argued the court failed to properly weigh the mitigating factors, including that defendant's conduct did not cause serious physical harm. Counsel further argued the sentence was excessive and disproportionate to the spirit and purpose of the law where Frazier suffered no injuries, did not miss work, and required no medical treatment.

¶ 32 The trial court stated it considered all statutory factors in imposing defendant's sentence. The court noted the sentence was well within the statutory range and the aggravating factors greatly outweighed the mitigating factors. The court denied the motion to reconsider the sentence.

¶ 33 This appeal followed.

¶ 34 II. ANALYSIS

¶ 35 On appeal, defendant argues (1) the trial court denied his constitutional right to represent himself at trial and (2) his 12-year sentence was excessive.

¶ 36 A. Self-Representation

¶ 37 Defendant first argues the trial court denied his constitutional right to represent himself at trial. Specifically, defendant asserts the court abused its discretion in refusing to honor defendant's request to represent himself because there was no *bona fide* doubt as to his fitness to stand trial and no indication that defendant was incapable of making a knowing and voluntary waiver of his right to counsel. The State asserts defendant forfeited this issue by failing to make proper objections at trial and to raise this issue in a posttrial motion.

Alternatively, the State argues no error occurred. Although defendant forfeited this issue by failing to properly preserve the issue, we turn to the merits of his claim because we conclude no error occurred.

- ¶ 38 "[T]he plain-error doctrine allows a reviewing court to consider unpreserved error when (1) a clear or obvious error occurred and the evidence is so closely balanced that the error alone threatened to tip the scales of justice against the defendant, regardless of the seriousness of the error, or (2) a clear or obvious error occurred and that error is so serious that it affected the fairness of the defendant's trial and challenged the integrity of the judicial process, regardless of the closeness of the evidence." People v. Piatkowski, 225 Ill. 2d 551, 565, 870 N.E.2d 403, 410-11 (2007). The first step in plain-error analysis is to determine whether error occurred. *Id.* ¶ 39 A person accused of a crime has a constitutional right to counsel at every critical stage of proceedings. United States v. Wade, 388 U.S. 218, 224 (1967). While a defendant also has the right to self-representation, he must act knowingly and intelligently when foregoing counsel. Faretta v. California, 422 U.S. 806, 835 (1975). Given the importance of the right to counsel, it "should not be lightly deemed waived." (Internal quotation marks omitted.) People v. Langley, 226 Ill. App. 3d 742, 749, 589 N.E.2d 824, 829 (1992). Waiver of counsel must be clear, unequivocal, and unambiguous. People v. Baez, 241 Ill. 2d 44, 116, 946 N.E.2d 359, 401 (2011). The court must determine whether a defendant truly wants to represent himself and has definitively invoked his right to self-representation. *Id.* "Courts must 'indulge in every reasonable presumption against waiver' of the right to counsel." *Id.* (quoting *Brewer v.* Williams, 430 U.S. 387, 404 (1977)).
- If a defendant's decision to represent himself is made freely, knowingly, and intelligently, it must be accepted. *People v. Lego*, 168 III. 2d 561, 563-64, 660 N.E.2d 971, 973 (1995). "The requirement of knowing and intelligent choice 'calls for nothing less than a full awareness of both the nature of the right being abandoned and the consequences of the decision to abandon it.' " *Baez*, 241 III. 2d at 117 (quoting *Lego*, 168 III. 2d at 564). "Even if a defendant

gives some indication that he wants to proceed *pro se*, he may later acquiesce in representation by counsel." *Id.* (citing *People v. Burton*, 184 III. 2d 1, 23, 703 N.E.2d 49, 60 (1998)). "Under certain circumstances, defendant may acquiesce by vacillating or abandoning an earlier request to proceed *pro se.*" *Burton*, 184 III. 2d at 23.

- Here, at the November 13, 2018, pretrial hearing, defendant expressed his dissatisfaction with his appointed counsel based on counsel's failure to file certain motions and to visit defendant. Defendant stated, "And I would like to know if I could fire counsel today under ineffective assistance of counsel." Defendant also indicated he had an attorney that would probably take his case and he could proceed *pro se* until he retained alternate counsel. After defense counsel explained his reasons for not filing the requested motions, defendant stated, "by law I got the right to fire counsel ineffective."
- The trial court told defendant that if he fired counsel, he would have to proceed *pro se.* The court further stated there was no basis for a finding of ineffective assistance of counsel because counsel was not filing the motions defendant requested. The court explained defendant did not have a right to pick his appointed attorney and it was his attorney's decision as to what motions to file. The court stated it would not appoint a new attorney. Defendant again complained about defense counsel's failure to show him the indictment and to visit him, but stated, "I don't have a problem him being my counsel." Defendant then stated he was firing defense counsel and proceeding *pro se.*
- ¶ 43 The trial court explained it had to go through a few admonitions before allowing defendant to proceed *pro se*. The court determined defendant was 30 years old, had completed high school, and could read and write. Defendant stated he had been hospitalized multiple times for mental health issues, had an "extensive mental health background," and was currently taking

medication for "bipolar, hypertension disorder, and mood swings." Defense counsel stated he was going through defendant's mental health records to determine whether there was a fitness or sanity issue. The court then ruled as follows:

"All right. At this point, [defendant], it is my understanding that [defense counsel] is looking into whether or not there is a fitness and/or sanity issue. He has gotten all of your documents and he indicated that he has started reviewing those. I am not going to go forward on your request to proceed *pro se* until that review has been completed.

If it is determined that there are no fitness issues, then I will raise—I will bring this up again. But, so basically I am not going to—I can't find a knowing and voluntary waiver of the right to counsel when you may not have the mental capacity to make that decision."

The court stated, "Your *pro se* motion is stricken because your [*sic*] are represented by counsel. And it is denied at this time. Upon completion of the fitness eval, I will revisit it." Defendant asked the court to order counsel to schedule a visit and again reiterated, "I don't got no problem him being my counsel."

¶ 44 Defendant first argues his statements at the November 2018 hearing were an unequivocal invocation of his right to represent himself. We disagree. Although defendant did state he was firing counsel and proceeding *pro se*, this statement was made shortly after defendant indicated he had alternate counsel and would proceed *pro se* until alternate counsel could be secured. Additionally, defendant twice stated that he had no problem with appointed

counsel being his counsel. We conclude defendant's contradictory statements do not amount to an unequivocal request to represent himself. Not only did he express a desire to represent himself only until alternate counsel could be secured, he also expressed having "no problem" with appointed counsel being his counsel. This equivocation does not demonstrate a true desire to represent himself or a definitive invocation of his right to self-representation. See *Burton*, 184 Ill. 2d at 22-23 (collecting cases).

¶ 45 Nevertheless, the trial court appeared prepared to accept defendant's decision and began admonishing defendant to determine whether the decision was knowing and intelligent. See *Lego*, 168 Ill. 2d at 563-64. In the course of these admonishments, it came to the court's attention that, in defendant's words, he had an "extensive" mental health history, including multiple hospitalizations for mental health issues. We cannot say the trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's request to proceed pro se where it faced a serious question as to defendant's fitness to stand trial. Defendant asserts nothing in the record shows a bona fide doubt as to defendant's fitness to stand trial. In making this argument, defendant asserts nothing in the record demonstrates serious mental illness that would impair his ability to stand trial. Defendant further asserts he was able to advocate for sentencing credit at his sentencing hearing to demonstrate there was no bona fide doubt as to his fitness to stand trial. In rejecting defendant's argument, we are mindful of the fact that the trial court did not have the benefit of knowledge of future proceedings when it made its decision to deny defendant's request to represent himself. At the time the trial court denied defendant's request, it inquired as to defendant's mental health history and was told, by defendant, that history was extensive and involved multiple hospitalizations. We cannot say the court's caution in denying defendant's request to represent himself until a fitness evaluation could be completed was an abuse of

discretion. See *Baez*, 241 Ill. 2d at 116 ("Courts must 'indulge in every reasonable presumption against waiver' of the right to counsel.") (quoting *Williams*, 430 U.S. at 404).

- ¶ 46 The State argues defendant acquiesced to representation by appointed counsel where he never raised the issue of proceeding pro se after the November 2018 hearing. Defendant argues he did not acquiesce to counsel's representation because he was not required to futilely request to represent himself. In support of this argument, defendant cites *People v. Hunt*, 2016 IL App (1st) 132979, 55 N.E.3d 1227. In *Hunt*, defense counsel told a substitute judge the defendant wanted to represent himself and the defendant affirmed the statement. Id. § 6. The substitute judge extensively admonished the defendant but declined to rule on the request; instead, the substitute judge continued the matter for five days so the trial judge could rule. Id. At the next hearing, the trial judge denied the defendant's request to proceed pro se and found the request to be a delay tactic. *Id.* ¶ 7. The appellate court reversed, finding defendant's request to proceed pro se was unequivocal and the record did not support a finding that the request was a delay tactic. Id. ¶ 21. The appellate court further found the defendant did not acquiesce to representation by appointed counsel by failing to raise the issue again because "a renewed request on a later date would have been fruitless where the trial court had already definitively indicated it would not grant his request for self-representation on a day the matter was set for trial." *Id.* ¶ 26.
- ¶ 47 We find *Hunt* distinguishable. Here, the trial court denied defendant's motion to proceed *pro se*. However, the court clearly indicated the request was denied in order to allow a fitness evaluation and not because it was a delay tactic. At the next hearing, defense counsel indicated he was not convinced there was a fitness issue and asked for a continuance to make a final determination as to fitness. Defendant never renewed his request to proceed *pro se*.

Defendant asserts this is because defendant was not present at the December hearing where counsel expressed his uncertainty as to whether defendant was unfit and did not know the motion for a fitness evaluation had been continued. However, at the November 2018 hearing, the trial court made it clear that it would revisit the issue of self-representation after a fitness evaluation if necessary. Nothing in the record indicates a fitness evaluation occurred. Under these circumstances, where the court made clear it would revisit the issue, a renewed request to proceed *pro se* would not have been fruitless. In this case, we find defendant abandoned his request to proceed *pro se*. *Burton*, 184 III. 2d at 23 ("Under certain circumstances, defendant may acquiesce by vacillating or abandoning an earlier request to proceed *pro se*.").

Defendant alternatively argues that the trial court abused its discretion in not ruling on defendant's request to proceed *pro se* after defense counsel indicated there was no *bona fide* doubt as to defendant's fitness. However, as the State correctly points out, the court did rule on defendant's request. As discussed above, the trial court denied defendant's motion to proceed *pro se* pending a fitness evaluation. The court's ruling indicated it would revisit the issue and address defendant's assertion of his right to self-representation after a fitness evaluation had been completed. As such, a renewed request to represent himself would not have been futile. Again, the court's caution in denying defendant's request to represent himself until a fitness evaluation could be completed was not an abuse of discretion and we cannot say no reasonable person would have adopted the view of the trial court in finding defendant's request to represent himself was not knowingly and voluntarily made. *People v. Perkins*, 2018 IL App (1st) 133981, ¶ 48, 163 N.E.3d 148 (finding denial of the defendant's request to proceed *pro se* was not an abuse of discretion where "[t]he record shows that at the time defendant made the request to represent himself, the trial court was aware there was an issue raised concerning

defendant's mental capacity and statements that defendant was schizophrenic and taking psychotropic medication.").

¶ 49 B. Excessive Sentence

- ¶ 50 Defendant next argues his 12-year sentence was excessive where his conduct, though harmful, did not justify such a lengthy sentence. Specifically, defendant argues his conduct did not break Frazier's skin or otherwise cause injury. The State argues the court did not abuse its discretion in imposing a sentence well within the statutory guidelines of 6 to 30 years' imprisonment.
- A trial court's sentencing decisions are given substantial deference. *People v. Snyder*, 2011 IL 111382, ¶ 36, 959 N.E.2d 656. We will disturb a sentence within the statutory limits for the offense only if the trial court abused its discretion. *People v. Flores*, 404 Ill. App. 3d 155, 157, 935 N.E.2d 1151, 1154 (2010). "A sentence within the statutory limits will not be deemed excessive unless it is greatly at variance with the spirit and purpose of the law or manifestly disproportionate to the nature of the offense." *People v. Crenshaw*, 2011 IL App (4th) 090908, ¶ 22, 959 N.E.2d 703.
- The trial court is not required to explicitly outline the factors considered for sentencing, and we presume the court considered all mitigating factors absent explicit evidence to the contrary. *People v. Meeks*, 81 III. 2d 524, 534, 411 N.E.2d 9, 14 (1980). Each sentencing decision must be based on a consideration of factors including "the defendant's credibility, demeanor, general moral character, mentality, social environment, habits, and age." *People v. Fern*, 189 III. 2d 48, 53, 723 N.E.2d 207, 209 (1999). The trial court is better able to weigh these factors, having observed the defendant and proceedings. *Id.* We will not substitute our judgment for that of the trial court merely because we would have balanced the factors differently. *Id.*

- Here, the trial court noted defendant's offense carried a mandatory minimum sentence of six years' imprisonment. The court found deterrence was the strongest aggravating factor, noting it was imperative that officers have control of situations in prison and the rules in DOC were in place to protect the safety of the inmates and the correctional officers. The court also noted defendant had one of the worst disciplinary records it had seen, with 212 violations. The court disagreed with defense counsel's argument that no one was injured. The court stated that, although the officer's skin was not broken, the offense was a physical encounter and defendant's disciplinary record showed violence as well. The court stated it did not find any statutory mitigating factors, but it acknowledged defendant's efforts to better himself while in DOC. The court again stressed the need for deterrence and sentenced defendant to 12 years' imprisonment followed by a 3-year term of mandatory supervised release.
- Our review of the record shows the trial court considered the relevant statutory factors and imposed a sentence well within the statutory guidelines of 6 to 30 years' imprisonment. In support of his excessive sentence argument, defendant cites *People v. Stacey*, 193 III. 2d 203, 737 N.E.2d 626 (2000). In *Stacey*, the defendant was convicted of two counts of aggravated criminal sexual abuse and was eligible for a Class X statutory sentence of 6 to 30 years' imprisonment. *Id.* at 210. The trial court sentenced the defendant to consecutive terms of 25 years' imprisonment on each count. *Id.* at 209. The supreme court found the 50-year aggregate sentence was manifestly disproportionate to the offense where the defendant "momentarily grabbed the breasts of two young girls, who were fully clothed at the time, and he made lewd comments and gestures." *Id.* at 210. Although it found the behavior "appalling and harmful," the supreme court determined it was not severe enough to warrant a 25-year sentence on each count. *Id.*

We find defendant's reliance on *Stacey* unpersuasive. First, defendant was convicted of a single count of aggravated battery and was not subject to consecutive sentences for multiple offenses. Second, he was eligible for a term 6 to 30 years' imprisonment and was sentenced to 12 years' imprisonment, not to an aggregate sentence of 50 years' imprisonment. Defendant argues his 12-year sentence is excessive where his conduct did not break Frazier's skin or otherwise cause injury. However, the record shows the trial court disagreed with defense counsel's argument that no injury occurred. Although Frazier's skin was not broken, he testified the bite left an indentation and slight scrape on his arm—testimony which was corroborated by Corley, who testified Frazier had what appeared to be teeth marks on his arm. Frazier also testified he was instructed to get a tetanus shot after the incident. While the injury may have been minor, we agree with the trial court that defendant's conduct caused an injury.

Moreover, the trial court found deterrence was the most significant factor in sentencing defendant, particularly in light of his disciplinary record while in DOC. The record shows that, in addition to multiple felony convictions, defendant incurred 212 disciplinary infractions while in DOC, including "fighting, sexual misconduct, drugs, dangerous contraband, assault, and assault on staff." Under these circumstances we cannot say a 12-year sentence within the statutory limits was greatly at variance with the spirit and purpose of the law or manifestly disproportionate to the nature of the offense. *Crenshaw*, 2011 IL App (4th) 090908, ¶ 22. Accordingly, we find the trial court did not abuse its discretion in sentencing defendant to a term well within the statutory guidelines, and we affirm the trial court's judgment.

#### ¶ 57 III. CONCLUSION

- ¶ 58 For the reasons stated, we affirm the trial court's judgment.
- ¶ 59 Affirmed.