

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

James L. Martin, petitioner : No.

v. :

David H. Nixon, respondent :

Petitioner's Rule 30 Application to Justice Sotomayor (Justice Alito is ineligible) for Extension of Time to file Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court of Delaware

I, James L. Martin, apply for an extension of time to file a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court of Delaware this 16th day of June 2023, and certify these statements to be true and correct based on my personal knowledge in accord with 28 USC Sec. 1746:

1. The jurisdiction to review the case is at 28 USC Sec. 1257(a).
2. The judgment to be reviewed was issued on 3-28-2023, at Ex-1a to 8a. The petition for rehearing *en banc* was denied on 4-13-2023, at Ex-9a.
3. The 90-day period for filing a petition for a writ of certiorari expires on 7-12-2023. An 60-day extension of time, until 9-10-2023 is requested, in light of ongoing parallel litigation against Bruce Hudson, who withdrew as a purported successor to petitioner's deceased attorney Ben Castle shortly before a scheduled jury trial in the action *sub judice*,
4. Justice Alito is disqualified in view of the fifteen-page Application to Associate Justice Samuel Alito for Recusal and for Disqualification, filed

at No. 06-55, in *Martin v. United States Court of International Trade*, and incorporated herein by reference.

5. The additional time sought may restrict issues on review that arose in the trial proceedings, which include misleading docket entries; service of a vital stipulation about medical testimony on a deceased attorney of record; failure to serve an Order to limit medical testimony; issuance of an Order to foreclose offers of proof to preserve a record for appeal; docketing a final, trial deposition transcript after a jury verdict was issued; unlawful, *ex parte* communication between an attorney and a trial judge; and an attorney's unprovoked ridicule directed against an expert witness for the petitioner with the judge's participation. Although many RPD documents served in the ongoing, parallel litigation, including the one marked Ex-10, are totally indecipherable, others show the critical, "stipulated" documents in the case at bar to have been prepared by counsel for the respondent, during the same time petitioner had no attorney eligible to represent him.

WHEREFORE, this Application should be granted to allow for a sixty-day extension for filing a certiorari petition, until 9-10-2023.



James L. Martin; petitioner; 805 W. 21st St.; Wilmington, DE 19802-3818
(302) 652-3957 e-mail MARTINJIML@aol.com