

No. 22-7634

In the
Supreme Court of the United States

ALAN HEADMAN,

Petitioner,

v.

CAMILLE BROMLEY,

Respondent.

**On Petition for Rehearing over Decision
Denying Petition for Writ of Certiorari to
the Court of Appeals of Utah**

PETITION FOR REHEARING

ALAN HEADMAN
1225 FM 1002 S
BIG SANDY, TX 75755
(801)703-5422
Afam51@yahoo.com

Petitioner Pro Se

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
GROUNDS FOR REHEARING Supreme Court Rules Intervening Circumstances Substantial Grounds Not Previously Presented ...	1 1 1 1
AMPLIFYING ARGUMENT..... Involuntary Servitude Exists The Right to Bear Arms is Currently Infringed ... The Right to the Free Speech is Abridged The Supreme Court Holds Great Responsibility..... It is Time for a New Era The Chaos of This Day will not end Until the Deference Adopted by This Court Favors the God-Given Rights of the Citizens The Cost of Freedom is Not Without Pain	2 2 2 2 3 3 4 4 4
CONCLUSION AND PLEA	5
RULE 44.2 CERTIFICATE	6

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

U.S. Const. amend. I	2
U.S. Const. amend. II	2
U.S. Const. amend. XIII	2

RULES

Supreme Court Rule 37	5
Supreme Court Rule 44	1

GROUNDS FOR REHEARING

Supreme Court Rules

Supreme Court Rule 44 Rehearing allows for a petition for rehearing on the merits when filed within 25 days after the entry of a decision to deny certiorari. Rule 44 paragraph 2 specifically allows for a petition for rehearing over “an order denying a petition for a writ of certiorari” for grounds limited to intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect or to other grounds not previously presented.

Intervening Circumstances

The Supreme Court is under attack by members of the Legislative Branch of government, which should not have power to regulate or control the methods or outcomes of the Judicial Branch of this nation. This attack seeks to undermine the inspired purpose of preserving separation of powers required to preserve the protections afforded by the divinely inspired United States Constitution.

Substantial Grounds Not Previously Presented

The United States Constitution is hanging by a thread and a failure of the Supreme Court to preserve the balance of power could mean the downfall of this great nation. In response to the recent scrutiny of the Supreme Court, the Court has created rules to govern its own conduct. The creation of these self-regulating rules will not save the integrity of the Judicial Branch of power. What will, however, is the Judicial Branch’s restoration of the plain and precious protections which have become constructively removed through various past deference methods. By its own rules, this Court

has grounds to hear this case and to take the first step in restoring the textual Thirteenth Amendment protections from involuntary servitude through the adoption of the “**Headman Deference**” test. Adopting this test would initiate a new deference era that would properly restore the Courts focus back to preserving Citizens rights rather than the denying of rights through deference.

AMPLIFYING ARGUMENT

Involuntary Servitude Exists – Despite the *Thirteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution* which guarantees involuntary servitude shall not exist in any state except as punishment for a crime, involuntary servitude exists in plain sight in virtually every state.

The Right to Bear Arms is Currently Infringed – Despite the *Second Amendment to the United States Constitution* demanding that the right to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed, a citizen cannot consistently keep and bear arms for defense of self, property, country or tyrannical government un-infringed or keep and bear arms in interstate travel.

The Right to the Free Speech is Abridged – Despite *First Amendment to the United States Constitution* protections over free speech, college campuses are abridging the freedom of speech and citizens are losing employment for exercising their right to free speech or are being restricted from equal access to express opinions based on their opinions position.

The Supreme Court Holds Great Responsibility

There has not been any success by individual Supreme Court Justices or by the Supreme Court as a whole that will compensate for the failure of the Supreme Court to restore the textual protections of the Constitution at this moment in history.

The protections over life, liberty and property have been replaced by entitlements granted to the highest bidder, the most expensive lawyer or the agenda of the mob. The Supreme Court can attempt to argue over what term of servitude is acceptable, what pistol brace is allowed or what speech will or will not get you jailed or fired but each of such arguments only result in varying degrees of the violation of rights.

It is Time for a New Era

Our country is on the brink of destruction and two of the three branches of government do not seem positioned to preserve our freedom. The time is now for this Supreme Court to introduce deference tests for key constitutional rights that favor the rights intended to be preserved to the citizen and at the same time definitively rebuke all parties seeking to destroy them. History will likely show that this Supreme Court either participated in the destruction of the constitution of the greatest nation in the history of the world or was the branch of government that stepped up to save this nation, and it's divinely inspired constitution, from destruction. May the Justices of this Supreme Court be known throughout history as the Justices that saved this nation and our divinely inspired constitution.

The Chaos of This Day will not end Until the Deference Adopted by This Court Favors the God-Given Rights of the Citizens

The United States and our divine Constitution are under attack. It has become clear that the efforts of those who seek to strip citizens of their rights will not stop at the issuance of small token decisions by this Court. The protections of the constitution carefully put in place by of our forefathers are not vague and the protections intended are clear. The fabric of our constitution has been whittled away through a thousand tiny cuts and many of its protections are hanging by a thread. The pockets of our politicians have been lined by those who seek the destruction of our freedom and the end of the chaos is nowhere in sight. Constitutional deference is our only hope.

The Cost of Freedom is Not Without Pain

In a free society offensive speech will be heard, violence will occur, and a burden taken off a slave or involuntary servant will fall back on the party who benefitted from the servitude but the cost of losing the freedoms which keep us a free nation far exceed the costs of preserving these freedoms.

“MAY THE JUSTICES OF THIS SUPREME COURT BE KNOWN THROUGHOUT HISTORY AS THE JUSTICES THAT SAVED THIS NATION AND ITS DIVINELY INSPIRED CONSTITUTION”

CONCLUSION AND PLEA

The Petitioner respectfully pleas with this Supreme Court to bring the Judicial Branch into the next era of preserving the life, liberty and property of the individual, over justifications of courts or agencies taking these rights through deference methods, and start by granting certiorari for “**Headman Deference**” to end the clearest form of existence of involuntary servitude in the United States.

The Petitioner would gladly welcome the opening of the matter to Amicus Curiae briefs, in accordance with Supreme Court Rule 37 Brief for an Amicus Curiae, from any other state seeking to oppose the ending of involuntary alimony servitude once certiorari is granted.

Respectfully submitted,

ALAN HEADMAN
1225 FM 1002 S
BIG SANDY, TX 75755
(801)703-5422
Afam51@yahoo.com
Petitioner Pro Se

RULE 44.2 CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that this petition for rehearing is present in good faith and not for delay, and that it is restricted to the grounds specified in United States Supreme Court Rule 44.2.

S/ ALAN HEADMAN
ALAN HEADMAN
1225 FM 1002 S
BIG SANDY, TX 75755
(801)703-5422
Afam51@yahoo.com

Petitioner Pro Se

