quinn emanuel trial lawyers | chicago

1300 I Street NW, Suite 900, Washington, District of Columbia 20005-3314 | TEL (202) 538-8000 FAX (202) 538-8100

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NO. (202) 538-8123

WRITER'S EMAIL ADDRESS derekshaffer@quinnemanuel.com

April 7, 2023

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING & FIRST-CLASS MAIL

Hon. Scott S. Harris, Clerk of the Court Supreme Court of the United States 1 First Street, NE Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: Avery Dennison Corp. v. ADASA, Inc., No. 22-822

Dear Mr. Harris:

As detailed more fully in the email correspondence attached to respondent ADASA Inc.'s motion, Petitioner Avery Dennison Corporation respectfully opposes ADASA's request for a second extension of time. Avery Dennison consented to ADASA's earlier extension request on the express understanding that the brief in opposition would be filed no later than May 2. Although ADASA points to the filing of the Solicitor General's invitation brief in *Interactive Wearables, LLC v. Polar Electro Oy*, No. 21-1281, and *Tropp v. Travel Sentry, Inc.*, No. 22-22, that development affords no reason to depart from the parties' agreement and, if anything, provides further reason to maintain the agreed schedule. The Solicitor General's filing in those cases—which likewise present questions about the proper construction of Section 101 of the Patent Act—expressly commends that this Court consider granting review in companion cases so as to render balanced instruction regarding the correct approach to Section 101 of the Patent Act.

If ADASA files as scheduled, Avery Dennison will waive its reply time, thereby allowing the petition in this case to be considered at the Court's May 18 conference—the same conference at which ADASA states that the Court could grant certiorari in *Interactive Wearables* and *Tropp*. This Court would benefit from having all three petitions before it when deciding which (if any) Section 101 cases it wishes to review. And ADASA still has nearly a month from now to prepare its brief in opposition (following an initial waiver and one earlier granted extension), which is ample time.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Derek L. Shaffer

cc: Robert P. Greenspoon, counsel of record for Respondent