


⑨

22-7238

No. \_\_\_\_\_



OPINION

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Yousry Rizk — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

Edward Chandler, Silvia London — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Florida Supreme Court  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Yousry Rizk  
(Your Name)

492 Banyan Tree Cr 100  
(Address)

Maitland, FL, 32751  
(City, State, Zip Code)

407-543-8053  
(Phone Number)

18

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

The Case was on 2020, The Case was dismissed  
By Fraud and Lawyer misconduct

- ① How the lower court reward of Legal Fee  
if a Fraud is the main reason to dismiss?
- ② Edward Sandler and Silvia Landano had not paid penny  
from their pocket and get money from Carrington Park  
Condo HOA to pay By Fraud  
They are not paid less than 2000
- ③ How the court reward 21,000 while they are  
not paid a penny from their pocket
- ④ on hearing the Lawyer and first witness stated  
they paid by Insurance Policy  
I request to present insurance policy and payment  
By insurance But they refused
- ⑤ Why they lie in court hearing  
Is Judge Debra Lase her mind By age
- ⑥ Why appeal court upheld without giving  
any reason
- ⑦ it looks that we have chain reaction to favor  
the Lawyer for his Misconduct
- ⑧ Why Florida court system is broken?

10

### **LIST OF PARTIES**

[v] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[ ] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

### **RELATED CASES**

(11)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| OPINIONS BELOW                                   | dismissal from Florida Supreme Court                         | 1 |
| JURISDICTION                                     | To appeal The appeal court                                   |   |
| CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED |  |   |
| STATEMENT OF THE CASE                            | The case has not reviewed                                    |   |
| REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT                    | The appear court did demand to rule to look at               |   |
| CONCLUSION                                       | The decision is not fair due to the problems of appear court |   |

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

|            |                                      |
|------------|--------------------------------------|
| APPENDIX A | copy of Seminole County civil court  |
| APPENDIX B | The appear court                     |
| APPENDIX C | Superme court of Florida             |
| APPENDIX D | Additional information about my case |
| APPENDIX E |                                      |
| APPENDIX F |                                      |

(12)

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

| CASES                                 | PAGE NUMBER     |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Supreme court of<br>Florida SC 23-115 |                 |
| Seminole county appeal court          | 2020-cc- 001467 |
| Case no 5022 - 1122                   |                 |

## STATUTES AND RULES

<sup>civil court</sup>  
 The rules granted a sum of 21,000  
 and The Judge did not look at the fact  
 and she is bias and make favor for defend Lawyer  
 due to friendship . The Judge Ignore Fraud and  
 Lie under oath. The defend bring false witness  
 who is stated that she got paid by Insurance  
 policy . The fact There no insurance policy . in addition  
 The Judge rejected reharing to discuss of Fraud  
 on the hearing

OTHER the defend Lawyer tie to dismiss the case  
 for favor gangs who use conflict Interest to steal  
 H&A and hire his Lawyer and now his wife who steal  
 million Dollar for Lavish life style and bought house  
 in addition she use gangs and intimidate and  
 set me to be arrested falsely and court cleared me

(4)

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[] reported at Appendix A; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court  
appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

(13)

## JURISDICTION

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.  
S

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 1/25/2023.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix 1.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including 1 (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_ A Due To inability to comply with rule due to my age 75

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

The decision is not fair and appear court has not ruling to dismiss the case and they do it intentionally to prove the Judge at lower court is right but they do not put the ruling

Is That Constitutional to reward money based  
on fraud?

Is That Constitutional to pay for overcharge

K

L

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

My name is Yousy Rizk age 75.  
I had experience Gang activities from HOA Gang  
when they abused HOA to get money in their pocket  
on 11/18/2018, I went to office to inquire about  
Invoice looks that bogus

Edward Sandle get angry and pushed me first  
he lie in police report, Silvia Landone his wife  
lie in police report

I was arrested falsely and court drop the charges  
I file case against them for corruption, conflict  
interest, safety violation

The Gang hire other member of Gang who is  
Lawyer

she lied to Judge and Judge did not read  
the complaint, nor listen to me  
They select a Judge who is their friend and "Judge"  
is not fair

Lawyer is keeping laying and get 21000 \$ and  
it is false

so I file case in court of appeal so they  
refuse to put ruling  
Supreme court dismissed because no ruling

15

### REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

- 1) The Judgment is wrong and it is bias
- 2) The Judge refuse re hearing after picture of fraud exist
- 3) I'm looking for Justice and Lower and appeal court does not believe in Justice
- 4) It is insane to reward 21,000 while the cost does not exceed 2000

19

## CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

The petitioner is Yours truly

Date: 3/19/2023