

old
case
no.

22-1969

22-7156

No. _____

FILED

SEP 20 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

James Rogers — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

United States of America — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

8th district court of Appeals
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

James Rogers
(Your Name)

Federal Correctional Institution
(Address)

Seagoville TX 75159-9000
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. When you have a lawyer, aren't they suppose to represent you like they are working for you on your case?
2. I had 3 times throughout my case he was suppose to turn in motions to the courts and never did.
3. Every time he come back he would get things from Prosecutor what she said to do?
4. Are lawyers suppose to do what Prosecutor says, when they are on your side to represent you?
5. Is the prosecutor suppose to keep adding things to the case without evidence to make me look worse in front of the Judge
6. Aren't you suppose to get more than 1 plea agreement if there no thing on the only one the prosecutor offered, she used that on my case, said I only get the 1 plea deal or make go to trial.

LIST OF PARTIES

☐ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

1. Murray Bell my last lawyer, 2. Mr. Clerk U.S. District
Southern Ia., 3. Mr. Craig Peyton Gauder, U.S. Att. Office,
4. James Rogers Mc, 5. Debra Thornberg U.S. Courthouse
Dav. Ia., 6. Milisa K. Zachringer, 7. Judge Rose,
8. Judge Jackson, 9. Dawn Thomas clerk 10. Linda
Wolf P.O. Forpsi

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	On about 2nd wk of June 2012, Lawrence Suppaw Jatun, in motion court, on picture of Victim's son and did nothing about it.
APPENDIX B	On about same time he came to see me as brought a pt. system, a pt. system from prosecutor and did nothing in about it, went by first
APPENDIX C	Right at that time in a place, hearing me discussed with me on this prosecution was double.
APPENDIX D	Judge Roger, I was saying my lawyer, the 1st time I had seen him with some in the 1st time I had seen him with some in the
APPENDIX E	1st time I had seen him with some in the 1st time I had seen him with some in the
APPENDIX F	Plus she had in court, I had in court on back book and having an addition that was yes, in which was only a few words Appending. The prosecutor said Linda was the PSY C.O. what found found PSY aptly thought it was supposed to be on my background background me as a Linda well C.O. for PSY Report.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix D to the petition and is

☒ reported at 8th District Appeals; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the 8th District Appeals, re Appeal court appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

☒ reported at in courthouse 6-26-22; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☒ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 7-13-2022.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix 17.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: 6-26-2022, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix 13.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including Jan. 26, 22 (date) on Jan. 30, 22 (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

constitutional right to be represented
by attorney in court. In which I was
not. InaFFective counsel From day 1
when he started on my case.

Thank you

James Rogers

3-16-23

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

My case I am Appealing on my
Behalf is Inaffective counsel and
prosecutorial misconduct.

My Lawyer Maurry Bell did nothing
in representing me on my case.

Instead kept doing what the

prosecutor wanted him to do on

my case. Also the prosecutor

kept adding things to my case

without evidence. Not one time

did my Lawyer object to her in

court, said nothing

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. Inaffective counsel, in which he never once represented me like client. I thought that was the main Idea In having a Lawyer. He yelled at me when I tried to get him to represent and be on my side. Instead of doing everything the prosecutor wanted him to do on my case.

2. Prosecutorial Misconduct, At least half of the things she said about me was not true. She me seem like the worse person in the world. I have letter enclosed with this Appeal as evidence sent to me From my lawyer and the Proccutor. To all things in which was done the it should. I hope you can reveiw everything to see what I am talking about. Thank May get less time or new lawyer. You.

A - list of letters and paperwork

Pg. to prove my case

1. Letter From my lawyer on picture check, went Prosecutor
2. Were prosecutor recommend to plea out
3. Prosecutor told psi reporter what to write for my psi
4. Lawyer being smart about no appeal waiver, but there is
5. Court paper says I do have Appeal rights Ineffective Lawyer's counsel
6. beginning of my case Prosecutor was supposed to drop count 2, 3, and 4 if plea agreement was signed.

CONCLUSION

7. The prosecutor threatened me to sign plea or go to trial, no other plea agreement offered, oh I got was I get represent like I should, so you can see what me

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

and a lot of other people go through in court proceeding in Federal cases

Respectfully submitted,

James Rogers

Date: March 16 2023

(Letter are on next page to back up my Ineffective counsel and Prosecutorail misconduct)

8 P.S. Also prosecutor added thing in my case that wasn't true

1. That the victims where so young and unknowledgeable
2. That knew that they were minors and I didn't
3. Also tried to say I had a addiction on what I was doing, only did for a few month not years