

22-7718
No. _____

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

1 of 40 pages

Supreme C	U.S.
FILED	
JUN -1 2023	
OFFICE OF THE CLERK	

Donnell Bledsoe PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Pearlie Bledsoe Townes RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

California Supreme Court

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Donnell Bledsoe

(Your Name)

2038 E. Church St

(Address)

Stockton, Ca. 95205

(City, State, Zip Code)

(209) 279-0033

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

CAN respondent's BREAK FEDERAL LAW by enforcing STATE LAW? Petitioner Donnell Bledsoe has Crown Immunity and sovereign immunity. see Exhibit "1" Through EXHIBIT # "6" ATTACHED. EXHIBIT # "1" IS THE 1983 ANNUAL GRAND SESSION M.W.M.T. NEBO GRAND LODGE A.F. & A.M. OF OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA. QUEEN ESTHER GRAND CHAPTER, O.E.S. (copy of original)

EXHIBIT # "2" - IS A COPY OF THE ORIGINAL 1983 ROSTER OF GRAND OFFICERS LISTING PETITIONER DONNELL BLEDSOE MOTHER PEARLY B. LAUDER AS THE GRAND QUEEN MATRON (QUEEN OF THE SOUTH). The Petitioner Donnell Bledsoe Mother PEARLY B. LAUDER IS A 4th GENERATION QUEEN OF THE SOUTH. Her GRAND MOTHER WAS A SECOND GENERATION QUEEN OF THE SOUTH MARRIED TO MY GRAND-FATHER A MASTER MASON WHO'S SON, WHICH IS PETITIONER'S GREAT UNCLE JOHN WAS A THIRD GENERATION MASTER MASON.

EXHIBIT # "3" - IS THE EASTERN STAR OATH

They to be obedient To rule; Attached, the one to the other; Pure in heart and life; faithful to Him whose STAR in the EAST they have beheld; and hospitable to ALL who love the Truth; and so conducting, this charter to them and their successors is perpetual. see PAGE 2 continued ATTACHED

EXHIBIT # "4" - IS THE RULES OF THE EASTERN STAR. MEMBERS OF THE ORDER OF THE EASTERN STAR ARE AGED 18 AND OLDER; MEN MUST BE MASTER MASONS AND WOMEN MUST HAVE SPECIFIC RELATIONSHIPS WITH MASONS. ORIGINALLY A WOMAN WOULD HAVE TO BE THE DAUGHTER, WIDOW, WIFE, SISTER, OR MOTHER OF A MASTER MASON.

EXHIBIT # "5" - IS THE ORIGINAL COPY OF THE QUEEN ESTHER GRAND CHAPTER, O.E.S. CALIFORNIA JURISDICTION OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

EXHIBIT # "6" - IS A COPY OF THE ORIGINAL'S BACK PAGE OF BOOK. M.W. MOUNT NEBO GRAND LODGE. A.F. AND A.M. OF OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA
AFFILIATE OF THE WORLD MASONIC CONGRESS OF SUPREME ORDER OF MASONIC ARTS.

THE QUESTION PRESENTED IS, IS THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT GONNA ENFORCE FEDERAL LAW CONCERNING CROWN/SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY AND CHEROKEE NATION VS. GEORGIA

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Court of Appeal of the STATE of
California

APPENDIX B California Supreme Court

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES Cherokee NATION V. GEORGIA
1 (1831), WAS A UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT CASE. THE Cherokee nation sought a federal injunction against laws passed by the U.S. STATE of GEORGIA depriving them of rights within its boundaries, and ruled that it had no original jurisdiction in the matter as Cherokees were a dependent nation, with a relationship to the U.S. like that of a "ward to its guardian," said by Justice Marshall. PAGE NUMBER
Wikipedia

STATUTES AND RULES - The Supreme court ruled that the Cherokee nation was sovereign. According to the decision rendered by Chief Justice John Marshall, this meant that Georgia had no rights to enforce state laws in its territory.

OTHER Petitioner Donnell Bledsoe is a registered member of Cherokee nation. Please contact Cherokee Nation member service AT (918) 458-6980

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☒ reported at Vol. _____, No. _____, 2023; or,
☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☒ reported at January 31, 2023; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was April 26, 2023.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: April 26, 2023, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY, OR CROWN IMMUNITY

Sovereign immunity, or crown immunity, is a legal doctrine whereby a sovereign or state cannot commit a legal wrong and is immune from civil suit or criminal prosecution, strictly speaking in modern texts in its own courts.

Petitioner Donnell Bledsoe filed a cross-complaint on December 1, 2020 for Breach of Contract and Civil Harassment for the Respondent Pearlle Bledsoe Townes not following Last Wishes of Father's Will, Last Wishes of Willie Bledsoe SR. AND Last Wishes of Petitioners Donnell Bledsoe Mother, PEARLY B. LAUDER, GRAND QUEEN MATRAN (QUEEN of the South) for Respondent to pay the Petitioner Donnell Bledsoe back \$20,000.00 for an unpaid debt to Petitioner for backing out of a deal real estate deal in which Respondent was suppose to pay Petitioner back for two House purchased. So the mother of the petitioner ordered her

See next page continue. →
17 constitutional Provision - B

CONSTITUTIONAL & STATUTORY PROVISION
Daughter which is the respondent, cont B
Pearlie Bledsoe Townes. The respondent
WAS to pay the INSURANCE AND THE TAXES
on house until The \$20,000.00 WAS paid in
full to petitioner. The Petitioner WAS in
return to do ALL MAINTENANCE repairs on House
Such AS plumbing, electrical, Roof, YARD etc. And
serve AS executor's of The Estate for the
other siblings on will AND never to sell house
The respondent claimed 3/4 of The House
And never mentioned to the court THAT
she gave up executor over The will,
LAST wishes of our late father Willie
Bledsoe And illegally Force a sell on the House
That is Homestead And HAS A will. When
Father died mother Honored the will. The
petitioner honored contract by doing maintenance
see exhibits presented to SAN JOAQUIN county
superior court Exhibits # "A" - "Z" and also
Exhibits # "1" - "84" of all repairs and
receipts for All the maintenance work done
by petitioner which totals around 11,000.00
Also Deeds of Houses purchased in
petitioners and respondents name plus A
TAX liens payment Agreement with
petitioner And respondents name on it

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On October 18, 2021 The Respondent Pearlle Bledsoe Townes filed a lawsuit against The Petitioner for The sell of The Home left by father Willie Bledsoe SR. WILL AND Final wishes to his five living children Ella Mae Nickerson, Annie Mae Harris, Willie Bledsoe JR. AND The Petitioner Donnell Bledsoe. The Respondent Pearlle Bledsoe Townes relinquished her duties AS EXECUTOR on 12/26/13 And Appointed Donnell Bledsoe AS EXECUTOR over the Property. The House is Homestead AND LAST WISHES WAS TO never sell The House by Father Willie Bledsoe SR. and my mother Pearlly B. LAUDER, Grand Queen Matron (Queen of The South). See Exhibit # "F" Exhibit # "F" is A sworn STATEMENT by the Respondent Pearlle Bledsoe Townes Relinquishing her EXECUTOR ship.

EXHIBIT # "F-1" is Another Letter from the
Respondents Pearlre Bledsoe Townes Attorney
Grayland Williams in a letter stating Respondent
Relinquish her Duties AS EXECUTOR ON NOV. 19, 2013

EXHIBIT # "F-3" - is Another notarized Letter
Signed by respondent Pearlre Bledsoe Townes
Relinquishing her Duties AS EXECUTOR ON
November 22, 2013. There are Three dates that
The respondent Pearlre Bledsoe Townes relinquished
her Duties AS EXECUTOR The First Date is
EXHIBIT # "F" is Dated December 26, 2013
Where Respondent Pearlre Bledsoe Townes
Appoint The Petitioner Donnell Bledsoe AS
EXECUTOR effective immediately.

When the Respondant Pearlre Bledsoe Townes
Filed her claim Against Petitioner Donnell
Bledsoe, Respondant Townes said she owned
 $\frac{3}{4}$ of the House which was A lie. see exhibit
"G" The FINAL wishes of Willie Bledsoe
SR. WILL, leaving House to 5 siblings
And not to contest WILL.

EXHIBIT # "H" - is The deed of Trust
filed MARCH 23, 1966 PAID off on October
23, 1995.

PURCHASED AT \$20,000.00 EACH
FOR A TOTAL OF \$40,000.00. Petitioner
Spent \$40,000.00 CASH AND RESPONDANT
WAS SUPPOSE TO PAY BACK PETITIONER
BUT CHANGED HER MIND BECAUSE HER
HUSBAND WAS NOT AWARE OF DEAL AND
SO THAT WHY IT WAS AN AGREEMENT
BETWEEN PETITIONER, RESPONDANT AND LATE
MOTHER PEARLY BEATRICE LAUDER, GRAND
QUEEN MATRON (QUEEN OF THE SOUTH) SEE
PETITIONERS INDEX OF EXHIBITS FILED ON
FEBRUARY 2, 2022. EXHIBIT # "C" IS A
TAX ASSESSOR PAYMENT AGREEMENT FOR ONE OF
THE HOUSES RESPONDANT AGREED TO PAY FOR
EXHIBIT # "D" IS PAYMENT AGREEMENT RESPONDANT
DEFAULTED ON AND PETITIONER PAID OFF.
EXHIBITS # "E" THROUGH EXHIBIT # "P" IS
EVIDENCE OF PETITIONER AND RESPONDANTS
OWNERSHIP OF HOUSE IN WHICH RESPONDANT
PEARLIE BLEDSOE TOWNES DEFAULTED OWING
PETITIONER \$20,000.00

EXHIBIT # "S" through "X" Are receipts
Of The petitioner maintenance on House
As well as Exhibits # "10" Through Exhibit
"53" will show petitioner Donnell Bledsoe
Did All repairs living up to The Agreement
by Mother and Respondant. These Particular
Exhibits can be found on Petitioners index of
Exhibits filed in cross-complaint on February
2, 2022.

On December 1, 2020 $\pm$ The Petitioner filed
a cross-complaint requesting relief for civil
HARRASSMENT, Breach of contract, Pain, suffering
and Punitive Damages because Respondant gave
Petitioner a slight HEART ATTACK AND LIGHT
STROKE. see Exhibit # 34^u St. Josephs medical
Report dated 12/1/2020 on Petitioners Exhibits
of evidence filed on February 2, 2022. The filing
by Petitioner was within Statute of Limitations

On June 1, 2022 Petitioner Amended
CROSS-complaint. Judge ruled six months
later Judge ruled THAT Statute had run
out but in did not. Petitioner is ASKING
COURT To CANCEL and correct Lower
COURTS Judgment AND RULE in

FAVOR of the Petitioner, Donnell Bledsoe

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Supreme Court has outlined four factors in issuing Petition for a WRIT of CERTIORARI:

- (1) Whether the Petitioner has made a STRONG showing of the likelihood of success on the merits of the case in which Petitioner has,
- (2) Whether the petitioner be irreparably
- (3) Injured Absent a WRIT of CERTIORARI and the Answer is yes. The Petitioner would be Homeless And siblings Robbed of generational wealth.
- (4) Where the Public interest lies, NKEN V.

Holder, Supra, 556 U.S. at 434. "The first two factors... are the most critical," The Ninth Circuit employs a "sliding SCALE" Approach to these factors, so that a stronger showing of one element may offset a weaker showing of another Leviua - Perez vs. Holder 640 F.3d 962, 964-66 (9th circuit 2011).

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

① By LAW The supreme court should correct OR CANCEL Judgment by the lower court, Supreme court of California because its the right thing to do by GOD. Petitioner has Crown / Sovereign Immunity and is A registered member AT Cherokee nation. Respondent committed perjury claiming she owned $\frac{3}{4}$ of the House knowing it was False. Respondent contested WILL, LAST wishes of Willie Bledsoe To leave House to 5 living children AND never to sell House. Respondent knew she signed/resigned AS EXECUTOR in 2013 see exhibit # "F" AND tried to rob the other 4 siblings of THEIR GENERATIONAL wealth the Father Willie Bledsoe SR. left. see exhibit # "G" THE WILL AND FINAL wishes of Willie Bledsoe.

When considering whether a claim upon which relief can be granted the court must accept the well plead factual Allegation AS TRUE, ERICKSON V. PAROUS 551 U.S. 89, 94 (2009) and CONSTRUCT the Complaint IN MOST FAVORABLE to The Petitioner Donnell Bledsoe see, PARSON V. ALLAIN, 478 U.S. 265, 283 (1986).

"A CLAIM HAS FACIAL PLAUSIBILITY" when petitioner pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that petitioner has proven case and the Respondant Pearlre Bledson Townes committed perjury by saying she owned $\frac{3}{4}$ of the house, knowing a WILL existed and Respondant signed off as EXECUTOR of house in 2013. In the WILL of Willie Bledsoe house was left to 5 living siblings Homestead AND NEVER TO BE sold. Mother PEARLY B. LAUDER Honored WILL AND ^{CONCLUSION} LAST Wishes of Willie Bledsoe SR.

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Donnell Bledsoe

Date: June 1, 2023