

No. 22-769

---

In the  
Supreme Court of the United States

---

WEIXING V. WANG,  
*Petitioner,*

v.

BRANDYWYNE COMMON CONDOMINIUM,  
*Respondent.*

---

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the  
Supreme Court of New Hampshire

---

PETITION FOR REHEARING

---

Weixing V. Wang  
*Petitioner Pro Se*  
71 Vanderland Ave.  
East Providence, RI 02914  
(781) 492-3986  
vincent.wang618@gmail.com


May 16, 2023

---

SUPREME COURT PRESS

♦ (888) 958-5705 ♦

BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS





**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	i
PETITION FOR REHEARING OF DENIAL OF WRIT OF CERTIORARI .....	1
SUMMARY .....	8
CONCLUSION .....	9
RULE 44.2 CERTIFICATE .....	10

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS**

U.S. Constitution .....	1-8
-------------------------	-----

**JUDICIAL RULES**

Sup. Ct. R. 44.2 .....	10
------------------------	----



**PETITION FOR REHEARING OF DENIAL  
OF WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

After Petitioner filed his Petition, Appellee did not file any Opposition Brief to object to the Petition, because Appellee have no truth to tell. It has proved that all the facts and evidences presented in the Petition are all completely true. In this way, the Petition should be granted, Petitioner has won this appeal case. But Federal Supreme Court Judges in fraudulent ways act as Appellee's defending Attorney and denied the Petition without any reason. Federal Supreme Court Judges also violated U.S. Constitution.

In Federal Supreme Court appeal, there is 'Oral Arguments' procedure. Appellant's constitutional rights to present true facts with true evidences in courts had been stripped away by lower courts' Judges in the NH State, and once again was stripped by Federal Supreme Court Judges preventing truth to be revealed in Oral Argument. As Appellee never filed Opposition Brief, Petitioner had won this case. If Federal Supreme Court Judges did not want Petitioner to win this case, they have to do Oral Argument with Appellant. In this way, at least Petitioner has one chance in 4-years-2-months period to have an Oral Argument in court, even it is with the Federal Supreme Court Judges who act as Appellee's defending attorney. Without that last Oral Argument chance, the Petitioner's U.S. Constitutional rights have been 100% completely stripped away by all court's Judges. In that Oral Argument, if Federal Supreme Court Judges could win over Petitioner on all the facts and evidences, then they can deny Appellant's Petition; but if Petitioner

win on these facts and evidences, then it is not only Petitioner win this case, but also Federal Supreme Court Judges need to resign from their positions for the frauds and violations to the U.S. Constitution that they conducted.

On Federal Supreme Court website, it's written: "The Petitioner (petitioner) bears the burden of showing that the trial court or administrative agency made a legal error that affected the district court's decision", and "The court of appeals may review the factual findings made by the trial court or agency, but generally may overturn a decision on factual grounds only if the findings were "clearly erroneous."” Anyone who has gone through Appellant's Petition case No. 22-769 will certainly have seen all the frauds and the violation to the U.S. Constitution conducted by the lower court judges, which are also clearly evidenced in the transcript of the audio record of Case-Status hearing, attached to the Petition. It shows clearly in this case, there never be Discovery procedure; no any supporting evidence from Appellee; all the three fake witnesses were added two years later who were not there in May 2018, and whom had been denied by the court judge as having no personal knowledge on the allege fines; no any trial or Oral Argument occurred in any of the three courts to verify the facts with evidences, which should be the court procedures protected by the U.S. Constitution. As the U.S. citizen, Appellant's Constitutional rights had been stripped away illegally and completely, in fraudulent ways by court Judges. These are unconstitutional. All those true facts with supporting evidences have shown the trial court made many legal errors. Anyone who has gone through this Petition would know that Petitioner have shown the Judges'

criminal frauds and violations to the U.S. Constitution and laws clearly in his petition. If any Federal Supreme Court Judge(s) could not see that or have any questions, the Oral Argument should occur between Petitioner and the Judge(s), as Appellee never filed Opposition Brief. Or Federal Supreme Court Judges can ask Appellee to conduct the Oral Argument with Appellant, even Appellee never filed an Opposition Brief. Federal Supreme Court Judges were willfully protecting and supporting the criminal frauds and violations to the U.S. Constitution conducted by lower courts Judges.

This case is no longer the legal issues. It is the issue to DEFEND or VIOLATE the U.S. CONSTITUTION. U.S. citizens' constitutional rights must be protected by the U.S. Constitution in this country. But it has not been. Even the Federal Supreme Court Judges did the violating the U.S. Constitution in fraudulent ways, same as lower courts Judges did.

It is written on the Federal Supreme Court website: "Constitutional cases include some of the most contentious issues considered by the federal Judiciary", "U.S. appellate courts have jurisdiction over cases that allege violations of federal constitutional rights, . . . appeals based on constitutional grounds permit federal court review of state and local laws, practices, and court rulings, not just direct appeals of federal cases." Federal Supreme Court Judges both violated the U.S. Constitution and conducted frauds; firstly, by supported firmly in fraudulent ways the lower court Judges' conducted frauds and violating the U.S. Constitution; secondly, by also stripped Appellant's constitutional rights to present the truth, completely prevented the Oral Argument, but acted as Appellee's

defending attorney and denied the petition in fraudulent ways. They also did not care about U.S. Constitution, but violated it. That's why Appellee did not even need to file any Opposition Brief to oppose the Position, because Federal Supreme Court Judges did it for them; and they obviously conducted very good service works in fraudulent ways for Appellee and lower courts Judges. Why Federal Supreme Court Judges did in such fraudulent ways for them?

Both supporting the lower court judges violating the U.S. Constitution and laws, and the violating the U.S. Constitution themselves are frauds, conducted by the Federal Superior Court Judges. Petitioner is the victim of those Judges' frauds and violations to the U.S. Constitution, including by Federal Superior Court Judges.

There was a phone conversation between Petitioner and Federal Supreme Court case analyst on May 2, 2023. When Petitioner asked what reason for his Petition was denied, according to what? The case analyst told Petitioner that his case was not among the 1% of appealed cases to be reviewed; he was saying that Federal Supreme Court only view 1% of appealed cases filed. Appellant's case was not among the 1%; hence, so was simply denied.

First, this is not the true reason for Appellant's case to be deny, as all the filed petitions have to be 100% reviewed in Federal Supreme Court. Solely by reviewing Appellant's Petition, it absolutely can be seen clearly the fraudulent ways, the violations to the U.S. Constitution and to the laws, conducted by the lower courts' Judges. Based on these true facts with evidences, Appellant's appeal should be granted. Federal Supreme Court Judges could not give even one

reason for denying the petition, then use the made-up rule only 1% appealed case are viewed there as the excuse for denying Appellant's case. Appellant's appeal can be granted based on the facts and evidences presented in his Petition; plus, the facts that Appellee never filed an Opposition Brief to opposite the Petition.

Secondly, besides violating to U.S. Constitution, Federal Supreme Court Judges have also violated Federal Supreme Court rules. On their website, it is written clearly: "More than 80 percent of federal appeals are decided solely on the basis of written briefs." Why Petitioner's appeal was not decided on the facts with facts on the written brief? They violated this Federal Supreme Court rule. Also: "Less than a quarter of all appeals are decided, in which both sides discuss the legal principles in the dispute. Each side is given a specified amount of time, which varies by circuit, to present its case." Judges may interrupt to ask questions. These arguments are open to the public." It clearly should be after the cases have been fully reviewed "... a quarter of all appeals are decided following oral argument", then how come it is now changed to just only 1% of the appealed cases are reviewed, before oral argument? After reviewing 1%, how many cases will go for Oral Argument, 0.5%? According to what, the Federal Supreme Court Judges can change the cases to be reviewed there from at least 25% and "a quarter for Oral Arguments" to now "only 1% cases for reviewing" before the Oral Argument? Who allowed them to make this change? This is the fraudulent way! This is totally unconstitutional. It is a violation to the U.S. Constitution and the Federal Supreme Court rules. The Appellant's constitutional rights have been totally, severely and repeatedly strip-



ped away by the Judges in the three courts in the past 4-years-2-months, including Federal Supreme Court.

Appellant's filed Petitions in the Federal Supreme Court is definitely clear enough to the world about the true facts with solid supporting evidences. After Appellee never filed a Brief in Opposition, Appellant's Petition should be automatically granted. But the Federal Supreme Court Judges acted as Appellee's defending attorney and used the unconstitutional fake rule, "only 1% of appealed cases are reviewed", fraudulently denied Appellant's Petition, to protect, support and encourage the lower courts' conducted criminal frauds and violations to the U.S. Constitution and laws. Whoever fabricated this 1% of the total submitted Appeal cases are reviewed, was conducting frauds to fool and cheat American people. This is totally wrong.

1. The Federal Supreme Court is ruining American citizens' lives by solely reviewing 1% of the appealed cases.
2. This is totally unconstitutional. It is to sacrifice American people's constitutional rights, to protect the frauds and violations conducted by the lower court Judges.
3. It is the fraudulent way willfully to protect, support and encourage the lower court Judges to conduct more criminal frauds and violating U.S. Constitution and laws more frequently, to ruin American people's lives.
4. It shows Federal Supreme Court Judges never care about these criminal frauds and violating to U.S. Constitution, but actually are protect-

ing, supporting and encouraging the criminal frauds and violations; moreover, themselves also participating the criminal frauds and violating U.S. Constitution and Federal Supreme Court rules.

5. Was "Only review 1% of the appealed cases in Federal Supreme Court" made by the U.S. Legislation of the country or by Federal Supreme Court Judges themselves? The Federal Supreme Court Judges have no authority to set up this fraudulent rule to make all other 99% appealed cases absolutely killed by them, totally in fraudulent ways. This rule is totally unconstitutional.
6. This is the way protecting lower court criminal Judges, as more than 99% (99.5%) criminal conductions are totally set free. That is the root cause for the huge mess in the Justice system in this country. After conducting criminal frauds and violating U.S. Constitution, the criminal Judges to be caught is almost Zero, (less than 0.5%).
7. After conducted criminal frauds, no Judge got caught, nor be punished. This definitely encourages the Judge and more Judges to conduct more criminal frauds and violating the U.S. Constitution. This is why presently the U.S. Justice system is in terrible situation.
8. This is the way Federal Supreme Court Judges actually act as protecting umbrella to Judges who conducted criminal frauds and violated Constitutions and laws. Those are fraudulent ways and violations to U.S.

Constitution and to Federal Supreme Court rules, conducted by Federal Supreme Court Judges.

These are the constitutional issues, not legal issues. All U.S. Citizens must Defend the U.S. Constitution in this country and protect American people's constitutional rights. All American citizens' constitutional rights must be protected 100% in this country. No one is allowed to violate or support the violating to U.S. Constitution. Whoever conducted criminal frauds or violating to U.S. Constitution must be prosecuted after the investigation, and must be punished by laws. No one is above the laws. No one is allowed to protect any conducted criminal frauds and violating U.S. Constitution and the laws. Protecting crimes is also the crime.



### SUMMARY

Petitioner's constitutional rights had been completely stripped away by the fraudulent Judges in past 4-years-2-months proceeding in all courts. No Discovery, Trial, or Oral Argument at all. Solely based on lies and fabrication, Petitioner have been ordered (actually robbed) to pay \$47,319.86. Where is the Justice and where is the Constitution in U.S.A.? Who allowed the court Judges to violate the U.S. Constitution? As the court orders for \$47,319.86 were made based on frauds and on violating U.S. Constitution, the unconstitutional orders must be revoked.



## CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the petition for rehearing should be granted. The NH Rockingham Superior Court 5/18/2021 order and 8/3/2021 order, the NH State Supreme Court 10/18/2022 order, 11/14/2022 affirming order, and the 12/22/2022 order should be all dismissed. The Petitioner's two Motions: *Defendant's Motion for Compensation*, and *Defendant's Request for Damages Pursuant to RSA 358-A:10*, which were denied in the 5/18/2021 Court order, should be reconsidered and granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Weixing V. Wang  
*Petitioner Pro Se*  
71 Vanderland Ave.  
East Providence, RI 02914  
(781) 492-3986  
vincent.wang618@gmail.com

May 16, 2023



**RULE 44.2 CERTIFICATE**

Pursuant to Rule 44.2, I certify that the Petition is restricted to the grounds specified in the Rule with substantial grounds not previously presented; I certify that this Petition is presented in good faith and not for delay.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Weixing V. Wang  
Petitioner

May 16, 2023

**CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT**

**No. 22-769**

Weixing V. Wang,

*Petitioner,*

v.

Brandywyne Common Condominium,

*Respondent.*

STATE OF MASSACHUSETTS )  
COUNTY OF NORFOLK ) SS.:

Being duly sworn, I depose and say:

1. That I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to this action. I am an employee of the Supreme Court Press, the preparer of the document, with mailing address at 1089 Commonwealth Avenue, Suite 283, Boston, MA 02215.

2. That, as required by Supreme Court Rule 33.1(h), I certify that the WEIXING V. WANG PETITION FOR REHEARING contains 2066 words, including the parts of the brief that are required or exempted by Supreme Court Rule 33.1(d).

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

  
Lucas DeDeus

May 16, 2023

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

**No. 22-769**

Weixing V. Wang,

*Petitioner,*

v.

Brandywyne Common Condominium,

*Respondent.*

STATE OF MASSACHUSETTS )  
COUNTY OF NORFOLK ) SS.:

Being duly sworn, I depose and say under penalty of perjury:

1. That I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to this action. I am an employee of the Supreme Court Press, the preparer of the document, with mailing address at 1089 Commonwealth Avenue, Suite 283, Boston, MA 02215.

2. On the undersigned date, I served the parties in the above captioned matter with the WEIXING V. WANG PETITION FOR REHEARING, by both email and by mailing three (3) true and correct copies of the same by USPS Priority mail, prepaid for delivery to the following address which Counsel of Record avers covers all parties required to be served.

Thomas Aylsworth  
Marcus, Errico, Emmer & Brooks, P.C.  
45 Braintree Hill Office Park  
Suite 107  
Braintree, MA 02184  
(603) 521-7134  
taylesworth@meeb.com  
*Counsel for Respondent*

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lucas DeDeus

May 16, 2023