

Exhibit 3

Filed
10 December 29 P11:15
Amalia Rodriguez-Mendoza

District Clerk
Travis District
CAUSE # D-1-GN-10-003951 D-1-GN-10-003951
COMMISSION FOR LAWYER IN THE DISTRICT COURT
DISCIPLINE

126th DISTRICT COURT

|
|

VS. | TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS
|
CHARLES SEPTOWSKI |

Charles D. Septkowski

RESPONSE TO DISCIPLINARY PETITION

NOW COMES, CHARLES SEPTOWSKI, who hereby enters a GENERAL DENIAL
as to all claims submitted by COMMISSION FOR LAWYER DISCIPLINE.

Defendant, further advises as follows with regards to the allegations set forth in

Paragraph #4:

1) Defendant responds that the Petition mis-state the facts as follows:

a) Defendant had timely attempted to pay the bar dues on August 31, 2009, receiving first a Receipt for Payment and then an Email from the Bar - Confirmation of Payment (Exhibits A & B attached).

b) Defendant did not knowingly practice law while under a suspension in filing the Divorce Action or in setting the hearing for entry of Dissolution Decree (November 2009), as he had no knowledge (real or constructive) that the dues payment which had been timely made was not duly processed by the software of the Membership Department

of the State Bar. c) The Grievance Filed in this Action by Attorney Weinman, arises from her trying unsuccessfully to remove Defendant as Counsel in an ongoing matter, where Defendant has been repeatedly beating Attorney Weinman, while not being a Family Attorney.

d) Defendant is an Attorney and CPA, (Past CPA President in Austin and a 30 Year college tax law professor) handling tax matters as a general rule.

e) The underlying case (Rowe v. Rowe), complainant Attorney Weinman is

defending a "Dead-beat Dad", and this Grievance arose out of her Motion to Disqualify, which was duly rejected by the Travis County Court (Judge Naranjo), in January 2010, (Exhibit C attached),

f) Attorney Weinman, is also a member of the Disciplinary Committee for the State Bar, and amazingly this complaint about a motion to Disqualify Counsel in a Family Case morphed into the present Complaint about allegedly knowingly practicing while suspended.

Defendant, further advises as follows with regards to the allegations set forth in

Paragraph #5:

2) The Petition then cites noncompliance by Defendant with the Texas Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 8.04(1) & (11), to wit (11) Engaging in & (1) KNOWINGLY PRACTICING while suspended, therefore subjecting Defendant to discipline pursuant to Rule 3.09 of the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure.

a) There was no notice received by Defendant that the payment was not processed or that there were Texas bar dues remaining unpaid.b) In early January 2010, when Defendant went to enter the Dissolution Decree in the Williamson County Divorce, he was advised of the dues not being processed for payment and paid double the dues amount on January 7th, 2010, as Penalty per the Membership Department.

c) With the filing of this complaint, Defendant sought a refund of the penalty charged and received no response.

d) Generally, there is only one penalty per infraction of a rule, here there has already been a 100% dues penalty (which Defendant submits was due to over 100 payments per day being not processed by the payment software resulting in this payment processor/software being replaced for 2010) which was totally outside the Defendant's control and then there is this action for Disciplinary Sanctions as a

second bite at the same apple.

ARGUMENT

Defendant is proud of being a lawyer with a membership in 4 state bars (Michigan, Missouri, Pennsylvania - Inactive) and Texas, Federal and Bankruptcy Bars, Tax

Court and the US Supreme Court Bar with a 100% record of no grievances in almost 30 years of practice but for this snafu in bar dues payment processing which Defendant submits was beyond his control or knowledge. Once appraised of a dues

issue it was resolved within 24 hours. WHEREFORE, Defendant requests this Court after full hearing and review of the evidence dismiss this complaint and hold Defendant harmless. Defendant has sought to File a Motion to Dismiss as Double Jeopardy or brought Counter Claims, despite the obvious meddling in the Grievance review process by Attorney Weinman being both the complainant and on the Disciplinary Committee, which recommended

this matter for enforcement of disciplinary sanctions. That speaks for itself.

Respectfully submitted,

C4 24. gies

Professor Charles Septowski PhD, JD, LLM Tax, CPA*, CFE, CUP
etc Michigan
Tx. Bar. # 18032325
Austin Office - P.O. Box 943 Round Rock, Texas. 78680
St Louis Office - 8400 Madeline St. Louis, Missouri. 63114 (Main Office)
Detroit Office - PO Box 530697 Livonia, Michigan 48153
Ph (314) 954-8446 Fax (866) 856-2784 Email-Profchaz@Hotmail.com

Dated December 21, 2010" Bar of Texas | Order Acknowledgement Wage | oz

THE STATE BAR OF TEXAS

(1414 Colorado Street* Austin, TX 78701 * Phone: 800-204-2222 * Website:
<http://www.texasbar.com>)

bE se stak dees

VeriSign has routed, process. and secured your payment information. More information about VeriSign,

This page represents a confirmation that your payment information has been submitted, but does NOT confirm that payment of your fees has been settled by our online payment processor. if your payment does not clear your checking or savings account within ten (10) days of submission, your fees are not collectible and not

considered paid. Please contact the Membership Department at 1-800-204-2222, ext 1383.

Order Acknowledgement for Purchase No.1 0094046
Please print this document for your records,
Order Placed at 08/31/2009
By Charles Dee Septowski
Billing Address Shipping Address
8400 MADELINE DR 8400 MADELINE OR
SAINT LOUIS MO 63114-4135 SAINT LOUIS MO 63114-4135
Qty. Product Price Total
1 Dues Payment \$235.00 \$235.00
Subtotal: \$238.00
Shipping (Not Required): \$0.00
Total: \$235.00
Payment Information
Payment Type: Bank Account Debit (via ACH)

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PAYMENT

An email confirmation has been sent to the email address you designated.

Please print this document using your browser's print function and retain a copy for your records.

[E] YOU WISH TO DISCLOSE YOUR HOME INFORMATION TO THE PUBLIC. CLICK HERE TO UPDATE

(The State Bar will not disclose home information to the public unless you have given authorization to do so. This selection will apply universally to all AWA's Live Hotmail Print Message pages I or t

pbk AWA's Live Hotmail Print Message pages I or t

TexasBar.com Customer Payment Confirmation

From: noreply@texasbar.com
Sent: Mon 8/31/09 9:34 AM
To: profchaz@hotmail.com

Thank you for your online payment to The State Bar of Texas!
This page represents a confirmation that YOUR payment information has been
submitted.
This email contains important details about your online payment number
10094046. *

Payments for the following items were received at 9:34 AM today.

(08/31/26095 Only item
Gross Payment.....0.00. Since bce eee \$235.00
Shipping & handling: £0.00
Final Total: \$235.00 Foyre

Thank you for using MyBarPage on Texasbar.com to manage your member account.
Kind regards

Cause # D-1-FM-01-004578

In the Matter of the Marriage | In the District Court of c ek
| as 3
JILL SUSAN ROWE | TRAVIS COUNTY os . Is
And | 23 5 ve
MARK STEVEN ROWE | 353d Judicial District 258 owls
| eo = 9 3
And in the Interest of JOY | Fe & AR
NATASHA ROWE i sg =3¢
And ZOE CHRISTINA ROWE, | 35 1 fs
Minor Children | ge 3 24
|

ORDER

UPON Motion of Counsel - Daryl Weinman, a hearing was conducted on Counsel
Weinman's Motion to Disqualify Attorney Charles Septowski.

After hearing on the pleadings issues presented and the Court being duly
appraised of all
issues relevant to the elements of the pleadings presented, and argument of
Counsel

involved herein, this MOTION IS DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the each party shall
be responsible for his own Attorney Fees, and Court Costs as may be taxed by
the Court.

Signed March 30, 2011

So ORDERED /s/CAUSE # D-1-GN-10-003951

COMMISSION FOR LAWYER IN THE DISTRICT COURT

DISCIPLINE

126th DISTRICT COURT

|
|

VS. | TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS

|
CHARLES SEPTOWSKI |
|

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the forgoing (eae: eae DISCIPLINARY PETITION) was filed with Prodoc Efile this 27th day of
October, 2011 and mailed US postage prepaid.

Copy to Alexander Veltman

Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

P.O. Box 12487

Austin, Texas 78711-2487

CAS

Pro Charles Eptowski Esq.

No. 2355 Disciplinary Docket No. 3
SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Office of Disciplinary Counsel v. Septowski

Decided Feb 23, 2017

No. 2355 Disciplinary Docket No. 3 No. 25 DB
2017

02-23-2017

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL,
Petitioner v. CHARLES DEE SEPTOWSKI,
Respondent

PER CURIAM

Attorney Registration No. 61707 (Out of State)

ORDER PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 23rd day of February, 2017, upon consideration of the Verified Statement of Resignation, Charles Dee Septowski is disbarred on consent from the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, *see* Pa.R.D.E. 215, and he shall comply with the provisions of Pa.R.D.E. 217. Respondent shall pay costs to the Disciplinary Board pursuant to Pa.R.D.E. 208(g).

casetext

2824 Disciplinary Docket 3
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

In re Hunt

Decided Nov 12, 2021

2824 Disciplinary Docket 3 125 DB 2021

11-12-2021

In the Matter of PAUL DAVID HUNT

PER CURIAM

(District of Columbia Court of Appeals, No. 21-BS-386) Attorney Registration No. 70770 (Out of State)

imposition of reciprocal discipline, Paul David Hunt is transferred to disability inactive status in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. He shall comply with all the provisions of Pa.R.D.E. 217.

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 12th day of November, 2021, having failed to respond to a Notice and Order directing him to provide reasons against the

 casetext

No. 1950 Disciplinary Docket No. 3
SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

In re Septowski

Decided Sep 25, 2013

No. 1950 Disciplinary Docket No. 3 Board File
No. CI-13-258 Attorney Registration No. 61707

2013-09-25

In the Matter of CHARLES DEE SEPTOWSKI

PER CURIAM

(District Court of Travis County,
Texas,

126th Judicial District, No. D-1-GN-
10-

003951; Grievance Committee of the
State Bar of Texas, Nos.
A0071113934
and A0121114231)

ORDER

PER CURIAM:

AND NOW, this 25th day of September, 2013,
Charles Dee Septowski having been suspended
from the practice of law in the State of Texas for a

period of forty-two months, with four months
served and thirty-eight months stayed, by
Judgment of Partially Probated Suspension of the
District Court of Travis County, Texas, 126th
Judicial District, dated November 23, 2011, and
Agreed Judgments of Probated Suspension of the
Grievance Committee of the State Bar of Texas
dated June 13, 2012, and March 12, 2013; the said
Charles Dee Septowski having been directed on
June 12, 2013, to inform this Court of any claim
he has that the imposition of the identical or
comparable discipline in this Commonwealth
would be unwarranted and the reasons therefor;
and upon consideration of the responses filed, it is

ORDERED that Charles Dee Septowski is
suspended from the practice of law in this
Commonwealth for a period of forty-two months,
with four months served and thirty-eight months
stayed, and he shall comply with all the provisions
of Rule 217, Pa.R.D.E.

Exhibit 4

In re Hunt

Decided Nov 12, 2021

2824 Disciplinary Docket 3 125 DB 2021

11-12-2021

In the Matter of PAUL DAVID HUNT

PER CURIAM

(District of Columbia Court of Appeals, No. 21-BS-386) Attorney Registration No. 70770 (Out of State)

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 12th day of November, 2021, having failed to respond to a Notice and Order directing him to provide reasons against the

imposition of reciprocal discipline, Paul David Hunt is transferred to disability inactive status in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. He shall comply with all the provisions of Pa.R.D.E. 217.

No Answers

No. 2496 Disciplinary Docket No. 3
SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

In re Jalloh

Decided Aug 9, 2018

No. 2496 Disciplinary Docket No. 3

08-09-2018

In the Matter of CHERNOR M. JALLOH

PER CURIAM

Board File No. CI-17-950 (District of Columbia
Court of Appeals, No. 17-BS-265) Attorney
Registration No. 66999 (Out of State) ORDER
PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 9th day of August, 2018, having
failed to respond to a Notice and Order directing
him to provide reasons against the imposition of
reciprocal discipline, Chernor M. Jalloh is

transferred to disability inactive status in the
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. He shall comply
with all the provisions of Pa.R.D.E. 217. A True
Copy Patricia Nicola

As Of 08/09/2018 Attest: /s/ _____
Chief Clerk

Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

No evidence (reasons)
was supplied

No. 1835 Disciplinary Docket No. 3
SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

In re McIntosh

Decided Oct 11, 2012

No. 1835 Disciplinary Docket No. 3 Board File
No. C1-12-180

10-11-2012

In the Matter of MAXWELL DAVID McINTOSH

PER CURIAM

(United States Court of Appeals for
the Third Circuit, C.A. Misc. No. 11-
8024)

Attorney Registration No. 75749

ORDER

PER CURIAM:

*No. 1835
Filed*

AND NOW, this 11th day of October, 2012,
Maxwell David McIntosh having been suspended
from the practice of law in the United States Court
of Appeals for the Third Circuit for a period of
one year by Order of that Court dated February 6,
2012; the said Maxwell David McIntosh having
been directed on August 1, 2012, to inform this
Court of any claim he has that the imposition of
the identical or comparable discipline in this
Commonwealth would be unwarranted and the
reasons therefor; and no response having been
filed, it is

ORDERED that Maxwell David McIntosh is
suspended from the practice of law in this
Commonwealth for a period of one year and he
shall comply with all the provisions of Rule 217.
Pa.R.D.E.

No. 1693 Disciplinary Docket No. 3
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

In Matter of Stanton

Decided Aug 2, 2011

No. 1693 Disciplinary Docket No. 3,

August 2, 2011.

Board File No. C1-10-1186, Supreme Court of Tennessee, No. M2010-02134, Attorney Registration No. 60863.

ORDER

PER CURIAM.

AND NOW, this 2nd day of August, 2011, Parrish Blake Stanton having been suspended from the practice of law in the State of Tennessee for a period of eighteen months by Order of Enforcement of the Supreme Court of Tennessee filed October 14, 2010; the said Parrish Blake

Stanton having been directed on March 30, 2011, to inform this Court of any claim he has that the imposition of the identical or comparable discipline in this Commonwealth would be unwarranted and the reasons therefor; and no response having been filed, it is

ORDERED that Parrish Blake Stanton is suspended from the practice of law in this Commonwealth for a period of eighteen months and he shall comply with all the provisions of Rule 217, Pa.R.D.E.

No Response Filed

No. 1294 Disciplinary Docket No. 3
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

In Matter of Vassar

Division, Second Judicial Department

No. 1294 Disciplinary Docket No. 3.

September 24, 2007.

Board File No. C1-07-818, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department, No. 2007-01749, Attorney Registration No. 27646.

NOTICE AND ORDER

PER CURIAM.

AND NOW, this 24th day of September, 2007, it appearing that John Charles Vassar, a member of the Bar of this Commonwealth, has been disbarred from the practice of law in the State of New York by the attached Opinion and Order of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate

Division, Second Judicial Department, dated June 19, 2007, in accordance with Rule 216, Pa.R.D.E., it is

ORDERED that John Charles Vassar is hereby directed to inform this Court within thirty days from service of this Notice of any claim he has that the imposition of the identical or comparable discipline in this Commonwealth would be unwarranted and the reasons therefor.

*No order other than
this is found.
No answer was provided*