


No. 22-7586



ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Mark Ringland — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

United States — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Mark Ringland
(Your Name)

U.S.P. Atwater P.O. Box 019001
(Address)

Atwater, CA. 95301
(City, State, Zip Code)

0
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

"Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment."

Whether under Due Process and equal protection clauses of the Fourteenth Amendment of the accused, the Fourteenth Amendment provides Due Process and equal protection, or that no state shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States, nor shall any state deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of the law, nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the law?

The Fourteenth Amendment provides.

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

Nebraska District Court 8:17 CR 289

Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals 19-2381

En banc rehearing by McArthur Justice Center

Supreme Court 20-1204

28 USC § 2255

Ringland v Google 8:21 CV 481 Dismissed without prejudice.

Ringland v United States 8:22 CV 223 Dismissed without prejudice.

United States v Ringland 8:17 CR 289

CASE NO: 23-1029 Criminal Complaint of misconduct on all the above,
AND Special-Committee criminal Complaint of misconduct on all
the above.

APPEAL 23-1029

Supreme Court 23-1029 SUA sponte rehearing.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

United States v. Billie Joe Wickham.	
Lexis 132218 (136928) NO 21CR 2014 CJW-2 July 18, 2022	
United States v. Durango Ken Castile	
Lexis 105100 (104862) NO 22CC 2007 LTS MAY 26, 2022	
United States v. Margaret Ortega	
Lexis 58536 (58110) NO 22CR 4015 LTS-Kem March 15, 2022	
United States v. Pablo Perez-Chavez	
NO 22CR 46 CJW N.D IOWA Aug 9, 2022	
United States v. Alfredo Anibal Martin-Martin	
Lexis 83574 (83253) NO 22CR 4017 LTS-Kem April 22, 2022	
United States v. Chad Allen Havens	
Lexis 64826 (64642) NO 21CR 77 CJW March 23, 2022	
FRANKS v. Delaware 438 U.S. 154 (1978)	

STATUTES AND RULES

28 USC § 1445 AND § 2513	Rule 52(b)	Rule 11
28 USC § 1443	Rule 35	Rule 59, 27(e)
28 USC § 1291, 1292, 1294, 1295	Rule 60(b)	
28 USC 1254, AND 1257	924	
18 USC § 371, 241, 242, 246, 249 18 USC 924(c) AND 844(h)(1)		
18 USC § 1201, 1203, 1621, 1622, 1623, 1001, 1002, 1016, 1018, 1030, 1037		
42 USC § 1988 (A)(b)(C)		
28 USC § 2101(c)		
28 USC § 2101(e)		
18 USC § 3006 A		
18 CFR § 2251 to 2260 Exploitation of children (Google) AND it's users.		
28 U.S.C § 1254, AND 1257		
18 USC § 1512 (b)(3)		

OTHER

Under code section 7623 (b)(4)	Rule 20
A whistleblower Action	Rule 22
28 U.S.C. § 1651 (A)	Rule 32