Appendix I (3.21.24)

writ of certiorari

Court Orders

letters F.C. sent back & held back by Chad until 3.20.23 (noted 3.26.'23 lc)

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

IRINA COLLIER, AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED,
Plaintiff-Appellant

v.

PRESIDENT OF STANFORD, WEBMASTER OF STANFORD, UCB CHANCELLOR, COLLIER-GARBER FAMILY, MARC TESSRER-LAVIGNE, WEBMASTER OF STANFORD, CHARLES WADE COLLIER, CHANCELLOR CHRIST, PRESIDENT DRAKE,
Defendants-Appellees

2023-1185

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in No. 4:22-cv-05375-YGR, Judge Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers.

MANDATE

In accordance with the judgment of this Court, entered December 30, 2022, and pursuant to Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, the formal mandate is hereby issued.

FOR THE COURT

February 13, 2023
Date

/s/ Peter R. Marksteiner
Peter R. Marksteiner
Clerk of Court

116
29

NOTE: This order is nonprecedential.

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

IRINA COLLIER, AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED,
Plaintiff-Appellant

v.

PRESIDENT OF STANFORD, WEBMASTER OF STANFORD, UCB CHANCELLOR, COLLIER-GARBER FAMILY, MARC TESSRER-LAVIGNE, WEBMASTER OF STANFORD, CHARLES WADE COLLIER, CHANCELLOR CHRIST, PRESIDENT DRAKE,
Defendants-Appellees

2023-1185

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in No. 4:22-cv-05375-YGR, Judge Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers.

ON MOTION

PER CURIAM.

O R D E R

117

Before the court is Irina Collier's submission docketed at ECF No. 13, entitled "Emergency Writ of Mandamus," which the court understands to be a motion to consolidate this case with "all prior cases naming the same plaintiff and the same defendants from all related court matters."

On February 6, 2023, this court denied Ms. Collier's petition for rehearing of the court's prior order dismissing her appeal and related request for mandamus relief and ordered that mandate would soon issue. Because this case is now over in this court, we deny this request and will not act on any future filing by Ms. Collier in this case.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

The motion is denied.

FOR THE COURT

February 10, 2023
Date

/s/ Peter R. Marksteiner
Peter R. Marksteiner
Clerk of Court

118

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JAN 27 2023

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: IRINA COLLIER.

IRINA COLLIER,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND,

Respondent,

PRESIDENT OF STANFORD; et al.,

Real Parties in Interest.

No. 22-70255

D.C. No. 4:22-cv-05375-YGR
Northern District of California, Oakland

ORDER

Before: WARDLAW, CLIFTON, and SANCHEZ, Circuit Judges.

Petitioner has not demonstrated that this case warrants the intervention of this court by means of the extraordinary remedy of mandamus. *See Bauman v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977). A review of the district court's docket indicates that the district court entered an order of dismissal on January 19, 2023. Accordingly, the petition is denied.

All pending motions are denied.

119

30