

No. 22-7162

FILED

FEB 06 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

In Re Anthony Turner — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Anthony Turner
(Your Name)

10626 Schirra Avenue #104
(Address)

Mather, Ca 95655
(City, State, Zip Code)

(916) 208-7668
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Why was I given a DUI for running a Red light
on 10/2/14
2. Why did I get convicted without warrant and
probable cause
3. Why did officer draw my blood violently without
warrant or my consent

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

District Attorney
901 G. Street, Room 261
Sacramento, Ca 95814

Carl Fessenden
350 University Avenue, Room 200
Sacramento, Ca 95825

RELATED CASES

Violation of 4th Amendment rights

Heren v. North Carolina 737 S.E.2d 351, 352
(N.C. 2012)

Arizona v. Grant 162 P.3d 640, 641 (Ariz. 2007)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Notice of Appeal
APPENDIX B	Sacramento Superior Court
APPENDIX C	United States District Court Eastern District
APPENDIX D	Supreme Court of the United States
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Herron v. North Carolina

351, 352

Arizona v. Grant

640, 641

STATUTES AND RULES

4th Amendment Violation

Excessive Force

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of mandamus issue.

OPINIONS BELOW

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[✓] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

[✓] reported at 10/10/20; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[✓] is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix D to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[✓] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[✓] is unpublished.

The opinion of the Sacramento Superior court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[✓] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was Feb 3, 2020

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: Unknown, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including Feb 14, 2020 (date) on Feb 14, 2020 (date) in Application No. 4 A D.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was .
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix .

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: 10/10/2020, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including Unknown (date) on Unknown (date) in Application No. 4 A D.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1. Warrantless Arrest
2. Blood extraction without warrant
3. Failure to follow Constitutional laws
4. No probable cause to stop/arrest

STATEMENT OF THE CASE
& RULE 20.4(A) STATEMENT

I was at the store shopping when the store clerk called the police. Then officer Gubord answered the call who came in the store and directed me to finish shopping but then after talking to the clerk Steve Wiggins, I got pulled out of the store handcuffed, me and my car searched without my consent. Then the fire department came to take vitals without my consent. Then my arms were grabbed and I was slammed down and knocked unconscious for refusing to give my consent to a blood test and before I knew it I was carried off to a ambulance where I was kicked, punched violently and strapped to a gurney and my arm pulled out and blood withdrawn from my arm over my objections

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. Lacked insufficient cause to stop/arrest

2. Warrantless arrest

3. Motive was on officer hunch

The Reason why I have petition the Supreme Court in lieu of the district Court is because the district court stated I did not have a prima facia Showing and it state that I can not file a petition for ineffective assistance of Counsel. You don't receive a DUI for running a red light.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of habeas corpus should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Anthony Turner

Date: 2/23/23