


Docket 22-7551
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED
STATES
April 8 2023



John Foster Morris,
Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT, of South Carolina,
Respondent.

Petition For a writ of Certiorari
to the United States District Court
of South Carolina

John Foster Morris, the petitioner,
proceeding Pro se respectfully
requests that a Writ of Certiorari
issue to review Application ORDER
of the United States District Court
of South Carolina entered in this Case
CIA NO. 0:22-7346-AMC-PLG

Questions Presented For Review

Whether Article I of the U.S. Constitution allows pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. § 631, under provisions of 28 U.S.C.A. § 636, a judge notwithstanding any provisions of law to the contrary designate a magistrate judge to hear and determine any pretrial matter pending before the Court decide under South Carolina Local Civil Rule 73.02 (C) all pretrial proceedings in Application For post-Conviction review under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 2241, 28 USC § 2254 submit to a judge of the Court proposed Findings of Fact and recommendations for the disposition, by a judge of the Court from a designate magistrate judge not yet officially appointed under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 631.

Page 1)

In Compliance with U.S. Sup. Ct. Rule 14, 28 U.S.C.A.
Rule 14.1 (d)

The Application to District Court of South Carolina
whose ORDER is here sought to be reviewed is
unreported at 0:22-CV-00736-LMC-PJG. The
judgment of the district Court of Rock Hill Division
C.A. No. 0:22-736-HMH-PJG. The entry of
judgment United States Court of Appeals Fourth
Circuit

STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

The judgment of the Court of Appeals Fourth
Circuit No. 22-6960 [0:22-CV-00736-HMH]
was entered on January 20, 2023. A timely
petition for rehearing was denied on March
7, 2023 No. 22-6960 [0:22-CV-00736-HMH]
The jurisdiction of the Court is invoked under
28 U.S.C.A. 1254. Application is matter in
Controversy sought to be reviewed by writ of
Certiorari.

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS, TREATIES, STATUTES, RULES, AND REGULATIONS INVOLVED

Provisions in question: 28 U.S.C.A. § 2242
Application for a writ of habeas Corpus shall
be in writing signed and verified see
Application. 28 U.S.C.A. § 2241. The writ of
habeas Corpus shall not extend to a prisoner
unless, he is in custody in violation of the
Constitution or laws or treaties of the United
States

(see Page 2)

Page 2) STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Facts in Application with Affidavit of Probable Cause stating the Facts with Certificate of Service Verifying that the foregoing has been furnished to the District Court of South Carolina should have been a reason of reasons for a response from the state's attorney General office of South Carolina.

Reasons for Granting The Writ

The ORDER of the district Court of South Carolina should be reviewed by this Court because, the Application was not systematize with the ORDER of the district Court

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, this petition for a Writ of Certiorari should be granted.

In Compliance with U.S. sup. ct. Rule 29.4(A)

Respectfully Submitted
John Foster Morris 095847
Evans Correctional inst.
610 Hwy 9 West
Bennettsville S.C. 29512

I verify under penalty of perjury, that the
Foregoing is true and correct.

John Foster Morris SCDC # 095847

I Certify that I placed this document in the
hands of, Evans Correctional inst. Notary Public
For Mailing to, Office of the Clerk, Supreme Court
of the United States, 1 First St. N.E.,
Washington, DC 20543-0001

Respectfully Submitted
John Foster Morris #095847
Evans Correctional inst.
610 HWY 9 West,
Bennettsville, S.C. 29512



18 April 2023
S. Ouellet
Notary Public at South Carolina
2117/24