

United States Court of Appeals
FIFTH CIRCUIT
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

LYLE W. CAYCE
CLERK

TEL. 504-310-7700
600 S. MAESTRI PLACE,
Suite 115
NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130

July 13, 2022

MEMORANDUM TO COUNSEL OR PARTIES LISTED BELOW:

No. 17-30610 USA v. Perry
c/w 17-30611 USDC No. 2:15-CR-154

Enclosed is an order entered in this case.

Sincerely,

LYLE W. CAYCE, Clerk



By: _____
Whitney M. Jett, Deputy Clerk
504-310-7772

Mr. Kevin G. Boitmann
Mr. Graham Leaming Bosworth
Ms. Diane Hollenshead Copes
Mr. Kyle Daly
Mr. Arthur A. Lemann III
Mr. Jeffrey Ryan McLaren
Ms. Carol L. Michel
Ms. Sarah Lynn Ottinger
Mr. Mark David Plaisance
Mr. Myles Drew Ranier
Mr. Michael Gilbert Raspanti
Ms. Nisha Sandhu
Mr. William Sothern
Ms. Autumn Alycia Town

United States Court of Appeals
for the Fifth Circuit

No. 17-30610

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff—Appellee,

versus

JASMINE PERRY,

Defendant—Appellant,

CONSOLIDATED WITH

No. 17-30611

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff—Appellee,

versus

LEROY PRICE; ALONZO PETERS; CURTIS NEVILLE; SOLOMON
DOYLE; DAMIAN BARNES; ASHTON PRICE; MCCOY WALKER;
TERRIOUES OWNEY; EVANS LEWIS,

Defendants—Appellants.

No. 17-30610
c/w No. 17-30611

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Louisiana
USDC No. 2:15-CR-154-4
USDC Nos. 2:15-CR-154-4; 2:15-CR-154-1;
2:15-CR-154-3; 2:15-CR-154-8; 2:15-CR-154-11;
2:15-CR-154-13; 2:15-CR-154-2; 2:15-CR-154-5;
2:15-CR-154-6 and 2:15-CR-154-7

ON PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC

Before JONES, HIGGINSON, and DUNCAN, *Circuit Judges*.

PER CURIAM:

Treating Appellant Solomon Doyle's petition for rehearing en banc as a petition for panel rehearing (5TH CIR. R. 35 I.O.P.), the petition for panel rehearing is DENIED. Because no member of the panel or judge in regular active service requested that the court be polled on rehearing en banc (FED. R. APP. P. 35 and 5TH CIR. R. 35), the petition for rehearing en banc is DENIED.

Treating Appellant Curtis Neville's petition for rehearing en banc as a petition for panel rehearing (5TH CIR. R. 35 I.O.P.), the petition for panel rehearing is DENIED. Because no member of the panel or judge in regular active service requested that the court be polled on rehearing en banc (FED. R. APP. P. 35 and 5TH CIR. R. 35), the petition for rehearing en banc is DENIED.

Treating the Appellants' joint petition for rehearing en banc as a petition for panel rehearing (5TH CIR. R. 35 I.O.P.), the petition for panel rehearing is DENIED. Because no member of the panel or judge in regular active service requested that the court be polled on rehearing en banc (FED.

No. 17-30610
c/w No. 17-30611

R. APP. P. 35 and 5TH CIR. R. 35), the petition for rehearing en banc is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Appellant Alonzo Peters' petition for panel rehearing is DENIED.