


22-7596

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



RIPDAMAN NARULA

PETITIONER

V.

ORANGE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT ET AL

RESPONDENTS

---

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

FROM THE U.S. COURT OF APPEAL

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

---

---

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

---

RIPDAMAN NARULA

Petitioner in pro se

P.O. Box 302

Barstow, CA 92312

**QUESTIONS PRESENTED:**

**Question # 1.** A pro se has a right to file a complaint in the court. Does he also has a right to win especially if defendant(s) default?

**Question # 2:** When in the course of human events it becomes necessary for a victim of a crime to file a crime report, do cops have an obligation to take a crime report and record victim's statement(s) truthfully?

**Question # 3:** Is the MJ's bagman more important and/or more powerful than anyone else including the court of appeal?

**1. LIST OF PARTIES:**

Ripdaman Narula,

Petitioner.

v.

Orange County Superior Court;

Orange County Sheriff's Department;

City of Laguna Niguel;

City of Santa Ana;

Arbitration Forums;

California Highway Patrol;

Does, 1 thru 20 inclusive,

Defendants-Appellees-Respondents.

**2. CORPORATE DISCLOSURE: None**

**3. TABLE OF CONTENTS:**

<u>Description</u>	<u>Page #</u>
Cover Page	
Questions Presented	i
1. Parties	ii
2. Corporate Disclosure	ii
3. Table of Contents	ii
4. Points and Authorities	iii

5. Abbreviation	v
6. Symbols	vi
<b>Petition for Certiorari</b>	1
Prayer	1
A. Opinion Below	1
B. Jurisdictions	2
C. Constitutional Provisions and Statutes	2
D. Statement of the Case	2
E. Reasons for Granting the Petition	10
F. Conclusion	12
Appendix A	A1
Appendix B	B1
Appendix C	C1

#### 4. POINTS AND AUTHORITIES:

<u>Cases:</u> (cited by COA in denying appeal)	<u>at page # of memorandum</u>
Allen v. Bayer Corporation, 460 F3d 1217	4
Allied Underwriters Inc. v. Lichtenegger,	4
Burgert v. Lokelani, 200 F3d 661	2
Cafasso v. GDC4, 637 F3d 1047	2
Carmona v. Carmona, 603 F3d 1156	2
D.C. Ct. of Appeals v. Feldman, 460 U.S. 462	2

Eitel v. McCool, 782 F2d 1470	2, 5
Fendler v. Westgate-Cal.Corp., 527 F2d1168	4
Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F2d 1258	4
Florida Dept. of Health, Fla. Nursing Homes Assn., 450 U.S. 147	3
Gonzales v. Planned Parenthood of L.A., 759 F3d 1112	3
Marsh v. County of San Diego, 680 F3d 1148	3
Kroessler v. CVS Health Corp., 977 F3d 803	3
Padgett v. Wright, 587 F3d 983	5
Rooker-Feldman, 263 U.S. 413	2
Sacks v. Dietrich, 663 F3d 1065	3
Simmon v. Sacramento Cnty Superior Ct., 318 F3d 832	3
United National Ins. V. Spectrum, 555 F3d 772	2, 4
United States v. City of Hayward, 36 F3d 832	3
Von v. Portland Boxing Commission, 442 F2d 1047	2
Wasyl Inc. v. First Boston Corp., 813 F2d 1574	3

**Constitution:**

U.S. Constitution, Art. III, sec. 1	2
U.S. Constitution, Amendment XI	2
U.S. Constitution, Amendment XIV	2
California Constitution, Art. 1, sec. 1	2

**Statutes:**

18 USC 241, 242	2
28 USC 1331	2
28 USC 1332	2
42 USC 1983	2
California V.C. 22450 (a)	2

**Rules:**

FRAP Rule 3	2
FRAP Rule 4	2

**5. ABBREVIATIONS:**

AFI	Arbitration Forums Inc.
CA	California
COA	Court of Appeal
C.O.A.	Cause of Action
c.r. or c. r.	Crime report
CHP	California Highway Patrol
DJ	District Judge
Doc	Document
d. c.	District court
FRAP	Federal rules of appellant procedure

LN	City of Laguna Niguel
MJ	Magistrate Judge
O.C. or OC	Orange County
OCSC	Orange County Superior Court
OCSD	Orange County Sheriff's Department
R&R	Report and Recommendations
SA	City of Santa Ana
Sh	Sheriff
SH	State highway
U.S.	United States
W.O.M.	Writ of Mandamus

## **6. SYMBOLS:**

1-2 Page # and Line #