

22-7317
No. 8276011, H047640

2013-1-CP-020909

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Rosa Edith Vega
(Your Name)

— PETITIONER

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

SEP 30 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

vs.

Ali Hamed, Heather M. Allan — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Supreme Court of California

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Rosa Edith Vega
(Your Name)

755 E. Capitol Ave Apt G306
(Address)

Milpitas, CA, 95035
(City, State, Zip Code)

408-7718493
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- Evidence Code - EVID 1561

- CA Law Authenticating Medical Records. (Certified Copy)

In Our "Short Cause" (Fraud) No Jury. I presented The Authentic Certified Copy by the Custodian of Records into Evidence. Judge Roberta Hayashi disregarded my Evidence.

Subject to Section 1252, Evidence of a statement is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement was made for purposes of medical diagnosis or treatment and describes medical history or past or present symptoms, pain, or sensations, or the inception or general character of the cause or external source thereof as reasonably pertinent to diagnosis or treatment. This section applies to a statement made by the victim who is a minor at the time of the proceedings provided the statement was made when the victim was under the age of 18 describing any act, or attempted act, of child Abuse or neglect. "child Abuse".

Under The Sixth Amendment: America and my right to Trial by Jury was Not permitted.

Evidence Code 1252: Evidence of a Statement is Inadmissible.

A.H's Statements and physical Evidence of Abuse were disregarded by both Roberta Hayashi and Heather M. Allan.

A.H stated her father Ali Hamed Caused the 1st degree burns and the sexual abuse. In addition to poking A.H in her eyes.

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

- A.H injuries are similar to Gabriel Fernandez's case. 5/22/2013 Fatal Beating.
- Injuries ignored by both The Sixth District Court of Appeal and The Superior Court of California.
- CA Law Authenticating Medical Records.
- Evidence Code - EVID 1561

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A-Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara .FL-340 - 11/7/19 Findings.
NO Jury Court trial against the Sixth Amendment.

APPENDIX B-Sixth District Court of Appeal-Notice of Appeal-APP-009 FILLED 12/9/2019

APPENDIX C- Sixth District Court of Appeals Opinion Filled 7/6/22.

APPENDIX D -Petition For Review Appeal from the Sixth District Court of Appeal.

APPENDIX E- Petitioners Supp Decl. in Support of EPO-RFD

APPENDIX F- Proof of Fraud

Appendix G- Injuries, First Degree Burns Diagnosed by Kaiser Permanente twice.
Not Permitted into evidence.

Appendix - H- Supreme Court of California Denying Default.

Appendix- I- Reply Brief

Appendix - J- Ali Hamed with a Gun.

Appendix- K- Additional injuries ignored by Justices and Heather M. Allan
as Mrs. Allan admitted to our daughter A.A.H. Stated
father Ali Hamed burned her. Appendix L- Family Reunification/Reparation.

of siblings.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES - California Judges Benchguides:
Family Law Proceedings 200-2

D. Guides for custody Determination

1. (200.36) Best interest of child.

a. (200.37) Child's Health, Safety, and welfare.

b. (200.38) Contact with Parents

e. (200.4) Child's need for bonding, stability and continuity

f. (200.45) Definition of Abuse.

(2) (200.47) Corroborative Evidence of Physical Abuse.

(6) (200.51) Child sexual Abuse

2. Preference of Child.

OTHER a. (200.52) In General

b. (200.53) Obtaining Evidence of Child's Preference.

4. (200.55) Separation of Siblings - California Code. Family code.

FAM § 8920.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix H to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was July 6th 2022.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was July 5th 2022.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix 6.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

California Judges Bench Guides:

Family Law Proceedings 200-2

D. Guides for Custody Determination

1. (200.36) Best interest of Child.

a. (200.37) Child's Health, Safety, and Welfare

b. (200.38) Contact with Parents

e. (200.41) Child's need for Bonding, Stability and Continuity.

(1) (200.45) Definition of Abuse

(2) (200.47) Corroborative Evidence of Physical Abuse.

(6) (200.51) Child Sexual Abuse

2. preference of Child

a. (200.52) in General

b. (200.53) Obtaining evidence of Child preference.

4. (200.55) Separation of Siblings California Code
Family Code FAM § 8920.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

A.H. our daughter disclosed abuse for the first time in 2014. Transcription from 2014 audio:

Our Daughter A.A.H. was confessing her father hurt her. When the investigation was over in 2014, Ali Hamed and I had no issues and continued joint custody prior to Ali Hamed not having overnights per CPS investigation. In 2018 our daughter A.A.H. refused to go with father Ali Hamed. Our Daughter A.A.H. ran to her bed in fear when the door bell rang. Our daughter A.A.H. stated she was afraid of her father Ali Hamed.

"Where does it hurt you mommy?"

"Our daughter": A.A.H. - It hurts right here"

"Here"

Our daughter A.A.H.: "mm-hmm."

Why?

Our daughter A.A.H.: "Because daddy did it, with two fingers."

"With two fingers?"

Our daughter A.A.H.: "Aha"

"What did he do?"

Fraud, as Roberta Hayashi & Heather M. Allan permitted fraud. I the petitioner nor after father had lost overnights due to disclosure of sexual abuse. ANGELA Keton (CPS) took Amera from father. I then filed for a restraining order against Ali Hamed to protect A.A.H. and I as my mother, my son N.N.V. and A.A.H. were shot at on the freeway. CHP Evidence ignored by Roberta Hayashi & Heather M. Allan.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

- CA Law Authenticating Medical Records (certified copy) was not permitted
- Subject to Section Code 1252, Evidence of a Statement is not made inadmissible by the Hearsay Rule if the statement is made for medical diagnosis or treatment.
- Under The Sixth Amendment, Our right to trial by Jury was not respected.
- Our Constitutional Rights / Human Rights have not been respected.
- Our Daughter A.A.H. is in the hands of the man (Ali Hamed) whom A.A.H. stated harmed her, both sexually and physically.
- Not respecting the Violence Against Women Act of 1994. S. 47 113th Congress 1st Session.
- Family code FAM 8920 Separation of Siblings - My Son N.N.V and daughter A.A.H. have been separated due to unlawful practice.
- Heather M. Allan, Admitted Amera stated Father Ali Hamed burned her. A.A.H. Heather M. Allan is a fraud. Heather M. Allan is not protecting Amera. Heather M. Allan cashed money on the side given by Ali Hamed, via currency exchange to avoid tracking, like Roberta Hayashi did.
- Heather M. Allan did not permit Medical evidence as Hayashi did not. (Frauds.) in the name of God you will be EXPOSED.
- Heather M. Allan and Roberta Hayashi did not permit Authentic Medical evidence or a Jury, on November 7, 2019. Roberta Hayashi granted me the restraining order to protect A.A.H. (our daughter) then states to have our daughter stay with paternal grandmother. Ali Hamed, was able to harm A.A.H. as she stated. Roberta Hayashi didn't permit Amera to stay with my family, regardless of me being the Petitioner with no overnights for Ali Hamed. (pedo)

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

R. E. Vega

Date: 3/19/2023

God Above all. of you \$ takers (lol)

Even if you are fraud, God will

show up for A.A.H and I, as

a child A.A.H, my daughter is with

pedophile Ali Hamed should not be. The truth of

The fraud will be exposed. Freedom of Speech! Amen