

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

No: 22-1684

Michael Lee Gordon

Petitioner - Appellant

v.

Chris Tripp, Warden

Respondent - Appellee

Appeal from U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Iowa - Central
(4:22-cv-00036-JAJ)

JUDGMENT

Before COLLOTON, BENTON, and ERICKSON, Circuit Judges.

This court has reviewed the original file of the United States District Court. It is ordered by the court that the judgment of the district court is summarily affirmed. See Eighth Circuit Rule 47A(a).

June 14, 2022

Order Entered at the Direction of the Court:
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

/s/ Michael E. Gans

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA
CENTRAL DIVISION

MICHAEL LEE GORDON, Petitioner. vs. CHRIS TRIP, Warden of Iowa State Penitentiary, Respondent.	No. 4:22cv00036-JAJ ORDER
---	---

This matter comes before the court pursuant to a petition filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 by a federal inmate housed in a State of Iowa institution pursuant to an arrangement between the Federal Bureau of Prisons and the Iowa Department of Corrections. [Dkt. 1] The petitioner has filed six petitions in this court. He files many petitions pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, presumably to avoid the filing fee for an ordinary civil action.

In his most recent § 2241 petition, the petitioner seeks injunctive relief claiming that the law library at the Iowa Department of Corrections is inadequate. He further seeks a transfer back to the federal Bureau of Prisons contending, in essence, that State of Iowa officials are deliberately indifferent to the risk of injury to the petitioner by other inmates in the Iowa Department of Corrections.

These are conditions of confinement cases that are not cognizable in a petition filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, and they are repeat filings on claims already made within this court. His claim of an inadequate law library was earlier made in case 4:41cv0170. His claim of being at risk from other inmates was a claim in case 4:21cv0251.

Upon the foregoing,

IT IS ORDERED that this case is dismissed without prejudice as not cognizable