


22-7789
No. _____

ORIGINAL



IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Douglas Manning — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.
Patrick Hogan, et al — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United state court of Appeal for the third Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Douglas Manning
(Your Name)

East Jersey state Prison (NJ Doc)
(Address)

Rahway NJ. 07065
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- I) Was the Defendant Representation so related to The harmful consequence to the Plaintiff that He should be held Responsible for it?
- II) Was there any Ground on which the Defendant Act may be Justified or excused?

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Administrator East Jersey state Prison

Patrick Nogan

Matthew J. Platkin

New Jersey Attorney General

Melissa H Raksa

Related Cases

① Manning V. Sgt St Paul. D. N.J. CIV. NO. 23-1052

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

- X. Abdul-Akbar V. McKelvie 239 F.3d 307, 311 (3d Cir. 2001) (en banc); In re Diet Drugs Prod. Liab. Litig., 418 F.3d 372, 377 (3d Cir. 2005); Redmond V. Gill, 352 F.3d 801 803 (3d Cir. 2003) *Per curiam*, Case U.S.C.A.3 No. 23-1491 entered 6/01/23 Document order AID-147 Page e.g 2 2 of 2
- XI. Pursuant rule, local rule 27.4 and chapter 10.6, Document AID141, Page e.g 1 of 2 Case U.S.C.A.3 No. 23-1491 entered 6/01/23
- XII. 28 U.S.C.A. 1291, 28 U.S.C.A. 2254, Case U.S.C.A.3 No. 23-1491 entered 6/01/23 Document AID-147 Page e.g 2 2 of 2
- XIII. Fed. R. App. P. 36, Fed. R. App. P. 35 and 40, 3rd Cir. 1a 35 and 40 Document entry case U.S.C.A.3 No. 23-1491 entered 6/01/23 Page e.g 1 1 of 2

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	5
CONCLUSION	6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Douglas Manning V. Administrator East Jersey state Prison, et al U.S. Court of Appeal for the Third Circuit, (USCA3 NO. 23-1491) Judgment entered June 01, 2023
APPENDIX B	Manning V. Logan, et al, (U.S. CA 3 NO. 23-1491) U.S. District Court of New Jersey Entered April, 12 2023 Amended Petition
APPENDIX C	
APPENDIX D	Douglas Manning V. Administrator east Jersey state Prison, et al U.S. Court of Appeal for the Third Circuit (USCA3 NO. 23-1491) Judgment entered 6/01/2023
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

- I. Jenkins, V. Superintendent of Laurel Highland, 705 F.3d 80, 84 n.2 (2013)
Case No. 2:23-cv-00279, Filed 01/31/23, Document 2, Page ID 27, PP 2 of 2.
- II. McFarland, V. Scott, 512 U.S. 849, 856 (1994); see in re Advanta Corp. Sec. Litig., 180 F.3d 525, 534 (3d Cir. 1999); Breazeale, V. Shultz, No. 09-2118 (NLH), 2009 WL 1438236, At 2 (D.N.J. May 19, 2009); Mayle, V. Felix, 545 U.S. 644, 655 (2005) McFarland, V. Scott, 512 U.S. 849, 856 (1994); United States, V. Dawson, 857 F.2d 923, 928 (3d Cir. 1998); Estelle, V. Gamble, 429 U.S. 97, 106 (1976); Haines, V. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972); Denny, V. Schult, 708 F.3d 140, 148 n.3 (3d Cir. 2013); Case No. 2:23-cv-00279, Filed 03/9/2023 Document: e.g. PP 2 of 4
- III. Pafotto, V. Hartford Life & Acc. Inc. Co., 731 F.3d, 265, 275 (3d Cir. 2013); Jenkin, V. Superintendent of Laurel, 705 F.3d 80, 84 n.2 (2013); Dasilva, V. Sheriff's Dept., 413 F. App'x 498, 502 (3d Cir. 2011) Case No. 2:23-cv-00279 Filed 03/09/2023 Document: e.g. PP 3 of 4

STATUTES AND RULES

- IV. 28 U.S.C. At 2254; Pursuant to local rule 81.2(b) Case No. 2:23-cv-00279, File 1/31/2023 Document 2 Page ID: 26 PP 1 of 2
- V. 28 U.S.C. At 2254; 3d Cir. l.a.s., 24.1(c); F.R.A.P. 24(a)(1); Case No. 2:23-cv-00279 File 03/30/2023 Document 11 Page ID: 122 PP 1 of 2
- VI. 28 U.S.C. At 2254; Pursuant Local rule 4 At 2254; Pursuant rule 2; Case No. 2:23-cv-00279 File 03/9/2023 Document: e.g. PP 1 of 4
- VII. 28 U.S.C. At 2254 rule 4-1(b); 28 U.S.C. At 2254 rule 2(c); (d), 1(b); Pursuant Rule 2(c); 28 U.S.C. 2243 under Habeas cor. for rule 4; 28 U.S.C. At 2243, 2255; Case No. 2:23-cv-00279 File 03/09/23 Document: e.g. PP 2 of 4
- VIII. Pursuant to local rule 2, rule 4; Pursuant rule governing At 2254; rule 2(c) At 2254 Case No. 2:23-cv-00279 file 03/09/2023 Document: e.g. PP 3 of 4

OTHER

- IX. Pursuant rule 2(c) At 2254; Pursuant rule 4 At 2254; Case No. 2:23-cv-00279, File 03/9/23 Document: e.g. PP 4 of 4

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was JUNE 01, 2023.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. C A 23-1491.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

I. (ECF No. 6); (ECF No. 8); NO. 23-1491 (3/30/23). [899 Administrative Procedure Act
II. (ECF No. 1-1); (NO. 23-1491/01/31/23). [446 Amer. w/Disabilities. [530 General
III. (ECF No. 1); (ECF No. 2); (ECF No. 1 at 6) NO. 23-1491/03/9/23).
550 Prisoner civil right, 460 Deportation, 560 Civil Detainee condition of confinement

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Supreme court of NJ, U.S supreme court NJLAD

42 U.S.C. sec. 4601; 28 U.S.C.(I)(V) sec. 144; 42 U.S.C. sec 12101
INA. sec, 212(c) relief; 8 CFR 1.1(P); 8 CFR 3.2(c)(1), Value Act
INA sec, 240A(a) civil state court order, civil statute, Rfc 19

N.J.S.A Constitution Article 1 section 12
N.J.S.A constitution. Amendment eight
N.J.S.A Constitution Amendment Fourteenth
New Jersey Civil Right Act N.J.S 10:6-2 and R 4:3-1
10 Moore's Federal Practice at sec 56.11(3d ed)

- I. U.S. District court, Appeal Document 2:23-cv-00279 Brm, Manning V. Nogan, et al
Case closed on 3/09/2023, transaction was enter on 3/16/2023.
- II. U.S. District court, Appeal Document 2:23-cv-00279 Brm, Manning V. Nogan, et al
Case closed on 3/30/2023 transaction enter on 3/30/2023.
- III. U.S. District court, Appeal Document, 2:23-cv-00279 Brm, Manning V. Nogan, et al
Case closed on 3/30/2023 transaction enter on 4/12/2023.
- IV. U.S. District court, Complaint and other initiating Document, 2:23-cv-00279 Brm,
Manning V. Nogan, et al Case closed on 3/30/2023 transaction was enter 4/12/2023
- V. U.S. District court, Utility Events 2:23-cv-00279, Brm Manning V. Nogan, et al
Case closed on 3/09/2023 transaction entered on 3/27/2023 Appendix B

VI.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Finding common law the wrong law by first law of nature discretion reverberated throughout the system statutory law Follow up Toughness law against Vice, Criminal Justice Make Dangerous Psychological Violence holelessly on array of well known Biases not reporting Procedure written notice substantive Due Process Lengthy Prison term. More (AIUSA) Amnesty source, applied standard extending the protection of constitution right to prisoner yet to be resolved, accountability of unforeseeable inspired attack, not hate excessive anonymity on account of race, color, religion, sex or national origin. Taken holding in Confinement without the force of law. Psychological Pressure Cause unsanitary and inhumane upon rehabilitation. Sentenced to endure a long list of other hardships including Permanent loss of right to Privacy made clear that fourteenth amendment due-process clause incorporated the cruel-and-unusual Punishment Clause, thereby make it applicable eleventh amendment to the state as well as to the federal Government Organization received needing recommended treatment, inadequate treatment for suffering, inadequate Medical proof risk to inmate health. Any Willful and unlawful excessive use of force prevent a prisoner serious medical need Provision for mandatory report by Professional Procedure of by which individual can request a Judicial inquiry into whether they are being detained for legitimate reason. inhumane, ineffective, and detrimental on rehabilitation inmate isolated in solitary cell twenty four hours a day under rule Forbidding inmates to speak, wrist handcuffed to the bar at chest height, Punishment when Prison officials maliciously and Sadistically use force to cause harm, contemporary standard of decency are always Violated. Conduct on the part of an individual. Focused on law strengthening law to secure these right Brutalizing enactment of an anti-lynching statute, and an act that would target police brutality.