

May 8, 2023

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING SYSTEM

The Honorable Scott Harris
Clerk of Court Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street, N.E.
Washington, DC 20543

Re: The Ohio State University, Petition v. Edward Gonzalez et al. No. 22-897 - Respondents' Request for Extension of Time to File Brief in Opposition to Petition for Writ of Certiorari

Dear Mr. Harris:

Pursuant to Rule 30.4, the following Respondents hereby request a 30-day extension of time to file their brief in opposition to the Petition for Writ of Certiorari.

I am counsel for certain Respondents in this case, in proceedings before the Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, pertaining to: (No. 21-4109) John Does 174, 176, 182, & 183, Jane Doe 1; (No. 21-4116) John Doe 195; (21-4121) John Doe 162.

The Petition in this case was filed March 14, 2023, and all Respondents filed waivers on April 14, 2023. The matter was distributed for conference and on May 2, 2023, the Court requested a response, setting a deadline of June 1, 2023. This is Respondents' first request for an extension of time to file a response. Good cause exists for the request.

Counsel of record has a Ninth Circuit Appellate brief due at approximately the same time as the requested brief in opposition, as well as several depositions that cannot be rescheduled in the month of May in other matters. Counsel of record also has personal obligations at the end of May that cannot be moved to a different time.

In sum, an extension of time would enable preparation of a response or responses that would be most helpful to the Court. Accordingly, Respondents herein respectfully request that the time for filing a brief in response to the petition for writ of certiorari be extended by thirty days. Counsel for Petitioner, Mr. Gregory Garre, has informed us that Petitioner opposes the requested extension.

May 8, 2023.

Sincerely,

BAILEY & GLASSER, LLP

Lori A. Bullock
Lori A. Bullock