

No. 22-7746

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

MAY 23 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

GARY L. Webster — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

Institute of Victory — RESPONDENT(S)  
vs.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

U.S. Ct. of Appeals For the Eighth Circuit  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

GARY L. Webster  
(Your Name)

7508 Correction Circle

(Address)

Pine Bluff, AR 71603  
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

"When the petitioner is a ~~perpetual~~ indigent inmate, does he/she have to demonstrate Eligibility to proceed in forma pauperis?"

"When the United States C. of Appeals cites lack of jurisdiction and dismisses, thus concurring with the U.S. Dist. Ct., in its denial to proceed I.F.P., does the U.S. Dist. Cts., then, bar the Appeal, thereby becoming the decider of the case, regardless of its merit?"

"If the U.S. Dist. Cts. proceed to a legal stance, and dismiss sua sponte, vacating the ability of the Pro Se Layman, and dismissals en toto, were always unavailable, does the petitioner possess any other relief of the thusly continuing violations of his rights outside the judiciary of the United States Supreme Court?"

## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

- (1) - ~~The Honorable D.P. Marshall, chief judge,  
United States District Court, Eastern  
District of Arkansas.~~
- (2) - ~~The Honorable Lee P. Ruland, United  
States District Judge, Eastern District  
of Arkansas.~~

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION .....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION .....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

- (APPENDIX A) DECISIONS OF THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT.
- (APPENDIX B) DENIAL OF PETITION FOR REHEARING BY THE U.S.C. OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT.
- (APPENDIX C) COMPLAINTS (two), BY THE PETITIONER TO THE U.S. DIST. Ct., EASTERN DIST. OF ARKANSAS.
- (APPENDIX D) 42 USC, SECTION 1983 FILED BY PETITIONER TO U.S. DIST. Ct., EASTERN DIST. OF ARKANSAS.
- APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

(CASES)	PAGE NUMBER
- <del>GREEN V. WHITE U.S. C. &amp; T. APPS</del> Eighth Cir. March 14, 1980* 616 F.2d 1054	(1)*
- <del>AYERS V. NORRIS U.S. DIST. C. &amp; ED. ARKANSAS</del> PINE Bluff Division March 31, 1999 43 F. Supp. 2d 1039; ENCOMPASSING: Tyler 839 F.2d 1290 (8th Cir. 1988).	(9)*
(STATUTES AND RULES) = USCA FRAP TITLES 11 RULES 3 & 4 - ; - USCA TITLES, PART IV Chap. 97, Section 1602 ; - 28 U.S.C.A. Section 1330 ; - PL. 94-583, October 21, 1976, 90 Stat 2891 ; Section 1605(5).	

OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at Unknown; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was JANUARY 19, 2023

[ ] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: FEBRUARY 22, 2023, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix B.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

(55) the Right to Appeal;  
- CASES AND STATUTES LISTED IN  
PETITION.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

"In the Embedded Complaint(s), Petitioner  
was denied proceeding I.F.P.  
that denial seems legally unavailable."

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

"The U.S.C. of Appeals for the 5th  
Circuit contradicted itself in declining  
with the U.S. Dist. Ct. when a R. C. (Gen)  
And they disturbed that court that  
same ability."


"The Petitioner is in an impractical  
Position to pursue his claim for Relief  
Against the State of LA."

"Petitioner contends that during his  
unsuccessful action against the  
State of LA, he does not know that action  
which bears great risks to the citizens  
of the U.S.A. States, if not victories  
Across the Globe."

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ray Lee Webster".

Date: 23 MAY, 2023